Math 2280 Maple Project 2: Newton Cooling January 2006

Due date: See the internet due dates.

References: Edwards-Penney, pages 55-57. Code in maple appears in 2280mapleL2-S2006.txt at URL http://www.math.utah.edu/~gustafso/. This document: 2280mapleL2-S2006.pdf.

The Problem and Model. The project is to determine an approximation for the indoor temperature u(t) in an unheated building. The model uses Newton's cooling law, insulation data k and a formula for the ambient outside temperature A(t) (see the background section *infra*):

(1)
$$u'(t) + ku(t) = kA(t),$$

 $u(0) = u_0.$

Assumptions and Notation. Let the daily temperature in Salt Lake City vary from a low of m = 22 degrees to a high of M = 48 degrees with the low and high at 3am and 3pm, respectively. The building is assumed insulated, the average insulation factor being represented by a Newton cooling constant k, usually $0.2 \le k \le 0.5$. The ambient outside temperature is called A(t) and the inside temperature is called u(t), where t is in hours measured from midnight t = 0. The number u_0 is the temperature at midnight. The model assumes that no heat sources or heat sinks are present inside the building. Symbol $u_h(t)$ refers to the general solution of the homogeneous equation u' + ku = 0. Symbol $u_p(t)$ stands for a particular solution of u' + ku = kA(t).

Problem L2.1. (Solution Formulae for u_p and u)

Derive by hand, using maple integral tables, an explicit symbolic formula for $u_p(t)$. Display a final formula for $u = u_h + u_p$ which depends only on t, u_0 , k and ω . Check your hand answer for u in maple. The only maple assist in this problem is the answer check and the maple integral table.

Problem L2.2. (Steady-State Periodic Solution)

Derive by hand a formula for the steady-state periodic solution u_{SS} of u' + ku = kA(t). There is no maple assist in this problem, it is all done by hand from the answer in L2.1. The results:

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\rm SS}(t) &= 35 - \frac{13k}{k^2 + \omega_0^2} (k \cos \omega_0(t-3) + \omega_0 \sin \omega_0(t-3)), \\ u(t) &= C e^{-kt} + u_{\rm SS}(t), \\ C &= u_0 - u_{\rm SS}(0). \end{aligned}$$

Problem L2.3. (Indoor-Outdoor Variation)

Compare in a maple graphic the indoor (u(t)) and outdoor (A(t)) temperature oscillations over a 48-hour period assuming k = 0.35, $u_0 = 74$, $\omega = \pi/12$. Compute the indoor and outdoor temperature variations from this 48-hour plot. Find the phase delay from this same plot.

Problem L2.4. (Freezing Pipes)

Assume $\omega = \pi/12$ and the insulation constant k ranges from 0.2 to 0.4. Suppose the inside temperature is 74 degrees at midnight when the furnace is turned off. Report approximate ranges of hours and insulation constants, during the first 72 hours and 0.2 < k < 0.4, for which the indoor temperature is at or below 29 degrees. Justify your logic used to find the ranges, in a short paragraph. Illustrate with a computer graphic.

Staple this page on top of all hand and maple work.

Sample maple code, problem notes and background are on the next page ...

Background

Newton Cooling Model. Newton's law of cooling is:

The rate of change of the indoor temperature is proportional to the difference between the ambient and indoor temperatures.

This implies that du/dt is proportional to A - u. Let A(t) be the ambient outside temperature and let k denote the proportionality constant in Newton's cooling law. A model for the indoor temperature u(t) is given by du/dt = k(A-u), $u(0) = u_0$, which can be rearranged as

(2)
$$u'(t) + ku(t) = kA(t), \quad u(0) = u_0.$$

The number k is called the **insulation constant**.

Ambient Temperature Model. Let M = 48, m = 22, $\omega_0 = \pi/12$. The ambient temperature formula

$$A(t) = \frac{1}{2}(M+m) - \frac{1}{2}(M-m)\cos\omega_0(t-3)$$

satisfies $M = \max A(t) = A(15)$, $m = \min A(t) = A(3)$ and A(t) is t-periodic of period 24 hours.

For use in maple, a function of two variables

$$AA(t,\omega) = \frac{1}{2}(M+m) - \frac{1}{2}(M-m)\cos\omega(t-3)$$

is used to maintain the variable name ω in displays.

