### Random self-similar trees and their applications

Ilya Zaliapin

Department of Mathematics and Statistics University of Nevada, Reno

> Frontier Probability Days March 31, 2018



A B > A B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A
 B > A

### Trees in Nature



### Trees in Nature

#### Phylogenetic tree of life





2

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・



æ

イロン イロン イヨン イヨン



æ

イロン イロン イヨン イヨン



æ

イロン イロン イヨン イヨン



E



- $\mathcal{L}_{\text{plane}}$  space of finite unlabeled rooted reduced binary trees with edge lengths, including an empty tree  $\phi = \{\rho\}$  comprised of a root vertex  $\rho$  and no edges.
- d(x, y): the length of the minimal path within T between x and y.
- The length of a tree T is the sum of the lengths of its edges:

$$\operatorname{LENGTH}(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{\#T} I_i.$$

• The height of a tree T is the maximal distance between the root and a vertex:

HEIGHT
$$(T) = \max_{1 \le i \le \#T} d(v_i, \rho).$$

(日) (同) (三) (三)

A Galton-Watson process is a simple (Markov) model of population growth.

- The process starts with a single progenitor at time t = 0.
- At each integer instant t > 0 each member terminates and leaves a random number k of offspring according to a distribution {p<sub>k</sub>}, k = 0, 1, ....
- If  $p_0 + p_2 = 1$  (only zero or two offspring are possible), the process is called binary.
- If E(k) = 1 (constant expected progeny), the process is called critical.
- A Galton-Watson tree describes a trajectory of the process.
- A Galton-Watson tree with i.i.d. exponential edge lengths with parameter λ is called exponential GW tree GW(λ).

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

A Galton-Watson process is a simple (Markov) model of population growth.

- The process starts with a single progenitor at time t = 0.
- At each integer instant t > 0 each member terminates and leaves a random number k of offspring according to a distribution {p<sub>k</sub>}, k = 0, 1, ....
- If  $p_0 + p_2 = 1$  (only zero or two offspring are possible), the process is called binary.
- If E(k) = 1 (constant expected progeny), the process is called critical.
- A Galton-Watson tree describes a trajectory of the process.
- A Galton-Watson tree with i.i.d. exponential edge lengths with parameter λ is called exponential GW tree GW(λ).

A Galton-Watson process is a simple (Markov) model of population growth.

- The process starts with a single progenitor at time t = 0.
- At each integer instant t > 0 each member terminates and leaves a random number k of offspring according to a distribution {p<sub>k</sub>}, k = 0, 1, ....
- If  $p_0 + p_2 = 1$  (only zero or two offspring are possible), the process is called binary.
- If E(k) = 1 (constant expected progeny), the process is called critical.
- A Galton-Watson tree describes a trajectory of the process.
- A Galton-Watson tree with i.i.d. exponential edge lengths with parameter λ is called exponential GW tree GW(λ).

A Galton-Watson process is a simple (Markov) model of population growth.

- The process starts with a single progenitor at time t = 0.
- At each integer instant t > 0 each member terminates and leaves a random number k of offspring according to a distribution {p<sub>k</sub>}, k = 0, 1, ....
- If  $p_0 + p_2 = 1$  (only zero or two offspring are possible), the process is called binary.
- If E(k) = 1 (constant expected progeny), the process is called critical.
- A Galton-Watson tree describes a trajectory of the process.
- A Galton-Watson tree with i.i.d. exponential edge lengths with parameter λ is called exponential GW tree GW(λ).

A Galton-Watson process is a simple (Markov) model of population growth.

- The process starts with a single progenitor at time t = 0.
- At each integer instant t > 0 each member terminates and leaves a random number k of offspring according to a distribution {p<sub>k</sub>}, k = 0, 1, ....
- If  $p_0 + p_2 = 1$  (only zero or two offspring are possible), the process is called binary.
- If E(k) = 1 (constant expected progeny), the process is called critical.
- A Galton-Watson tree describes a trajectory of the process.
- A Galton-Watson tree with i.i.d. exponential edge lengths with parameter λ is called exponential GW tree GW(λ).

