

### MATHEMATICS 3220. Homework # 8: Solutions.

1. [15 points] Rearrange the following sentences to get a valid proof of the following **Theorem**. If  $H$  is a compact set and  $f : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is a continuous function then  $f$  is uniformly continuous.

**Solution.**

*Proof.* The definition of uniform continuity is: For each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \in H$  we have

$$\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'\| < \delta \Rightarrow \|f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}')\| < \epsilon.$$

Suppose that uniform continuity fails. Then there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for all  $\delta > 0$  there are  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \in H$  so that

$$\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'\| < \delta \text{ and } \|f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}')\| \geq \epsilon.$$

Let's choose  $\delta$  of the form  $1/n$ . Then for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there are  $\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}'_n \in H$  such that

$$\|\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}'_n\| < 1/n \text{ and } \|f(\mathbf{x}_n) - f(\mathbf{x}'_n)\| \geq \epsilon.$$

Since  $H$  is compact, there is a subsequence  $(\mathbf{x}_{n_j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  which converges to some  $\mathbf{x} \in H$ . By the triangle inequality we have:

$$0 \leq \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'_{n_j}\| \leq \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{n_j}\| + \|\mathbf{x}_{n_j} - \mathbf{x}'_{n_j}\| < \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{n_j}\| + 1/n_j.$$

Since  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{n_j}\| = 0$ , by applying squeeze lemma we get:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'_{n_j}\| = 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{x}'_{n_j} = \mathbf{x}.$$

Since  $f$  is continuous at  $\mathbf{x} \in H$  we get:

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f(\mathbf{x}_{n_j}) = f(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f(\mathbf{x}'_{n_j}).$$

Hence

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|f(\mathbf{x}_{n_j}) - f(\mathbf{x}'_{n_j})\| = 0.$$

However,  $\|f(\mathbf{x}_{n_j}) - f(\mathbf{x}'_{n_j})\| \geq \epsilon > 0$  for each  $j$ . Thus the sequence  $\|f(\mathbf{x}_{n_j}) - f(\mathbf{x}'_{n_j})\|$  cannot converge to zero. Contradiction. Hence  $f$  is uniformly continuous on  $H$ .  $\square$

§11.2, # 3. [10 points] Prove that the function  $\sqrt{|xy|}$  is not differentiable at  $(0, 0)$ .

*Solution.* Suppose that  $f$  is differentiable. Then there is a linear map  $L : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\lim_{\mathbf{h} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}} \frac{|f(\mathbf{h}) - L(\mathbf{h})|}{\|\mathbf{h}\|} = 0.$$

Consider this limit along the line  $x = y$ , i.e.  $\mathbf{h} = (t, t)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . Then we get:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{|\sqrt{t^2} - tL(1, 1)|}{|t|} = 0.$$

Let  $L(1, 1) = a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Note that  $\sqrt{t^2} = |t|$ , hence we get:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left| 1 - \frac{t}{|t|} a \right| = 0,$$

equivalently,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t}{|t|} a = 1.$$

Note that if  $a = 0$  then the LHS is zero, which is impossible. Thus,  $a \neq 0$ . By taking limits from the right and from the left we get:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{t}{|t|} a = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (a) = a,$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{t}{|t|} a = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^-} (-a) = -a,$$

Since  $a \neq -a$ , the limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t}{|t|} a$$

does not exist. Thus the function  $f$  is not differentiable at  $(0, 0)$ .

Here is an alternative argument. First, let's compute  $f_x(0, 0)$  and  $f_y(0, 0)$ :

$$f_x(0, 0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x, 0) - f(0, 0)}{x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} 0 = 0,$$

$$f_y(0, 0) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(0, y) - f(0, 0)}{y} = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} 0 = 0.$$

Assume now that  $f$  is differentiable at  $(0, 0)$ , then  $Df(0, 0) = (0, 0)$ , hence

$$\lim_{\mathbf{h} \rightarrow \emptyset} \frac{|f(\mathbf{h})|}{\|\mathbf{h}\|} = 0.$$

We would get the same limit by considering  $\mathbf{h} = (t, t)$  where  $t > 0$ . However

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{|f(t, t)|}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{t}{t} = 1.$$

Since  $1 \neq 0$  we get a contradiction.

□