

9. EIGENVALUES: important in engineering applications

such as structural engineering (e.g. example of bridge).

Associated with resonances of system, dynamical systems, stability ...

(SY)

§ 9.1 Linear Algebra preliminaries

Def (eigenvalue, eigenvectors) Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. A non-zero vector $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is an eigenvector of A and λ its corresponding eigenvalue if

$$A\underline{x} = \lambda \underline{x}$$

Def (Linear independence) Let $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ be a set of vectors. The set is linearly independent if:

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i v_i = 0 \iff \alpha_i = 0, i = 1 \dots k.$$

Theorem (Basis): Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be a set of linearly indep vectors of \mathbb{R}^n . Then:

$$\forall \underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \exists \beta_i \text{ s.t. } \underline{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i v_i$$

proof: $A = [v_1 | v_2 | \dots | v_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

$$\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\} \text{ lin. indep} \iff (A \underline{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i v_i = 0 \iff \underline{\alpha} = 0)$$

$\Rightarrow A$ is invertible (or non-singular)

Thus $\underline{x} = A \underline{\beta}$, where $\underline{\beta} = A^{-1} \underline{x}$,

Def (Dimension) The dimension of a vector subspace is the max. number of linearly independent vectors spanning the set.

Eigenvalue decomposition

For a square matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ the eigenvalue decomposition is a factorization:

$$A = X \Lambda X^{-1}$$

(note: is not always possible!)

$$\Leftrightarrow A X = X \Lambda$$

$$\Leftrightarrow A [x_1 | x_2 | \dots | x_n] = [x_1 | x_2 | \dots | x_n] \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Def (characteristic polynomial) The characteristic poly of

some $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$

$$p_A(z) = \det(zI - A) = m \text{ degree poly.}$$

Theorem: λ is an eigenvalue of $A \Leftrightarrow p_A(\lambda) = 0$

proof: λ eigenvalue $\Leftrightarrow \exists x \neq 0$ s.t. $\lambda x - Ax = 0$

$\Leftrightarrow zI - A$ is singular

$$\Leftrightarrow \det(zI - A) = 0$$

This theorem means that even if $A \in \mathbb{R}^n$, spectrum may be complex.
(physically complex eigenvalues give oscillatory behaviour).

Def (Algebraic Multiplicity)

Fundamental theorem of algebra $\Rightarrow p_A(z) = (z - \lambda_1)(z - \lambda_2) \dots (z - \lambda_m)$

The algebraic multiplicity is the multiplicity of λ as a root of $p_A(z)$ i.e. how many times $z - \lambda$ appears in $p_A(z)$.

Def (Geometric Multiplicity)

56

Let λ be an eigenvalue of A .

$$E_\lambda = \{x \mid Ax = \lambda x\} = \text{vector space}$$

= eigenspace or invariant subspace of A .

$[AE_A \subset E_A]$

geometric multiplicity of $\lambda = \dim E_\lambda = \dim \text{null}(\lambda I - A)$.

Theorem : Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, A has m eigenvalues counted with algebraic multiplicity. (easy corollary of fundamental theorem of algebra)

Similarity transformations If $X \in \mathbb{C}^m$ is non-singular, then the map $A \rightarrow X^{-1}AX$ is a similarity transformation.
 A and B are similar if there is a similarity transf s.t.
 $A = X^{-1}BX$. (\sim change of basis)

Theorem (similarity) if X is non-singular then A and $X^{-1}AX$ have the same $p_A(z)$, eigenvalues and algebraic / geometric multiplicities.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Proof: } p_{X^{-1}AX}(z) &= \det(zI - X^{-1}AX) = \det(X^{-1}(zI - A)X) \\ &= \det(zI - A) = p_A(z)\end{aligned}$$

proves same eigenvalues and algebraic multiplicity

Also if E_λ is an eigenspace for A then $X^{-1}E_\lambda$ is an eigenspace for $X^{-1}AX$ as well.

Theorem: algebraic multiplicity \geq geom. multiplicity.

(54)

Matrices for which geom. multiplicity $<$ algebraic mult are called defective.

Example:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & & \\ & 2 & \\ & & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & \\ & 2 & 1 \\ & & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad p_A(\lambda) = (\lambda - 2)^3$$

$$p_B(\lambda) = (\lambda - 2)^3$$

\Rightarrow same eigenvalues and algebraic mult.

$$(\lambda = 2)$$

(alg. mult of 2 is 3)

Three lin indep eigenvectors of A are: \Rightarrow geom mult is 3
 e_1, e_2, e_3 .

For B the only eigenvector possible is e_1 . \Rightarrow geom mult is 1.