A Galton-Watson process is a simple (Markov) model of population growth.

- The process starts with a single progenitor at time t = 0.
- At each integer instant t > 0 each member terminates and leaves a random number k of offspring according to a distribution {p<sub>k</sub>}, k = 0, 1, ....
- If  $p_0 + p_2 = 1$  (only zero or two offspring are possible), the process is called binary.
- If E(k) = 1 (constant expected progeny), the process is called critical.
- A Galton-Watson tree describes a trajectory of the process.
- A Galton-Watson tree with i.i.d. exponential edge lengths with parameter λ is called exponential GW tree GW(λ).

### Prune invariance results

- Neveu (1986): established invariance of an exponential critical and sub-critical binary Galton-Watson tree GW(λ) with respect to the tree erasure (a.k.a. leaf-length pruning, trimming).
- Burd, Waymire, and Winn (2000): established invariance of the critical binary Galton-Watson tree (with no edge lengths) with respect to Horton pruning (cutting the tree leaves).
- Burd, Waymire, and Winn (2000): established that Horton prune invariance is a characteristic property of the critical binary tree, in the space of (not necessarily binary) Galton-Watson trees with no edge lengths.
- Duquesne and Winkel (2012): established invariance of the Galton-Watson (non-binary) trees with respect to hereditary reduction.

Next: give a unified description of various pruning operations and generalize the invariance results.

### Partial order on trees

- $\Delta_{x,T}$  is the subtree descendant to point  $x \in T$ .
- Partial order:  $T_1 \leq T_2$  if and only if  $\exists$  an isometry  $f : (T_1, d) \rightarrow (T_2, d)$ .



(a) Descendant tree

(b) Isometry

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・

# Generalized dynamical pruning

- Consider a monotone non-decreasing  $\varphi : \mathcal{L}_{\text{plane}} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ , i.e.  $\varphi(T_1) \leq \varphi(T_2)$ whenever  $T_1 \prec T_2$ .
- Generalized dynamical pruning operator

$$\mathcal{S}_t(\varphi, T) : \mathcal{L}_{\text{plane}} \to \mathcal{L}_{\text{plane}}$$

induced by  $\varphi$  at any  $t \ge 0$  cuts all subtrees  $\Delta_{x,T}$  for which the value of  $\varphi$  is below threshold t.

Formally,

$$\mathcal{S}_t(\varphi, T) := \rho \cup \Big\{ x \in T \setminus \rho \ : \ \varphi(\Delta_{x,T}) \ge t \Big\}.$$

• Here,  $S_s(\varphi, T) \preceq S_t(\varphi, T)$  whenever  $s \ge t$ .

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

### Generalized dynamical pruning

Informally,

- Consider a function  $\varphi: T \to \mathbb{R}^+$ , that is non-decreasing along every path from a leaf to the root.
- Generalized dynamical pruning operator S<sub>t</sub>(φ, T) induced by φ at any t ≥ 0 cuts all points x ∈ T for which the value of φ is below threshold t.



Image: A math a math

### Example 1: Pruning by tree height (tree erasure)

• Let the function  $\varphi(T)$  equal the height of T:

 $\varphi(T) = \text{HEIGHT}(T).$ 

- Semigroup property:  $S_t \circ S_s = S_{t+s}$  for any  $t, s \ge 0$ .
- It coincides with the tree erasure introduced by Neveu (1986), and further examined by Le Jan (1991), Duquesne and Winkel (2007, 2012), Evans, Pitman and Winter (2006), and others.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

#### Examples

### Example 2: Pruning by tree length

• Let the function  $\varphi(T)$  equal the total lengths of T:

$$\varphi(T) = \text{LENGTH}(T).$$

- No semigroup property.
- Closely related to the dynamics of a particular Hamilton-Jacobi equation.



