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- A major cause of death is due to heart failure, for example, due to a heart attack and development of a fatal arrhythmia. The direct cause of fatal cardiac arrhythmias is still not completely known, however, in many cases the cause can be traced to a failure of the cardiac action potential to propagate correctly.

- Remarkably, the propagation of action potentials is still not completely understood in spite of many years of investigation.

- The purpose of this talk is to describe some of the unresolved issues and the attempt to use mathematical models to understand these issues.
Conduction system of the heart

- Electrical signal (an action potential) originates in the SA node.
- The signal propagates across the atria (2D sheet), through the AV node, along Purkinje fibers (1D cables), and throughout the ventricles (3D tissue).
Modeling Membrane Electrical Activity

- Membrane separates extracellular and intracellular space with potentials \( \phi_e \) and \( \phi_i \), and transmembrane potential \( \phi = \phi_i - \phi_e \).

- Transmembrane potential \( \phi \) is regulated by transmembrane ionic currents and capacitive currents:

\[
C_m \frac{d\phi}{dt} + I_{\text{ion}}(\phi, w) = I_{\text{in}} \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{dw}{dt} = g(\phi, w), \quad w \in R^n
\]
Examples Include

- Neuron - **Hodgkin-Huxley model**
- Purkinje fiber - Noble
- Cardiac cells - **Beeler-Reuter, Luo-Rudy, Winslow-Jafri, Bers**
- Two Variable Models - reduced HH, FitzHugh-Nagumo, Mitchell-Schaeffer, Morris-Lecar, etc.

\[
C \frac{d\phi}{dt} = \bar{g}_{Na} m^3(\phi)(N - n)(\phi - \phi_{Na}) + \bar{g}_K n^4(\phi - \phi_{K}) + \bar{g}_l (\phi - \phi_{L}),
\]

\[
\tau_n(\phi) \frac{dn}{dt} = n_\infty(\phi) - n.
\]

Threshold Behavior, Refractoriness
 Alternans
 Wenckebach Patterns
Spatially Extended Excitable Media - Axons and Fibers

- Membrane separates extracellular and intracellular space with potentials $\phi_e$ and $\phi_i$, and transmembrane potential $\phi = \phi_i - \phi_e$.

- These potentials drive currents,

\[
I_i = -\frac{1}{r_i} \frac{d\phi_i}{dx}, \quad I_e = -\frac{1}{r_e} \frac{d\phi_e}{dx}.
\]

where $r_e$ and $r_i$ are resistances per unit length.

- Total current $i_T = I_e + I_i$ is conserved,

\[
i_T = -\frac{1}{r_i} \frac{d\phi_i}{dx} - \frac{1}{r_e} \frac{d\phi_e}{dx}.
\]
The Cable Equation

Transmembrane current is balanced

\[ C_m \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + I_{ion} = I_t = -\frac{\partial I_i}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial I_e}{\partial x}. \]

Combining everything gives

\[ C_m \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{1}{r_i + r_e} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right) - I_{ion} \]

This equation is referred to as the cable equation.
Action Potential Upstroke - Fronts

For all ion models, the upstroke (leading edge or front) is governed to a good approximation by the bistable equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + f(u)$$

with \(f(0) = f(a) = f(1) = 0, \ 0 < a < 1\).

- There is a unique traveling wave solution \(u = U(x - ct)\),
- The solution is stable up to phase shifts,
- The speed scales as \(c = c_0 \sqrt{D}\),
- \(U\) is a homoclinic trajectory of \(U'' + c_0 U' + f(U) = 0\)
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The Bidomain Model:

- At each point of the cardiac domain there are two comingled regions, the extracellular and the intracellular domains with potentials \( \phi_e \) and \( \phi_i \), and transmembrane potential \( \phi = \phi_i - \phi_e \).
- These potentials drive currents, \( i_e = -\sigma_e \nabla \phi_e \), \( i_i = -\sigma_i \nabla \phi_i \), where \( \sigma_e \) and \( \sigma_i \) are conductivity tensors.
- **Total current** is
  \[
i_T = i_e + i_i = -\sigma_e \nabla \phi_e - \sigma_i \nabla \phi_i.
\]
- Total current is conserved:
  \[
  \nabla \cdot (\sigma_i \nabla \phi_i + \sigma_e \nabla \phi_e) = 0
  \]
- Transmembrane current is balanced:
  \[
  \chi(C_m \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + I_{ion}) = \nabla \cdot (\sigma_i \nabla \phi_i)
  \]
Comments

- The bidomain model is derived using homogenization theory; $\sigma_i$ and $\sigma_e$ are effective conductivities and the equations are spatially homogeneous.
- Plane wave velocities scale like $\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_i \sigma_e}{\sigma_i + \sigma_e}}$
- So far, no one can explain the 3:1 conduction anisotropy ratio, compared to 6:1 cell size.
More Observations

Cardiac tissue is highly inhomogeneous, leading to the question of the validity of spatially homogeneous models.

For example, reduced gap junctional coupling can lead to propagation failure. Suppose cells are isopotential

$$\frac{dv_n}{dt} = f(v_n) + d(v_{n-1} - 2v_n + v_{n-1})$$

**Discrete Cells**
Some interesting data

Question: Why did exactly the same mutation lead to such different results in different laboratories?
Question: Why does the size of extracellular space lead to changes in conduction velocity and anisotropy ratio? Nothing in the bidomain model explains this.
Cardiac Structure

- Gap junctional coupling is only end-to-end. There is no side-to-side coupling.
- Extracellular space is highly inhomogeneous.
- Sodium Ion channels are *not* uniformly distributed on the cell membrane.

Could these be important (and not captured by the bidomain model)?
1-D Fiber Reexamined

As before, do a careful balance of currents, however,

- Cells are discrete, isopotential, coupled by gap junctions.
- Extracellular space includes narrow junctional clefts; extracellular space is *not* isopotential
- Sodium channels are not uniformly distributed.
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Surprise, Surprise (contrary to Cable Theory):

Because of ephaptic coupling
- Propagation velocity is less sensitive to changes in gap junctional coupling;
- The width of junctional space matters;
- The distribution of ion channels matters.
3-D Tissue Model

- Intracellular space (rather than extracellular space) is isopotential
- Extracellular space is comprised of thin 2-D sheets - not isopotential
- Gap junctional coupling is only end-to-end

Normal Propagation

High \( C_e \)

Low \( C_e \)
Some interesting Observations

- Propagation in transverse direction is much faster than predicted by bidomain model, because of side-to-side ephaptic coupling.
Summary and Conclusion

- Propagation in cardiac tissue is much more complicated than cable theory or the bidomain model suggest;
- There is a substantial amount of ephaptic coupling, due to the spatially inhomogeneous extracellular potential, and the microdomain effects of junctional spaces;
- The mathematical understanding of these features is still incomplete. Homogenization completely fails to account for these effects.
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