Indoor Temperature u(t). The integrating factor method for linear equations applies to find the general solution by these steps:

 $\begin{array}{ll} u' + ku = kA(t) & \text{Copy the differential equation. The integrating factor is } e^{kt}. \\ \hline \frac{(e^{kt}u)'}{e^{kt}} = kA(t) & \text{Replace the left side.} \\ (e^{kt}u)' = kA(t)e^{kt} & \text{Clear fractions.} \\ e^{kt}u = u_0 + \int_0^t kA(x)e^{kx} \, dx & \text{Integrate both sides with respect to } t. \text{ Apply the Fundamental Theorem of } \\ u = u_0e^{-kt} + e^{-kt}\int_0^t kA(x)e^{kx} \, dx & \text{Divide to isolate the } explicit solution } u. \end{array}$

Let $u_h(t) = u_0 e^{-kt}$, a solution of the homogeneous differential equation u' + ku = 0. Let $u_p(t) = ke^{-kt} \int_0^t e^{kx} A(x) dx$, a particular solution of the nonhomogeneous differential equation u' + ku = kA(t). Then the indoor temperature $u = u_h + u_p$ depends on the time t, the initial temperature u_0 , the insulation constant k and the frequency ω (which is fixed at $\pi/12$). Write $u = u(t, u_0, k, \omega)$ to emphasize the dependence. In maple, advantages exist for adding the variable name ω , which is later set to value $\omega_0 = \pi/12$. Write u as $U(t, u_0, k, omega)$ for use in maple.

Steady-state solution. The steady-state solution $u_{\rm SS}$ is an expression obtained from the general solution formula $u = u_h + u_p$ by dropping all terms containing a negative exponential. It depends on t, k and ω . This expression is known to be a solution of the differential equation, independent of any arbitrary constants, which represents the **observed temperature** after a long time. In short, $u_{\rm SS}$ is independent of u_0 .

Problem Notes

Notes on L2.1

The integration problem can be solved by hand using the book's integral table:

$$u_p(t) = ke^{-kt} \int_0^t e^{kx} \left(35 - 13\cos(\omega(x-3))\right) dx$$

A change of variable u = x - 3 allows use of integral table entry $\int e^{au} \cos bu \, du$. The symbol ω will be set to $\pi/12$, but for simplicity, use symbol ω throughout.

A better integral table method is maple. It uses a table lookup method superior to the hand method above, in that no change of variable is required to obtain the answer. Most people will use this method because it is accurate and avoids primary pitfalls in using a book table. A coding example appears below, which moves the factor ke^{-kt} inside the integrand, in order to automatically perform simplifications.

```
# maple integral table lookup
unassign('k','omega','t','x');
integrand:=(35-13*cos(omega*(x-3)))*k*exp(k*x-k*t);
F:=unapply(integrand,(x,t,k,omega));
int(F(x,t,k,omega),x=0..t);
```

The formulae for u_h and u_p are used again in L2.2 in order to derive the steady-state solution. Your answer *must contain* symbols t, u0, k, omega.

The answer check in maple is organized as follows. The complications of setting $\omega = \pi/12$ are avoided here by leaving ω as a symbol, since it does not affect the answer check.

```
# Test LHS=RHS for u'+ku=kA.
unassign('t','u0','omega','k'):
myANS:=your hand-derived formula for u=uh+up:
LHS:=diff(myANS,t)+k*myANS:
RHS:=k*(35-13*cos(omega*(t-3))):
simplify(expand(LHS-RHS));
```

A successful test of LHS = RHS produces answer *zero*, or an expression that reduces to zero.

Notes on L2.2

The steady-state solution is derived from the hand-generated symbolic solution $u = u_h + u_p$ in L2.1 by dropping all terms that contain e^{-kt} . The answer, where $\omega_0 = \pi/12$:

$$u_{\rm SS} = 35 - \frac{13k}{k^2 + \omega_0^2} (k\cos\omega_0(t-3) + \omega_0\sin\omega_0(t-3)).$$

To check your answer, compare it against the formula displayed in L2.2.

To get maple to report the above formula, it is essential to evaluate everything with ω as a *symbol*, to wit, use in maple the statement unassign('omega'): Beware of writing omega:=Pi/12, which defines ω to be a constant, unless you undo the effect immediately afterward. Maple's unassign can be used to erase assignments.

