

Towards LSP

Proposition 3.2: Every line has exactly two sides and they are disjoint.

• This proof will consist of three parts.

- 1. There are at least two sides
- 2. There are no more than two sides
- 3. These two sides are disjoint

1. There are at least two sides

Let *l* be a line. By Proposition 2.3 there is a point P not on *l*. By *I-2*, there is a point R on *l*. By *B-2*, there is a point Q such that P*R*Q. By definition P and Q are on opposite sides of *l*. We have
P∉side(Q, *l*) and Q∉side(P, *l*)

In particular, side(Q, l) \neq side(P, l).

2. There are no more than two sides

Suppose S is not a point lying on l. Then S and P are either on the same side of l or on opposite sides. If S and P are on the same side of l, then $S \in side(P, l)$. By Lemma 3.1.5 side(S, l) = side(P, l).

If S and P are on opposite sides, then by *B-4*, S and Q are on the same side of l, so $S \in \text{side}(Q, l)$. We now have side(S, l) = side(Q, l), by Lemma 3.1.5. Therefore, side(P,l) and side(Q, l) are the only two sides.

3. These two sides are disjoint

We want to show that side(P, l) \cap side(Q, l) = \emptyset .

Suppose not, i.e. there is a point $S \in \text{side}(P, l) \cap \text{side}(Q, l)$. Since $S \in \text{side}(P, l)$ we have that S and P are on the same side of *l*. Since $S \in \text{side}(Q, l)$ we have that S and Q are on the same side of *l*. By B-4, P and Q are on the same side of *l*, contradiction.

Question:

- If side(P, l) \cap side(Q, m)= \emptyset , what can you say about P, Q, l and m?
 - \square *l* = *m* and P and Q are on opposite sides of *l*
 - *l* || *m*. Let L be the point on *l* that lies on PQ (exists because P and Q are on opposite sides of *l*), so P*L*Q. Let M be the point on *m* that lies on PQ (exists because P and Q are on opposite sides of *m*), so P*M*Q.
 - We can conclude that P*L*M and L*M*Q. Why? Is this true in general?