

Ce projet est colinancé par l'Union européenne. L'Europe s'engage en Normandie avec le Fonda européen de Développement Régional (FEDER)



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Fluctuations of Lévy processes

from Wiener-Hopf to the Scattering

Sonia Fourati

INSA de Rouen +LPSM

$$\phi(0) = 0$$
 $\mathbf{E}(e^{-iuX_1}) = e^{-\phi(iu)}$

Examples

Standard **Brownian motion** : $\phi(iu) = \frac{u^2}{2}$.

$$\phi(0) = 0$$
 $\mathbf{E}(e^{-iuX_1}) = e^{-\phi(iu)}$

Examples

Standard Brownian motion : $\phi(iu) = \frac{u^2}{2}$. Symmetric α -stable process, $\phi(iu) = c.|u|^{\alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \le 2$, c > 0.

$$\phi(0) = 0$$
 $\mathbf{E}(e^{-iuX_1}) = e^{-\phi(iu)}$

Examples

Standard Brownian motion : $\phi(iu) = \frac{u^2}{2}$. Symmetric α -stable process, $\phi(iu) = c.|u|^{\alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \le 2$, c > 0.

A Lévy process with non decreasing paths is a subordinator.

$$\phi(0) = 0$$
 $\mathbf{E}(e^{-iuX_1}) = e^{-\phi(iu)}$

Examples

Standard Brownian motion : $\phi(iu) = \frac{u^2}{2}$. Symmetric α -stable process, $\phi(iu) = c \cdot |u|^{\alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \le 2$, c > 0.

A Lévy process with non decreasing paths is a **subordinator**. Its Lévy exponent, ϕ , is a continuous function on $\{\lambda; \Re(\lambda) \ge 0\}$, holomorphic on the open right half plane.

$$\phi(0) = 0$$
 $\mathbf{E}(e^{-iuX_1}) = e^{-\phi(iu)}$

Examples

Standard Brownian motion : $\phi(iu) = \frac{u^2}{2}$. Symmetric α -stable process, $\phi(iu) = c \cdot |u|^{\alpha}$, $0 < \alpha \le 2$, c > 0.

A Lévy process with non decreasing paths is a **subordinator**. Its Lévy exponent, ϕ , is a continuous function on $\{\lambda; \Re(\lambda) \ge 0\}$, holomorphic on the open right half plane.

Other important example : The **Gamma process** (γ_t) , γ_1 is a standard exponential r.v. and $\phi(\lambda) = Ln(1 + \lambda)$.

Simple facts

• A Lévy process (with exponent ϕ) whose paths are locally with bounded variations can be expressed as the difference of two independent subordinators (with exponents ψ_+ and ψ_-).

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Simple facts

• A Lévy process (with exponent ϕ) whose paths are locally with bounded variations can be expressed as the difference of two independent subordinators (with exponents ψ_+ and ψ_-).

• A Lévy process can be written as the difference of two independent Lévy processes that have no negative jumps. This decomposition is unique up to the addition of a Brownian motion and a drift.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Simple facts

• A Lévy process (with exponent ϕ) whose paths are locally with bounded variations can be expressed as the difference of two independent subordinators (with exponents ψ_+ and ψ_-).

• A Lévy process can be written as the difference of two independent Lévy processes that have no negative jumps. This decomposition is unique up to the addition of a Brownian motion and a drift.

• The killing formalism:

 $\phi(0) + c \ (c > 0)$ is the exponent of a (still called) Lévy process killed at an exponential independent time with rate c.

The Wiener-Hopf factorization for Lévy Processes

The Wiener-Hopf factorization for Lévy Processes

A LONG STORY. Starts with random walks (in the 70's and early 80') : Actors : Bingham, Spitzer, Feller, Fristedt, Pitman, and

Priscilla Greenwood

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The Wiener-Hopf factorization for Lévy Processes

A LONG STORY. Starts with random walks (in the 70's and early 80') : Actors : Bingham, Spitzer, Feller, Fristedt, Pitman, and

Priscilla Greenwood

Followers (non-exhaustive list) : .L.Alili, J. Bertoin, L. Chaumont, R. Doney, T.Duquesne, A.Kyprianou, A. Kuznetsov, J.C Prado, V. Rivero, V.Vigon, and S.Asmussen, M.Pistorius, E. Eberlein (with financial applications).