Maple is unable to evaluate $\lim_{k \to \infty} (\exp(-k^*t), t=\inf(t))$ as zero, because the expression contains k, an unevaluated symbol. However, it may be possible to simulate the result as in the following example, which extracts the steady state part $2\sin(\omega(t-3))$ manually.

```
expr:=exp(-k*t)*cos(omega*(t-3))+exp(-2*k*t)*sin(omega*(t-3))+2*sin(omega*(t-3));
SS:=subs(exp(-k*t)=0,exp(-2*k*t)=0,expr);
```

Notes on L2.3

The outside temperature A(t) (AA(t,omega) in maple) and the solution $u = U(t, u_0, k, \omega)$ obtained in L2.2 can be coded in maple as follows:

```
unassign('t','u0','k','omega'):
AA:=unapply(35-13*cos(omega*(t-3)),(t,omega));
uss:=35-(13*k/(k^2+omega^2))*(k*cos(omega*(t-3))+omega*sin(omega*(t-3))):
uss0:=subs(t=0,uss);
U:=unapply((u0-uss0)*exp(-k*t)+uss,(t,u0,k,omega)):
```

The two curves are placed onto the **plot** by this maple command:

plot({U(t,74,0.35,Pi/12),AA(t,Pi/12)},t=0..48);

Missing curves? Probably, the missing curves are defined to contain an unevaluated variable name, like **pi** instead of **Pi**. While **Pi** is the constant 3.14159, lowercase symbol **pi** is a variable name: *case* is significant in **maple**.

Curves vanish on the printer? Add color=black to the plot command, e.g., plot(sin(x),x=0..Pi,color=black);

Click a mouse button on the high and low spots in the graphic. Somewhere on the maple worksheet the coordinates of the click are displayed. This method gives decimal approximations to the max and min values.

The indoor temperature variation is just the maximum minus the minimum, as computed from the plot of u(t). Beware: u has a maximum at t = 0. Similarly, the outdoor temperature variation is computed from the graph of A(t).

The phase shift is computed as $|T_2 - T_1|$, where $A(T_1) = \max A(t)$ and $u(T_2) = \max u(t)$. Look at the graphic to find sane answers for T_1 and T_2 . See the textbook for a more complete discussion of the ideas. Beware: T_1 and T_2 are values for time in the first 72 hours (abscissa values, not ordinate values). The smallest phase shift obtainable from the graphic is to be reported, because the transient solution Ce^{-ht} may affect the graph of $u(t) = Ce^{-ht} + u_{\rm SS}(t)$ for a few hours.

Notes on L2.4

A computer algebra assist for this problem can be found in maple's function implicitplot. This function can plot the equation $u(t, 74, k, \pi/12) = 29$ over the domain $0 \le t \le 72$, $0.2 \le k \le 0.4$. From this plot, and the 3D-plot $z = u(x, 74, y, \pi/12)$, the question is easily answered.

with(plots): unassign('t','u0','k','omega'): U:=(t,u0,k,omega)->your answer of uh+up from L2.1: implicitplot(U(t,74,k,Pi/12)=29,t=0..72,k=0.2..0.4); plot3d({U(t,74,k,Pi/12),29},t=0..72,k=0.2..0.4);

Zoom in on the implicit plot by using a smaller time domain, suggested by the larger plot. The relation between the implicit plot and the 3D plot is seen by slicing the 3D plot at height z = 29 to obtain a *bread slice* parallel to the *xy*-plane. The implicit plot depicts the bread slice by projecting it onto the *xy*-plane.

Physically, inside temperature 29 degrees is reached a few hours *after* the outside temperature drops below 29 degrees. During 72 hours, there are three such inside temperature drops, verified from the 3D-plot, where z=temperature. For k < 0.38, inside temperature 29F is not reached in the first 23 hours. For 0.38 < k < 0.4, inside temperature 29 degrees is reached between 5.5 and 7 hours. Please report answers in this format:

No freezing 0-23 hours, 0.2 < k < 0.38, Freezing 5.5-7 hours for 0.38 < k < 0.4, Freezing 28-32.7 hours for 0.2 < k < 0.4.

Use as many ranges as needed to describe the situation; between 5 and 7 will be required.