< 🗇 🕨

## Example 3: Horton pruning

#### Let

$$\varphi(T) = \mathsf{k}(T) - 1,$$

where the Horton-Strahler order k(T) is the minimal number of Horton prunings  $\mathcal{R}$  (cutting the tree leaves and applying series reduction) necessary to eliminate all points in tree T except  $\rho$ .

- Semigroup property with  $S_t = \mathcal{R}^{\lfloor t \rfloor}$ .
- The Horton-Strahler order k(T) is known as the register number as it equals the minimum number of memory registers necessary to evaluate an arithmetic expression described by a tree T.
- Studied by Burd, Waymire, and Winn (2000).

(日) (同) (三) (三)

### Prune invariance for Galton-Watson trees

### Theorem (Arnold, Kovchegov, IZ [2017] )

Let  $T \stackrel{d}{=} GW(\lambda)$  be an exponential critical binary Galton-Watson tree with parameter  $\lambda > 0$ . Then, for any monotone non-decreasing function  $\varphi : \mathcal{L}_{\text{plane}} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ , the pruned tree  $T^t$  conditioned on surviving is an exponential critical binary Galton-Watson tree with parameter

$$\mathcal{E}_t(\lambda,\varphi) = \lambda p_t(\lambda,\varphi).$$

Formally,

$$T^{t} := \{ \mathcal{S}_{t}(\varphi, T) | \mathcal{S}_{t}(\varphi, T) \neq \phi \} \stackrel{d}{=} \mathsf{GW}(\lambda p_{t}(\lambda, \varphi)),$$

where  $p_t(\lambda, \varphi) = \mathsf{P}(\mathcal{S}_t(\varphi, T) \neq \phi).$ 

(日) (同) (三) (三)

### Prune invariance results

Moreover,

Theorem (Arnold, Kovchegov, Z [2017]) (a) If  $\varphi(T)$  equals the total length of T ( $\varphi = \text{LENGTH}(T)$ ), then  $\mathcal{E}_t(\lambda, \varphi) = \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \Big[ I_0(\lambda t) + I_1(\lambda t) \Big].$ (b) If  $\varphi(T)$  equals the height of T ( $\varphi = \text{HEIGHT}(T)$ ), then  $\mathcal{E}_t(\lambda, \varphi) = \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda t + 2}.$ 

(c) If  $\varphi(T) + 1$  equals the Horton-Strahler order of the tree T, then

$$\mathcal{E}_t(\lambda,\varphi) = \lambda 2^{-\lfloor t \rfloor}$$

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

### Generalized prune-invariance

### Definition (Prune-invariance)

Consider a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{L}_{plane}$  such that  $\mu(\phi) = 0$ . Let

$$\nu(T) = \mu \circ \mathcal{S}_t^{-1}(T) = \mu \big( \mathcal{S}_t^{-1}(T) \big).$$

Measure  $\mu$  is called invariant with respect to the pruning operator  $S_t(\varphi, T)$  if for any tree  $T \in \mathcal{L}_{plane}$  we have

$$\mu(T) = \nu(T | T \neq \phi).$$

- Also need the invariance of the distribution of edge lengths in the pruned tree  $T_t := S_t(\varphi, T)$ . Kovchegov & Z [2017] arXiv:1608.05032
- A weaker mean invariance only preserves the means of selected branch statistics.

Open question: finding and classifying all the invariant probability measures  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{plane}}$ .

Ilya Zaliapin (UNR)

- Pruning  $\mathcal{R}(T)$  of a finite tree T cuts the leaves and degree-2 chains connected to leaves.
- Nodes cut at k-th pruning,  $\mathcal{R}^{k-1}(\mathcal{T}) \setminus \mathcal{R}^k(\mathcal{T})$ , have order  $k, k \geq 1$ .
- A chain of the same order vertices is called *branch*.
- Let  $N_k$  is the number of branches of order k; and  $N_{ij}$  is the number of instances when an order-*i* branch merges an order-*j* branch.