Undoubtly a central result for fluctuations of Lévy processes.

<ロ>

Concisely,

1) Every exponent of a (possibly killed) Lévy process is the **product** of the exponent of a subordinator and of the opposite of a subordinator: there exists two exponents κ and $\hat{\kappa}$ of subordinators such that

 $\phi(\mathit{iu}) = \kappa(\mathit{iu})\hat{\kappa}(\mathit{iu})$

 $[iu \in i\mathbb{R}, at least]$. This decomposition is unique up to a positive multiplicative constant.

Concisely,

1) Every exponent of a (possibly killed) Lévy process is the **product** of the exponent of a subordinator and of the opposite of a subordinator: there exists two exponents κ and $\hat{\kappa}$ of subordinators such that

 $\phi(iu) = \kappa(iu)\hat{\kappa}(iu)$

 $[iu \in i\mathbb{R}, at least]$. This decomposition is unique up to a positive multiplicative constant.

2) The exponent κ is the exponent of the Ladder Process (H_t) which is a subordinator whose range is the same as

$$S_t := \sup\{X_s, s \le t\}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Concisely,

1) Every exponent of a (possibly killed) Lévy process is the **product** of the exponent of a subordinator and of the opposite of a subordinator: there exists two exponents κ and $\hat{\kappa}$ of subordinators such that

 $\phi(iu) = \kappa(iu)\hat{\kappa}(iu)$

 $[iu \in i\mathbb{R}, at least]$. This decomposition is unique up to a positive multiplicative constant.

2) The exponent κ is the exponent of the Ladder Process (H_t) which is a subordinator whose range is the same as

$$S_t := \sup\{X_s, s \le t\}.$$

3) If X is a killed process with death time ζ , or if X_t goes to $-\infty$, for $t \to +\infty$, then the r.v. $H_{\zeta} = \sup\{X_s; s \leq \zeta\}$ has Laplace transform $\frac{\kappa(0)}{\kappa(\lambda)}$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

• Symmetric stable processes $(-iu)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(iu)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} = |u|^{\alpha}$

- Symmetric stable processes $(-iu)^{rac{lpha}{2}}(iu)^{rac{lpha}{2}}=|u|^{lpha}$
- \bullet Brownian motion (possibly with a drift a and/or killed at rate c>0 :

$$(-iu + h_{-}(a, c))(iu + h_{+}(a, c)) = \frac{u^{2}}{2} + aiu + c$$

 $h_-(a,c)\in\mathbb{R}^+$ and $-h_+(a,c)\in\mathbb{R}^+$ are the 2 solutions of the equation : $-rac{z^2}{2}+az+c=0$

- Symmetric stable processes $(-iu)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(iu)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} = |u|^{\alpha}$
- \bullet Brownian motion (possibly with a drift a and/or killed at rate c>0 :

$$(-iu + h_{-}(a,c))(iu + h_{+}(a,c)) = \frac{u^{2}}{2} + aiu + c$$

 $h_-(a,c)\in\mathbb{R}^+$ and $-h_+(a,c)\in\mathbb{R}^+$ are the 2 solutions of the equation : $-rac{z^2}{2}+az+c=0$

• If ϕ has only positive jumps (but is not a subordinator)

$$\phi(iu) = (-iu + b)\psi(iu)$$

 ψ is the exponent of a subordinator, b the single solution of $\phi(b) = 0$, with $\Re(b) \ge 0$, (in fact $b \in [0, +\infty[)$).

- Symmetric stable processes $(-iu)^{rac{lpha}{2}}(iu)^{rac{lpha}{2}}=|u|^{lpha}$
- \bullet Brownian motion (possibly with a drift a and/or killed at rate c>0 :

$$(-iu + h_{-}(a,c))(iu + h_{+}(a,c)) = \frac{u^{2}}{2} + aiu + c$$

 $h_-(a,c)\in\mathbb{R}^+$ and $-h_+(a,c)\in\mathbb{R}^+$ are the 2 solutions of the equation : $-rac{z^2}{2}+az+c=0$

• If ϕ has only positive jumps (but is not a subordinator)

$$\phi(iu) = (-iu + b)\psi(iu)$$

 ψ is the exponent of a subordinator, b the single solution of $\phi(b) = 0$, with $\Re(b) \ge 0$, (in fact $b \in [0, +\infty[)$).

