

## § 4.3: Monotone Sequences and Cauchy Sequences

Sometimes sequences converge but we are not able to find the value of the limit.

Def: A sequence  $(s_n)$  of real numbers is nondecreasing if  $s_n \leq s_{n+1}$   $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and is nonincreasing if  $s_n \geq s_{n+1}$   $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . A sequence is monotone if it is either nonincreasing or nondecreasing.

Ex:  $a_n = n$   
 $b_n = 2^n$   
 $c_n = 2 - \frac{1}{n}$  } all increasing sequences

$d_n = (1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, \dots)$  is non-decreasing

$s_n = \frac{2}{n}$   
 $t_n = -3n$  } decreasing

$u_n = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, \dots)$  is both increasing and decreasing.

$x_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{n}$   
 $y_n = \cos\left(\frac{\pi n}{3}\right)$  } not monotonic

Theorem 58: (Monotone Convergence Theorem) A monotone sequence is convergent iff it is bounded.

Pf: Suppose that  $(s_n)$  is bounded and increasing. Let  $S = \{s_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , then  $S$  is bounded so by the completeness axiom,  $S$  has a least upper bound, so let  $\sup S = S$ . We claim  $\lim s_n = S$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $S - \epsilon < S$ , so  $\exists N$  s.t.  $s_N > S - \epsilon$ . Also since  $(s_n)$  is increasing,  $\forall n > N$ ,  $S - \epsilon < s_N < s_n < S$ .  $\therefore \lim s_n = S$ .

In the case where  $(s_n)$  is decreasing, let  $s = \inf S$ , and proceed in a similar manner.

The converse implication was proven in Theorem 48.  $\square$

Ex: We want to show  $(s_n)$  converges where  $s_n$  is given by:

$$s_1 = 1, \quad s_{n+1} = \sqrt{1+s_n}.$$

Let's show this by showing  $(s_n)$  is increasing and bounded.

Claim:  $s_n \leq s_{n+1} \quad \forall n$ .

Proof by induction:

Let  $n=1$ . Then  $s_1 = 1$ ,  $s_2 = \sqrt{1+1} = \sqrt{2}$ ,  $1 < \sqrt{2}$ , so  $n=1$  is true.

Assume  $s_k \leq s_{k+1}$  for some  $k \geq 1$ . Then

$$s_{k+1} = \sqrt{s_k+1} < \sqrt{1+s_{k+1}} = s_{k+2}.$$

$\therefore$  By induction,  $s_n \leq s_{n+1} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Claim:  $s_n \leq 2 \quad \forall n$ .

Proof by induction.

Let  $n=1$ . Then  $s_1 = 1 < 2$ , so  $n=1$  is true.

Assume  $s_k \leq 2$  for some  $k \geq 1$ .

$$\text{Then } s_{k+1} = \sqrt{1+s_k} < \sqrt{1+2} = \sqrt{3} < 2.$$

$\therefore s_n \leq 2 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Also  $(s_n)$  is bounded below by  $s_1 = 1$ , since it is increasing, so  $(s_n)$  is a bounded monotonic sequence  $\Rightarrow$  it converges.

What does it converge to?

Since  $\lim s_{n+1} = \lim s_n$ , we see  $s$  must satisfy

$$s = \sqrt{s+1}$$

$$\Rightarrow s^2 = s+1$$

$$s^2 - s - 1 = 0$$

$$s = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1+4}}{2}$$

$$s = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$$

$$\text{But } s_n \geq 1 \Rightarrow s = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

Theorem 59: a) If  $(s_n)$  is an unbounded increasing sequence

then  $\lim s_n = +\infty$

b) If  $(s_n)$  is an unbounded decreasing sequence,  
then  $\lim s_n = -\infty$ .