• • • • • • • • • • • • •

- Pruning  $\mathcal{R}(T)$  of a finite tree T cuts the leaves and degree-2 chains connected to leaves.
- Nodes cut at k-th pruning,  $\mathcal{R}^{k-1}(T) \setminus \mathcal{R}^{k}(T)$ , have order k,  $k \geq 1$ .
- A chain of the same order vertices is called *branch*.
- Let  $N_k$  is the number of branches of order k; and  $N_{ij}$  is the number of instances when an order-*i* branch merges an order-*j* branch.



• • • • • • • • • • • • •

- Pruning  $\mathcal{R}(T)$  of a finite tree T cuts the leaves and degree-2 chains connected to leaves.
- Nodes cut at k-th pruning,  $\mathcal{R}^{k-1}(T) \setminus \mathcal{R}^k(T)$ , have order k,  $k \ge 1$ .
- A chain of the same order vertices is called *branch*.
- Let  $N_k$  is the number of branches of order k; and  $N_{ij}$  is the number of instances when an order-i branch merges an order-j branch.



< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三

- Pruning  $\mathcal{R}(T)$  of a finite tree T cuts the leaves and degree-2 chains connected to leaves.
- Nodes cut at k-th pruning,  $\mathcal{R}^{k-1}(T) \setminus \mathcal{R}^k(T)$ , have order k,  $k \ge 1$ .
- A chain of the same order vertices is called *branch*.
- Let  $N_k$  is the number of branches of order k; and  $N_{ij}$  is the number of instances when an order-i branch merges an order-j branch.



< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三

- Pruning  $\mathcal{R}(T)$  of a finite tree T cuts the leaves and degree-2 chains connected to leaves.
- Nodes cut at k-th pruning,  $\mathcal{R}^{k-1}(T) \setminus \mathcal{R}^k(T)$ , have order  $k, k \ge 1$ .
- A chain of the same order vertices is called *branch*.
- Let  $N_k$  is the number of branches of order k; and  $N_{ij}$  is the number of instances when an order-i branch merges an order-j branch.



• • • • • • • • • • • • •

- Pruning  $\mathcal{R}(T)$  of a finite tree T cuts the leaves and degree-2 chains connected to leaves.
- Nodes cut at k-th pruning,  $\mathcal{R}^{k-1}(\mathcal{T}) \setminus \mathcal{R}^k(\mathcal{T})$ , have order  $k, k \ge 1$ .
- A chain of the same order vertices is called *branch*.
- Let  $N_k$  is the number of branches of order k; and  $N_{ij}$  is the number of instances when an order-*i* branch merges an order-*j* branch.



(日) (同) (三) (三)

- Pruning  $\mathcal{R}(T)$  of a finite tree T cuts the leaves and degree-2 chains connected to leaves.
- Nodes cut at k-th pruning,  $\mathcal{R}^{k-1}(\mathcal{T}) \setminus \mathcal{R}^k(\mathcal{T})$ , have order  $k, k \ge 1$ .
- A chain of the same order vertices is called *branch*.
- Let  $N_k$  is the number of branches of order k; and  $N_{ij}$  is the number of instances when an order-i branch merges an order-j branch.



(日) (同) (三) (三)

Tree T has order k(T) = 3 since it is eliminated in three prunings.



### Statistical approach to prune invariance

[Kovchegov and Z, Fractals, 2016]

• The Tokunaga coefficient  $T_{ij}$  is the average number of branches of order *i* that merge with a branch of order *j*:

$$T_{ij} = rac{\mathsf{E}[N_{ij}]}{\mathsf{E}[N_j]}, \quad 1 \le i < j \le \mathcal{K}.$$

• The coefficients T<sub>ij</sub> form the Tokunaga matrix

$$\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{K}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & T_{1,2} & T_{1,3} & \dots & T_{1,K} \\ 0 & 0 & T_{2,3} & \dots & T_{2,K} \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 & T_{K-1,K} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

٠

<ロト <回ト < 回ト < 回ト

### Statistical approach to prune invariance

### Theorem (Kovchegov and Z [2016])

(Subject to some conditions) a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{L}_{plane}$  is mean Horton invariant if and only if

$$T_{i,i+k} = T_k$$
 for all  $i, k > 0$ 

for some sequence  $T_k \ge 0$ .