• If ϕ has a meromorphic continuation on the right half plan (a fortiori in the whole complex plane), we obtain closed formulas.

Ideas of the proofs

1) The path proof

Ideas of the proofs

1) The path proof

2) The pair $(\kappa, \hat{\kappa})$ can be identified as a solution of a **Riemann-Hilbert Problem** :

If $\phi(iu)$ is given, define

$$f(\lambda) := \kappa(\lambda) \mathbb{1}_{\Re(\lambda) > 0} + rac{1}{\check{\kappa}(\lambda)} \mathbb{1}_{\Re(\lambda) < 0}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Ideas of the proofs

1) The path proof

2) The pair $(\kappa, \hat{\kappa})$ can be identified as a solution of a **Riemann-Hilbert Problem** :

If $\phi(iu)$ is given, define

$$f(\lambda):=\kappa(\lambda)1_{\Re(\lambda)>0}+rac{1}{\check\kappa(\lambda)}1_{\Re(\lambda)<0}$$

a) f is holomorphic on the two half-planes $\{\Re(\lambda) > 0\} \cup \{\Re(\lambda) < 0\},\$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{f(iu + \varepsilon)}{f(iu - \varepsilon)} = \kappa(iu) \check{\kappa}(iu) = \phi(iu)$$

b) $(|f(\lambda)| + |\frac{1}{f(\lambda)}|)$. inf $(|\lambda|, \frac{1}{|\lambda|})$ is bounded on \mathbb{C} . \implies A complete characterization of f (thus of κ and $\check{\kappa}$), up to a multiplicative constant.

Connection with the additive decomposition

Put $\phi(0) = 1$, then Ln ϕ is the exponent of the subordinate process X_{γ_t} , where:

- (γ_t) a gamma-Process and X is the non killed Lévy process with exponent φ(iu) − φ(0)
- ► (X_{γt}) is a Lévy process which does not die, has no drift and is with bounded variations,

$$\operatorname{Ln}\left(rac{\kappa(iu)}{\kappa(0)}
ight)+\operatorname{Ln}\left(rac{\hat{\kappa}(iu)}{\hat{\kappa}(0)}
ight)=\operatorname{Ln}\phi(iu)$$

is the additive decomposition of ϕ .

The second step : the bilateral problem

The problem : Assuming that the Lévy process dies, what is the joint distribution of the maximum value (M) and minimum value (m)? What is the distribution of the amplitude (M - m)? What is the distribution of the first exit from a bounded interval ?

The second step : the bilateral problem

The problem : Assuming that the Lévy process dies, what is the joint distribution of the maximum value (M) and minimum value (m)? What is the distribution of the amplitude (M - m)? What is the distribution of the first exit from a bounded interval ?

Define for x > 0, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$

$$M^-_{1,2}(x,\lambda)=M^+_{1,1}(x,\lambda)={f P}(e^{-\lambda M};M-m\leq x)$$

$$M^-_{2,2}(x,\lambda)=M^+_{2,1}(x,\lambda)={f P}(e^{-\lambda m};M-m\leq x)$$

The second step : the bilateral problem

The problem : Assuming that the Lévy process dies, what is the joint distribution of the maximum value (M) and minimum value (m)? What is the distribution of the amplitude (M - m)? What is the distribution of the first exit from a bounded interval ?

Define for x > 0, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$

$$M^-_{1,2}(x,\lambda)=M^+_{1,1}(x,\lambda)={f P}(e^{-\lambda M};M-m\leq x)$$

$$M^{-}_{2,2}(x,\lambda) = M^{+}_{2,1}(x,\lambda) = \mathbf{P}(e^{-\lambda m}; M-m \le x)$$

then

$$\frac{d}{dx} \begin{pmatrix} M_{1,1}^+ \\ M_{2,1}^+ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\lambda x} & v(x) \\ \hat{v}(x) & e^{\lambda x} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M_{1,1}^+ \\ M_{2,1}^+ \end{pmatrix}$$
(1)

 $(v(x), \hat{v}(x))$ is the **potential**.

