

ected

A path γ from x to y in a metric space X is a cts

function $\gamma: [0,1] \rightarrow X$ s.t.

$$\gamma(0) = x, \quad \gamma(1) = y.$$

A space X is called path connected

if for every $x, y \in X$ \exists a path γ in X from x to y .

Thm If $E \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ is open then E is path connected iff E is connected.

proof: Suppose U is ~~path connected~~ not connected

ie. $\exists U, V$ open ~~are~~ disjoint

$$w/ \quad U \cap V \neq \emptyset, \quad U \cap E \neq \emptyset.$$

Let $x \in U \cap E$ and $y \in V \cap E$

and γ a path from x to y

Since the image of connected set under
cts fn is connected $\gamma([0,1])$

is connected, but U, V

separate $\gamma([0,1])$ as well since

$x \in U \cap \gamma([0,1])$ and $y \in V \cap \gamma([0,1])$

$\Rightarrow \leftarrow$ so E not path connected.

Now suppose E is connected and

$\exists x, y \in E$ with not no cts

path in E between them.

Call $U = \{z \in E : \exists \text{ path from } x \text{ to } z \text{ in } E\}$

$V = \{z \in E : \exists \text{ path from } y \text{ to } z \text{ in } E\}$

$W = \{z \in E : z \text{ does not have a path to } x \text{ or } y \text{ in } E\}$

Claim U, V, W are open, all disjoint

thus ~~that~~ $U \cup W$ and V would

separate E (which is a contradiction)

(1) U open

suppose $z \in E$ so \exists path γ from
 x to z .

let $\delta > 0$ small enough that $B(z, \delta) \subset E$
(since $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open)

for $z' \in B(z, \delta)$ define

$$\gamma'(t) = \begin{cases} \gamma\left(\frac{t}{1-\delta}\right) & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1-\delta \\ z + (t - (1-\delta)) \frac{(z' - z)}{|z' - z|} & \text{for } 1-\delta \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

which is now a path from x to z'

$$\Rightarrow z' \in U \Rightarrow B(z, \delta) \subset U$$

so U is open. \square

Same argument $\Rightarrow V$ is open

~~Since $E = U \cup V \cup W$~~

~~all disjoint and E open~~

for W , since E is open \Rightarrow

$\forall z \in W \exists$ open set $B(z, \delta) \subset E$

suppose $B(z, \delta) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ & $B(z, \delta) \cap V \neq \emptyset$

nonempty then we could make

a path in E from x or y resp

to z as before which

contradicts $z \in W \Rightarrow B(z, \delta) \subset W$.

~~Since~~

Similar argument for U, V, W disjoint.

If $z \in U \cap V$ then $\exists \gamma_1, \gamma_2$ s.t.

γ_1 path x to z , γ_2 path y to z

$$\gamma(t) = \begin{cases} \gamma_1(2t) & 0 \leq t \leq 1/2 \\ \gamma_2(1-2(t-1/2)) & 1/2 \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

is a path from x to y which we assumed did not exist.

So U and V separate \mathbb{E}

which is a contradiction.

□

Example Metric space which is connected

but not path connected.

Let $X =$ the closure in \mathbb{R}^2 of the region $\{(x, \sin \frac{1}{x}) : x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}\}$ with the Euclidean metric.