• The Tokunaga matrix becomes Toeplitz

$$\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{K}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & T_1 & T_2 & \dots & T_{\mathcal{K}-1} \\ 0 & 0 & T_1 & \dots & T_{\mathcal{K}-2} \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 & T_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

• Burd, Waymire and Winn [2000] established this for Galton-Watson trees.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

Kovchegov and Z [2017, 2018]

• A Geometric Branching Process (GBP) produces prune-invariant trees for arbitrary Tokunaga sequences {*T<sub>k</sub>*}.

• A special class of critical Tokunaga processes appears with

$$T_k = (c-1)c^{k-1}, \quad c \ge 1.$$

- The critical Tokunaga property is equivalent to the time shift invariance of the GBP.
- The critical Tokunaga trees are characterized by the property that each of their sub-trees (properly defined) has the same distribution as a random tree.
- A more general property  $T_k = a c^{k-1}$  is equivalent to the asymptotic (in branch order) time shift invariance of the GBP.
- Interestingly, the Tokunaga trees with  $T_k = a c^{k-1}$  are well known in applied literature...

Kovchegov and Z [2017, 2018]

- A Geometric Branching Process (GBP) produces prune-invariant trees for arbitrary Tokunaga sequences {*T<sub>k</sub>*}.
- A special class of critical Tokunaga processes appears with

$$T_k = (c-1)c^{k-1}, \quad c \ge 1.$$

- The critical Tokunaga property is equivalent to the time shift invariance of the GBP.
- The critical Tokunaga trees are characterized by the property that each of their sub-trees (properly defined) has the same distribution as a random tree.
- A more general property  $T_k = a c^{k-1}$  is equivalent to the asymptotic (in branch order) time shift invariance of the GBP.
- Interestingly, the Tokunaga trees with  $T_k = a c^{k-1}$  are well known in applied literature...

Kovchegov and Z [2017, 2018]

- A Geometric Branching Process (GBP) produces prune-invariant trees for arbitrary Tokunaga sequences {*T<sub>k</sub>*}.
- A special class of critical Tokunaga processes appears with

$$T_k = (c-1)c^{k-1}, \quad c \ge 1.$$

- The critical Tokunaga property is equivalent to the time shift invariance of the GBP.
- The critical Tokunaga trees are characterized by the property that each of their sub-trees (properly defined) has the same distribution as a random tree.
- A more general property  $T_k = a c^{k-1}$  is equivalent to the asymptotic (in branch order) time shift invariance of the GBP.
- Interestingly, the Tokunaga trees with  $T_k = a c^{k-1}$  are well known in applied literature...

Kovchegov and Z [2017, 2018]

- A Geometric Branching Process (GBP) produces prune-invariant trees for arbitrary Tokunaga sequences {*T<sub>k</sub>*}.
- A special class of critical Tokunaga processes appears with

$$T_k = (c-1)c^{k-1}, \quad c \ge 1.$$

- The critical Tokunaga property is equivalent to the time shift invariance of the GBP.
- The critical Tokunaga trees are characterized by the property that each of their sub-trees (properly defined) has the same distribution as a random tree.
- A more general property  $T_k = a c^{k-1}$  is equivalent to the asymptotic (in branch order) time shift invariance of the GBP.
- Interestingly, the Tokunaga trees with  $T_k = a c^{k-1}$  are well known in applied literature...

Kovchegov and Z [2017, 2018]

- A Geometric Branching Process (GBP) produces prune-invariant trees for arbitrary Tokunaga sequences {*T<sub>k</sub>*}.
- A special class of critical Tokunaga processes appears with

$$T_k = (c-1)c^{k-1}, \quad c \ge 1.$$

- The critical Tokunaga property is equivalent to the time shift invariance of the GBP.
- The critical Tokunaga trees are characterized by the property that each of their sub-trees (properly defined) has the same distribution as a random tree.
- A more general property  $T_k = a c^{k-1}$  is equivalent to the asymptotic (in branch order) time shift invariance of the GBP.
- Interestingly, the Tokunaga trees with  $T_k = a c^{k-1}$  are well known in applied literature...