Auxilliary solutions

Let , for $\Re(\lambda) > 0$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} M_{12}^+(x,\lambda)\\ M_{22}^+(x,\lambda) \end{pmatrix}$$

and for $\Re(\lambda) < 0$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} M_{11}^{-}(x,\lambda)\\ M_{21}^{-}(x,\lambda) \end{pmatrix}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

be solutions of the equation (1), holomorphic in λ , on their own half-planes.

Finally, the 2x2 matrices :

$$M^+(x,\lambda)$$
 for $\Re(\lambda) > 0$
 $M^-(x,\lambda)$ for $\Re(\lambda) < 0$

satisfy the differential equation :

$$\frac{d}{dx}M^{+/-}(x,\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\lambda x} & v(x) \\ \hat{v}(x) & e^{\lambda x} \end{pmatrix} M^{+/-}(x,\lambda)$$

To switch from the exponent ϕ to the solution of the bilateral problem is again a Riemann-Hilbert problem.

Theorem

For all x > 0, the matrices $M^+(x, .)$ and $M^-(x, .)$ satisfy for all $iu \in i\mathbb{R}$,

$$[M^{-}(x,iu)]^{-1}.M^{+}(x,iu) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -e^{-iux} \\ e^{iux} & \phi(iu) \end{pmatrix}$$

To switch from the exponent ϕ to the solution of the bilateral problem is again a Riemann-Hilbert problem.

Theorem

For all x > 0, the matrices $M^+(x, .)$ and $M^-(x, .)$ satisfy for all $iu \in i\mathbb{R}$,

$$[M^{-}(x,iu)]^{-1}.M^{+}(x,iu) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -e^{-iux} \\ e^{iux} & \phi(iu) \end{pmatrix}$$

and the additionnal boundary properties : a) $\lambda \to M^+(x,\lambda)$ (resp. $\lambda \to M^-(x,\lambda)$) is holomorphic on $\{\Re(\lambda) > 0\}$ (resp. on $\{\Re(\lambda) < 0\}$), continuous on the closed half-plane $\{\Re(\lambda) \ge 0\}$ (resp. on $\{\Re(\lambda) \le 0\}$). To switch from the exponent ϕ to the solution of the bilateral problem is again a Riemann-Hilbert problem.

Theorem

For all x > 0, the matrices $M^+(x, .)$ and $M^-(x, .)$ satisfy for all $iu \in i\mathbb{R}$,

$$[M^{-}(x,iu)]^{-1}.M^{+}(x,iu) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -e^{-iux} \\ e^{iux} & \phi(iu) \end{pmatrix}$$

and the additionnal boundary properties : a) $\lambda \to M^+(x,\lambda)$ (resp. $\lambda \to M^-(x,\lambda)$) is holomorphic on $\{\Re(\lambda) > 0\}$ (resp. on $\{\Re(\lambda) < 0\}$), continuous on the closed half-plane $\{\Re(\lambda) \ge 0\}$ (resp. on $\{\Re(\lambda) \le 0\}$).

b) $M^+(x,\lambda) \sim M^+(y,\lambda)$ for $\lambda \to +\infty$, $M^-(x,\lambda) \sim M^-(y,\lambda)$ for $\lambda \to -\infty$ and det $M^-(x,\lambda) = \det M^+(x,\lambda) = 1$.

Starting from the Scattering matrix

$$\left(egin{array}{cc} 0 & -1 \ 1 & \phi({\it iu}) \end{array}
ight),$$

the preceding properties entirely characterize the two holomorphic functions $\lambda \to M^+(x, \lambda)$ and $\lambda \to M^-(x, \lambda)$ for all $x \in]0, +\infty[$ (up to a constant of x and λ) and the potential ($v(x), \hat{v}(x)$).