Theorem: A non defective $\Leftrightarrow A = X \Lambda X^{-1}$ (diagonalizable)

Def: A matrix Q is unitary if $Q^* Q = I$.

The columns of a unitary matrix are orthogonal.

$$Q^{-1} = Q^*$$

Theorem: Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$

$A = A^* \Rightarrow A = Q \Lambda Q^*$, $\begin{cases} Q \text{ unitary} \\ \Lambda \text{ diagonal} \end{cases}$
(Hermitian)
and eigenvalues are real.

Theorem: A is unitarily diagonalizable iff it is normal,
i.e. $A^* A = A A^*$.

Schur Factorization (behind famous QR algo)

(59)

$$A = QTQ^* \quad T = (\nabla) \quad Q = \text{unitary}$$

This is an eigenvalue revealing factorization because A and T are similar
 \Rightarrow eigenvalues of A appear on diagonal of T .

Theorem: $\forall A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ admits a Schur factorization

proof: By induction on size of A .

$n=1$ trivial

Assume any matrix of size n has a Schur factorization.

Consider

$A \in \mathbb{C}^{(n+1) \times (n+1)}$, let x be an eigenvector of A w/eigenvalue λ .

$$U = \left[\frac{x}{\|x\|}, \underbrace{\dots}_{\text{complete basis}} \right] = \text{unitary}$$

Then: $U^* A U = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & B \\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix}$. By induction hyp:

$$C = VT V^*, \quad T = (\nabla)$$

$V = \text{unitary}$

$$\text{let } Q = U \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Then } Q^* A Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & V^* \end{bmatrix} U^* A U \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & V^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & B \\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & B \\ 0 & V^* C \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & BV \\ 0 & T \end{bmatrix} = (\nabla). \quad \text{QED}$$

This is an existence proof! it does not tell us how to compute Schur fact!

Note: all eigenvalue algorithms need to be iterative.

The reason is that finding eigenvalues \Leftrightarrow finding roots of a poly.

(\Rightarrow) characteristic poly

(\Leftarrow) companion matrix of poly:

$$P(z) = z^m + a_{m-1} z^{m-1} + \dots + a_1 z + a_0$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -a_0 \\ 1 & -a_1 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 - a_{m-2} \\ & 1 - a_{m-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Can verify that if z is a root of P :
 $v = (1, z, z^2, \dots, z^{m-1})$ is a left eigenvector
of A with eigenvalue z :

$$v^T A = z v^T$$

And it is a well known fact that no general formula exists for computing
the roots of a polynomial of degree ≥ 5 , so we can only hope to
approximate them through an iterative process.

G 9.2 Power Method

Power method (or iteration) algorithm

$v^{(0)}$ = some vector with $\|v^{(0)}\|_\infty = 1 = \|v_p^{(0)}\|$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$

$$w^{(k)} = A v^{(k-1)}$$

$$v^{(k)} = w^{(k)} / \|w^{(k)}\|_\infty$$

$$\gamma^{(k)} = v_p^{(k)}, \text{ where } p = \underset{\text{smallest}}{\text{index s.t. }} |v_p^{(k-1)}| = \|v_p^{(k-1)}\|_\infty = 1$$

The iteration stops when two successive iterates become close to within some tolerance.

The power method finds the largest eigenvalue (in magnitude) and its associated eigenvector.

(60)

Here is a sketch of the convergence proof.

For simplicity we assume A is diagonalizable. We also assume that the largest eigenvalue (in magnitude) of A is simple. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of A ordered s.t.

$$|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| \geq |\lambda_3| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_m|.$$

Let $q_1, q_2, q_3, \dots, q_m$ the associated eigenvectors
(lin. indep.)

Then given $v^{(0)}$ (The starting vector) $\exists \beta_i$ s.t.

$$v^{(0)} = \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i q_i.$$

$$Av^{(0)} = \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i \lambda_i q_i$$

$$A^k v^{(0)} = \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i \lambda_i^k q_i = \lambda_1^k \beta_1 q_1 + \lambda_1^k \sum_{i=2}^m \beta_i \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1}\right)^k q_i$$

It's not hard to see that when we apply the power method,

we have:

$$v^{(k)} = \frac{A^k v^{(0)}}{\|A^k v^{(0)}\|} = \frac{\lambda_1^k (\beta_1 q_1 + \sum_{i=2}^m \beta_i \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1}\right)^k q_i)}{\|\lambda_1^k (\beta_1 q_1 + \sum_{i=2}^m \beta_i \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1}\right)^k q_i)\|_\infty}$$

$$\text{Now since } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1}\right)^k = 0, i = 2, \dots, m,$$

we have $v^{(k)} \rightarrow$ a multiple of q_1 .

and the rate of convergence is linear with ratio $\left|\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right|$.