Kovchegov and Z [2017, 2018]

- A Geometric Branching Process (GBP) produces prune-invariant trees for arbitrary Tokunaga sequences {*T<sub>k</sub>*}.
- A special class of critical Tokunaga processes appears with

$$T_k = (c-1)c^{k-1}, \quad c \ge 1.$$

- The critical Tokunaga property is equivalent to the time shift invariance of the GBP.
- The critical Tokunaga trees are characterized by the property that each of their sub-trees (properly defined) has the same distribution as a random tree.
- A more general property  $T_k = a c^{k-1}$  is equivalent to the asymptotic (in branch order) time shift invariance of the GBP.
- Interestingly, the Tokunaga trees with  $T_k = a c^{k-1}$  are well known in applied literature...

### River networks

• [Shreve 1966, 1969; Tokunaga, 1978; Peckham, 1995; Burd et al., 2000; Z et al., 2009; Zanardo et al., 2013]



3

### Hillslope drainage networks

• [Z et al., 2009]



э

### Vein structure of botanical leaves

• [Newman et al., 1997; Turcotte et al., 1998]



2

#### Tokunaga trees

## Earthquake aftershock clusters

• [Yoder et al., 2011]



Ilya Zaliapin (UNR)

A B > A B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

## Diffusion limited aggregation

• [Ossadnik, 1992; Masek and Turcotte, 1993]



### Two dimensional site percolation

[Turcotte et al., 1999; Yakovlev et al., 2005; Z et al., 2006] ۲



http://www.opencourse.info/anderson/I1024pc.png (

### Dynamics of billiards

• [Gabrielov et al., 2008; Patterson et al., 2016]



2

### Tree representation of a function, $LEVEL(X_t)$

Z and Kovchegov, 2012



A B > A B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

### Tree representation of a function, $LEVEL(X_t)$

Z and Kovchegov, 2012



æ

### Tree representation of a function, $LEVEL(X_t)$

Z and Kovchegov, 2012



æ

### Tree representation of a function, $LEVEL(X_t)$

Z and Kovchegov, 2012



E

(ロ) (回) (三) (三)

### Tree representation of a function, $LEVEL(X_t)$

Z and Kovchegov, 2012



æ

### Tree representation of a function, $LEVEL(X_t)$

Z and Kovchegov, 2012



Ilya Zaliapin (UNR)

æ

### Tree representation of a function, $LEVEL(X_t)$

Z and Kovchegov, 2012



### Tree representation of a function, $LEVEL(X_t)$

Z and Kovchegov, 2012



### Tree representation of a function, $LEVEL(X_t)$

Z and Kovchegov, 2012



### Tree representation of a function



#### Theorem (J. Neveu and J. Pitman [1989], J. F. Le Gall [1993] )

The level-set tree LEVEL( $X_t$ ) is an exponential critical binary Galton-Watson tree  $GW(\lambda)$  if and only if the rises and falls of  $X_t$ , excluding the last fall, are i.i.d. exponential random variables with parameter  $\lambda/2$ .

A B > A B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

### Pruning time series

### Proposition (Z and Kovchegov [2012])

- The transition from a time series X<sub>k</sub> to the time series X<sub>k</sub><sup>min</sup> of its local minima corresponds to the Horton pruning of the level-set tree LEVEL(X).
- **2** A symmetric random walk in discrete time corresponds to a critical Tokunaga tree with c = 2 (i.e.,  $T_k = 2^{k-1}$ ). In particular, the critical Galton-Watson tree corresponds a symmetric exponential random walk.



Open problem: Finding all the time series models invariant with respect to Horton pruning.