Starting from the Scattering matrix

$$\left(egin{array}{cc} 0 & -1 \ 1 & \phi(\mathit{iu}) \end{array}
ight),$$

the preceding properties entirely characterize the two holomorphic functions $\lambda \to M^+(x, \lambda)$ and $\lambda \to M^-(x, \lambda)$ for all $x \in]0, +\infty[$ (up to a constant of x and λ) and the potential ($v(x), \hat{v}(x)$).

Explicit Solutions

• Levitan et Marchenko in the 50's or 60's have solved the case that corresponds to the Brownian motion.

• Explicit solutions can be obtained when ϕ is a meromorphic function, on one half-plane and a fortiori on the two half-planes, and this corresponds to the so called "Bargmann equations" in Scattering Theory.

Some words on Scattering Theory

Scattering Theory : To a **potential** [the two functions $(v(x), \hat{v}(x))$], we associate two parametrized differential equations :

$$M'(x,\lambda) = \left(egin{array}{cc} e^{-\lambda x} & v(x) \ \hat{v}(x) & e^{\lambda x} \end{array}
ight) M(x,\lambda)$$

The wronskian identity gives

$$[M^{-}(x,iu)]^{-1}M^{+}(x,iu) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -e^{-iux} \\ e^{iux} & \phi(iu) \end{pmatrix}$$

The matrix $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & \phi(iu) \end{pmatrix}$ is called the Scattering Matrix.

The mapping $(v(x), \hat{v}(x)) \rightarrow \Phi$ is injective. To start from ϕ in order to determine the potential is called the **inverse scattering problem**.

Thus computing the distributions related to the bilateral problem when starting from the Lévy exponent is part of that physics problem.

References

Bingham, N.H. (1973b) Maxima of sums of random variables and suprema of stable processes. *Zeit. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete.* 4, 273296.

Bingham, N.H. (1975) Fluctuation theory in continuous time, Adv. Appl. Probab. 7, 705766.

Greenwood, P.E. and Pitman, J.W. (1980a) Fluctuation identities for L?evy processes and splitting at the maximum. *Adv. Appl. Probab.* 12, 839902.

Greenwood, P.E. and Pitman, J. W. (1980b) Fluctuation identities for random walk by path decomposition at the maximum. Abstracts of the Ninth Conference on Stochastic Processes and Their Applications, Evanston, Illinois, 610 August 1979, Adv. Appl. Probab. 12, 291293.

Greenwood, P.E. and Pitman, J.W. (1980c) Construction of local times and Poisson processes from nested arrays. *J. London Math. Soc. 22* 182192.

Gusak, D.V. and Korolyuk, V.S. (1969) On the joint distribution of a process with stationary independent increments and its maximum. *Theory Probab. Appl.* 14. 400409. Spitzer, F.(1956) A combinatorial lemma and its application to probability theory. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 82, 323339.

Spitzer, F (1957) The WienerHopf equation whose kernel is a probability density. *Duke Math. J. 24*, 327343.

Spitzer, F/(1960a) The WienerHopf equation whose kernel is a probability density.II. *Duke Math. J.* 27. 363372.

Feddeyev The Inverse Problem in the Quantum Theory of Scattering. J. Math. Phys. 4, **72**(1963).

Kuznetsov, A. (2010a) WienerHopf factorization and distribution of extrema for a family of L?evy processes. *Ann. Appl. Probab. 20*, 18011830.

Kuznetsov, A. (2010b) WienerHopf factorization for a family of L?evy processes re- lated to theta functions. *J. Appl. Probab.* 47, 10231033.

Lewis, A.L., Mordecki, E. Wiener-Hopf factorization for Lévy processes having positive jumps with rational transforms. *J. Appl. Prob.* **45**, 118-134 (2008).

[F] Fluctuations of Lévy processes and Scattering Theory. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **362**, 441-475, (2010).

[F] Explicit Solutions to the exit problem for a class of Lévy process. Applications to the pricing of double barrier options. *Stochastic Processes and their applications*. Volume 122, Issue 3, March 2012, Pages 1034-1067.

Vigon V. (2002) Simplifez vos Lévy en titillant la factorisation de Wiener-Hopf.Thèse de IINSA, Rouen.

THANK YOU