Drawback of power method: (for both vector & eigenvalue)

- nobody guarantees initial sol has component in direction of largest eigenvector
- computes only largest eigenvalue & eigenvector
 - (we will see how to fix this)
- convergence rate can be very slow if $\lambda_1 \approx \lambda_2$.

Advantages

- Algorithm works even when A is non-diagonalizable.

- Simplicity.
- Uses only matrix-vector prod.

It's possible to get faster linear convergence of the eigenvalues in the case where A is real & symmetric.

Power method (symmetric case)

$v^{(0)}$ = some vector with $\|v^{(0)}\| = 1$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$

$$w^{(k)} = A v^{(k-1)}$$

$$v^{(k)} = w^{(k)} / \|w^{(k)}\|$$

$$\lambda^{(k)} = (v^{(k)})^T A v^{(k)}$$

faster than in
general case.

In this case we can expect: $|\lambda^{(k)} - \lambda_1| = O\left(\left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^{2k}\right)$

$$\text{and } \|v^{(k)} - q_1\| = O\left(\left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^k\right)$$

depends on sign of multiple of q_1 ,
we converge to.

The reason behind the update in $\lambda^{(k)}$ is the so called Rayleigh quotient:

$$r(x) = \frac{x^T A x}{x^T x}$$

For real symmetric matrices it can be shown that:

$$\lambda_{\min} \leq r(x) \leq \lambda_{\max}$$

So if $v^{(k)}$ is a good approx to q_1 , then:

$$\frac{v^{(k)\top} A v^{(k)}}{v^{(k)\top} v^{(k)}} \approx \frac{q_1^T A q_1}{q_1^T q_1} = \lambda_1 \frac{q_1^T q_1}{q_1^T q_1}$$

The power method can be modified to zoom in and find the closest eigenvalue to some μ . The idea is that:

if w is an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue λ
 then $w \xrightarrow{(A-\mu I)^{-1}} \frac{w}{(A-\mu I)^{-1}}$

So if we apply power method to matrix $(A-\mu I)^{-1}$, the method will converge to the largest eigenvalue $|\lambda_j - \mu|^{-1}$, a in the closest to μ . Thus method is:

Inverse iteration

$w^{(0)}$ = some vector with $\|w^{(0)}\|=1$,

for $k=1, 2, \dots$

solve $(A-\mu I)w^{(k)} = w^{(k-1)}$ for $w^{(k)}$ ($= \text{apply } (A-\mu I)^{-1}$)

$$w^{(k)} = w^{(k)} / \|w^{(k)}\|$$

$$\gamma^{(k)} = w^{(k)\top} A w^{(k)}$$

(here we wrote the version for symmetric matrices, but the same modification can be carried out in the original method)

Convergence is still linear, but once we control μ , we can control the convergence rate.

Suppose λ_{J_1} is the closest eigenvalue of A to μ

$\lambda_{J_2} \xrightarrow{\text{next to closest}}$

$$|\lambda_{J_1} - \mu| < |\lambda_{J_2} - \mu| \leq |\lambda_i - \mu| \text{ for } i \neq J_1$$

then:

$$|\gamma^{(k)} - \lambda_{J_1}| = O\left(\left|\frac{\mu - \lambda_{J_1}}{\mu - \lambda_{J_2}}\right|^{2k}\right)$$

and

$$\|v^{(k)} - (\pm q_i)\| = \mathcal{O}\left(\left|\frac{\mu - \lambda_{j_1}}{\mu - \lambda_{j_2}}\right|^k\right)$$

So why not use rawable shifts μ that get closer to the eigenvalue of interest?

This gives the following algo:

Rayleigh quotient iteration

$v^{(0)}$ = some vector with $\|v^{(0)}\| = 1$

$\lambda^{(0)} = v^{(0)T} A v^{(0)}$ = Rayleigh quot.

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$

solve $(A - \lambda^{(k-1)} I) w^{(k)} = v^{(k-1)}$ (apply $(A - \lambda^{(k-1)} I)^{-1}$)

$$v^{(k)} = w^{(k)} / \|w^{(k)}\|$$

$$\lambda^{(k)} = v^{(k)T} A v^{(k)}$$

(update guess with
Rayleigh quot)

Convergence of RQI is one of the rare cases where one gets cubic convergence!

If the start vector $v^{(0)}$ is sufficiently close to the eigenvector q_j :

$$\|v^{(k+1)} - (\pm q_j)\| = \mathcal{O}(\|v^{(k)} - (\pm) q_j\|^3)$$

$$|\lambda^{(k+1)} - \lambda_j| = \mathcal{O}(|\lambda^{(k)} - \lambda_j|^3)$$