Pf: Let  $(s_n)$  be an increasing sequence and suppose that the set  $S = \{s_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is unbounded. Since  $(s_n)$  is increasing,  $S$  is bounded below by  $s_1$ . Hence  $S$  must be unbounded above. Thus given any  $M \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $s_n > M$ . But then for any  $n > N$  we have  $s_n \geq s_N > M$ , so  $\lim s_n = +\infty$ . The proof of (b) is similar.  $\Rightarrow$

## Cauchy Sequences

Def: A sequence  $(s_n)$  of real numbers is said to be a Cauchy

Sequence if

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } m, n > N \Rightarrow |s_n - s_m| < \epsilon.$$

Lemma 2: Every convergent sequence is a Cauchy sequence.

Pf: Suppose  $(s_n) \rightarrow S$ . By the triangle inequality we have

$$|s_n - s_m| = |s_n - S + S - s_m| \leq |s_n - S| + |S - s_m|.$$

Thus given any  $\epsilon > 0$ , choose  $N$  s.t.  $n > N \Rightarrow |s_n - S| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ .

Then for  $m, n > N$ ,

$$|s_n - s_m| \leq |s_n - S| + |S - s_m| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon. \quad \square$$

Thus  $(s_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence.

Lemma 3: Every Cauchy sequence is bounded.

Pf: Exercise.

Theorem 60: (Cauchy convergence Criterion) A sequence of real numbers is convergent iff it is a Cauchy sequence.

Pf: We have already shown  $(\Rightarrow)$  Lemma 2. For the converse, suppose  $(s_n)$  is Cauchy and let  $S = \{s_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

If  $S$  is finite, then  $\varepsilon = \min \{|s_k - s_m| : s_k, s_m \in S\} > 0$ . Since  $(s_n)$  is Cauchy  $\exists N$  s.t.  $m, n > N \Rightarrow |s_n - s_m| < \varepsilon$ . Let  $n_0$  be the smallest integer greater than  $N$ . Given any  $m > N$ ,  $s_m$  and  $s_{n_0}$  are in  $S$ , so if  $|s_m - s_{n_0}| < \varepsilon$ , then  $|s_m - s_{n_0}| = 0$  since  $\varepsilon = \min$  distance between any  $s_k$ 's in  $S$ . Thus  $s_{n_0} = s_m \forall m > N$ .  
 $\therefore \lim s_n = s_{n_0}$ .

Now suppose  $S$  is infinite. From lemma 3 we know  $S$  is bounded. Thus from the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem,  $\exists s \in \mathbb{R}$  s.t.  $s$  is an accumulation point of  $S$ . We claim  $(s_n) \rightarrow s$ .

Given any  $\varepsilon > 0 \exists N$  s.t.  $|s_n - s_m| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \forall n, m > N$ . Since  $s$  is an accumulation pt of  $S$ , the Nbd  $N(s; \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) = (s - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, s + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$  contains infinitely many pts of  $S$ . Thus  $\exists m > N$  s.t.  $s_m \in N(s; \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$ . Hence  $\forall n > N$  we have

$$|s_n - s| = |s_n - s_m + s_m - s| \leq |s_n - s_m| + |s_m - s| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

$\therefore \lim s_n = s.$

Note: Thrm 60 depends on  $\mathbb{R}$  being complete since it uses Bolzano-Weierstrass. In fact it can be shown an Archimedean ordered field is complete iff the Cauchy convergence criterion holds. The notion of Cauchy sequences can be defined whenever there is a notion of distance. In this more general setting, a Cauchy sequence may not necessarily converge, but it will be bounded.

Ex: Let  $S_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}$ .

Show  $(S_n)$  diverges.

If  $m > n$ ,

$$S_m - S_n = \frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+2} + \dots + \frac{1}{m}$$

$$> \underbrace{\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{m} + \dots + \frac{1}{m}}_{m-n \text{ terms}}$$

$$= \frac{m-n}{m}$$

$$= 1 - \frac{n}{m}$$

In particular when  $m = 2n$ ,  $S_{2n} - S_n > \frac{1}{2}$ .

So  $(S_n)$  is not Cauchy  $\Rightarrow (S_n)$  diverges.