Ilya Zaliapin (UNR)

- Generalized dynamical pruning encompasses a number of continuous and discrete pruning operations.
- Horton prune invariance is equivalent to the existence of Tokunaga coefficients  $T_k := T_{i,i+k}$  (under some natural conditions).
- Geometric Branching Model generates prune-invariant trees for an arbitrary sequence  $T_k$ .
- Tokunaga trees,  $T_k = ac^{k-1}$ , is a subclass widely seen in observations. It is equivalent to the time shift invariance.
- Future: A possibility to study non-linear wave dynamics as a dynamical pruning (proof-of-concept results for Hamilton-Jacobi systems).

- Generalized dynamical pruning encompasses a number of continuous and discrete pruning operations.
- Horton prune invariance is equivalent to the existence of Tokunaga coefficients  $T_k := T_{i,i+k}$  (under some natural conditions).
- Geometric Branching Model generates prune-invariant trees for an arbitrary sequence *T<sub>k</sub>*.
- Tokunaga trees,  $T_k = ac^{k-1}$ , is a subclass widely seen in observations. It is equivalent to the time shift invariance.
- Future: A possibility to study non-linear wave dynamics as a dynamical pruning (proof-of-concept results for Hamilton-Jacobi systems).

- Generalized dynamical pruning encompasses a number of continuous and discrete pruning operations.
- Horton prune invariance is equivalent to the existence of Tokunaga coefficients  $T_k := T_{i,i+k}$  (under some natural conditions).
- Geometric Branching Model generates prune-invariant trees for an arbitrary sequence  $T_k$ .
- Tokunaga trees,  $T_k = ac^{k-1}$ , is a subclass widely seen in observations. It is equivalent to the time shift invariance.
- Future: A possibility to study non-linear wave dynamics as a dynamical pruning (proof-of-concept results for Hamilton-Jacobi systems).

- Generalized dynamical pruning encompasses a number of continuous and discrete pruning operations.
- Horton prune invariance is equivalent to the existence of Tokunaga coefficients  $T_k := T_{i,i+k}$  (under some natural conditions).
- Geometric Branching Model generates prune-invariant trees for an arbitrary sequence  $T_k$ .
- Tokunaga trees,  $T_k = ac^{k-1}$ , is a subclass widely seen in observations. It is equivalent to the time shift invariance.
- Future: A possibility to study non-linear wave dynamics as a dynamical pruning (proof-of-concept results for Hamilton-Jacobi systems).

- Generalized dynamical pruning encompasses a number of continuous and discrete pruning operations.
- Horton prune invariance is equivalent to the existence of Tokunaga coefficients  $T_k := T_{i,i+k}$  (under some natural conditions).
- Geometric Branching Model generates prune-invariant trees for an arbitrary sequence  $T_k$ .
- Tokunaga trees,  $T_k = ac^{k-1}$ , is a subclass widely seen in observations. It is equivalent to the time shift invariance.
- Future: A possibility to study non-linear wave dynamics as a dynamical pruning (proof-of-concept results for Hamilton-Jacobi systems).

### References



```
Y. Kovchegov and I. Zaliapin (2018)
```

Tokunaga self similarity arises naturally from time invariance arxiv:1803.03741

		L
		L
		L

Y. Kovchegov and I. Zaliapin (2017) Horton self-similarity of Kingman's coalescent tree Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincare (B) Probability and Statistics, **53**(3), 1069-1107.

M. Arnold, Y. Kovchegov and I. Zaliapin (2017) Dynamical pruning of binary trees with applications to inviscid Burgers equation

arxiv:1707.01984



Y. Kovchegov and I. Zaliapin (2016) Horton Law in Self-Similar Trees Fractals, 24, 1650017



Y. Kovchegov and I. Zaliapin (2016) Random self-similar trees and a hierarchical branching process arxiv:1608.05032, in review



I. Zaliapin and Y. Kovchegov (2012)

Tokunaga and Horton self-similarity for level set trees of Markov chains Chaos, Solitons, and Fractals, **45**, 358-372.