

Calculus in Gauss Space

1. The Gradient Operator

The n -dimensional Lebesgue space is the measurable space $(\mathbb{E}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{E}^n))$ —where $\mathbb{E} = [0, 1)$ or $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{R}$ —endowed with the Lebesgue measure, and the “calculus of functions” on Lebesgue space is just “real and harmonic analysis.”

The n -dimensional Gauss space is the same measure space $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ as in the previous paragraph, but now we endow that space with the Gauss measure \mathbb{P}_n in place of the Lebesgue measure. Since the Gauss space $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathbb{P}_n)$ is a probability space, we can—and frequently will—think of any measurable function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as a random variable. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}\{f \in A\} = \mathbb{P}_n\{f \in A\} = \mathbb{P}_n\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) \in A\},$$

$$\mathbb{E}(f) = \mathbb{E}_n(f) = \int f \, d\mathbb{P}_n = \int f \, d\mathbb{P},$$

$$\text{Cov}(f, g) = \langle f, g \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{P})} = \int fg \, d\mathbb{P},$$

etc. Note, also, that $f = f(Z)$ for all random variables f , where Z is the standard normal random vector $Z(x) := x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, as before. In particular,

$$\mathbb{E}(f) = \mathbb{E}_n(f) = \mathbb{E}[f(Z)],$$

$$\text{Var}(f) = \text{Var}[f(Z)], \quad \text{Cov}(f, g) = \text{Cov}[f(Z), g(Z)], \dots$$

and so on, notation being typically obvious from context.

Let $\partial_j := \partial/\partial x_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$ and let $\nabla := (\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n)$ denote the gradient operator, acting on continuously-differentiable functions $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. From now on we will use the following.

Definition 1.1. Let $C_0^k(\mathbb{P}_n)$ denote the collection of all infinitely-differentiable functions $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that f and all of its mixed derivatives of order $\leq k$ grow more slowly than $[\gamma_n(x)]^{-\varepsilon}$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Equivalently, $f \in C_0^k(\mathbb{P}_n)$ if and only if

$$\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\varepsilon\|x\|^2} |f(x)| = \lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\varepsilon\|x\|^2} |(\partial_{i_1} \cdots \partial_{i_m} f)(x)| = 0,$$

for all $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq n$ and $1 \leq m \leq k$. We also define

$$C_0^\infty(\mathbb{P}_n) := \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} C_0^k(\mathbb{P}_n).$$

We will frequently use the following without mention.

Lemma 1.2. If $f \in C_0^k(\mathbb{P}_n)$, then

$$\mathbb{E}(|f|^p) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}(|\partial_{i_1} \cdots \partial_{i_m} f|^p) < \infty,$$

for all $1 \leq p < \infty$, $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq n$, and $1 \leq m \leq k$.

I omit the proof since it is elementary.

For every $f \in C_0^1(\mathbb{P}_n)$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{1,2}^2 &:= \int |f(x)|^2 \mathbb{P}_n(dx) + \int \|(\nabla f)(x)\|^2 \mathbb{P}_n(dx) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(|f|^2) + \mathbb{E}(\|\nabla f\|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\|\cdot\|_{1,2}$ is a *bona fide* Hilbertian norm on $C_0^2(\mathbb{P}_n)$ with Hilbertian inner product

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g \rangle_{1,2} &:= \int fg \, d\mathbb{P}_n + \int (\nabla f) \cdot (\nabla g) \, d\mathbb{P}_n \\ &= \mathbb{E}[fg] + \mathbb{E}[\nabla f \cdot \nabla g]. \end{aligned}$$

We will soon see that $C_0^2(\mathbb{P}_n)$ is not a Hilbert space with the preceding norm and inner product because it is not complete. This observation prompts the following definition.

Definition 1.3. The *Gaussian Sobolev space* $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{P}_n)$ is the completion of $C_0^1(\mathbb{P}_n)$ in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1,2}$.

In order to understand what the elements of $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{P}_n)$ look like consider a function $f \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{P}_n)$. By definition we can find a sequence

$f_1, f_2, \dots \in C_0^1(\mathbb{P}_n)$ such that $\|f_\ell - f\|_{1,2} \rightarrow 0$ as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$. Since $L^2(\mathbb{P}_n)$ is complete, we can deduce also that

$$D_j f := \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \partial_j f_\ell \quad \text{exists in } L^2(\mathbb{P}_n) \text{ for every } 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

It follows, by virtue of construction, that

$$Df = \nabla f \quad \text{for all } f \in C_0^1(\mathbb{P}_n).$$

Therefore, D is an extension of the gradient operator from $C_0^1(\mathbb{P}_n)$ to $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{P}_n)$. From now on, I will almost always write Df in favor of ∇f when $f \in C_0^1(\mathbb{P}_n)$. This is because Df can make sense even when f is not in $C_0^1(\mathbb{P}_n)$, as we will see in the next few examples.

In general, we can think of elements of $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{P}_n)$ as functions in $L^2(\mathbb{P}_n)$ that have one weak derivative in $L^2(\mathbb{P}_n)$. We may refer to the linear operator D as the *Malliavin derivative*, and the random variable Df as the [generalized] *gradient of f* . We will formalize this notation further at the end of this section. For now, let us note instead that the standard Sobolev space $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is obtained in exactly the same way as $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{P}_n)$ was, but the Lebesgue measure is used in place of \mathbb{P}_n everywhere. Since $\gamma_n(x) = d\mathbb{P}_n(x)/dx < 1$,¹ it follows that the Hilbert space $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{P}_n)$ is richer than the Hilbert space $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, whence the Malliavin derivative is an extension of Sobolev's [generalized] gradient.²

It is a natural time to produce examples to show that the space $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{P}_n)$ is strictly larger than the space $C_0^1(\mathbb{P}_n)$ endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1,2}$.

ex:Smoothing:1

Example 1.4 ($n = 1$). Consider the case $n = 1$ and let

$$f(x) := (1 - |x|)_+ \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then we claim that $f \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{P}_1) \setminus C_0^1(\mathbb{P}_1)$ and in fact we have the \mathbb{P}_1 -a.s. identity,³

$$(Df)(x) = -\text{sign}(x)\mathbb{1}_{[-1,1]}(x),$$

whose intuitive meaning ought to be clear.

In order to prove these assertions let ψ_1 be a symmetric probability density function on \mathbb{R} such that $\psi_1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\psi_1 \equiv$ a positive constant on $[-1, 1]$, and $\psi_1 \equiv 0$ off of $[-2, 2]$. For every real number $r > 0$, define $\psi_r(x) := r\psi_1(rx)$ and $f_r(x) := (f * \psi_r)(x)$. Then $\sup_x |f_r(x) - f(x)| \rightarrow 0$ as

¹In other words, $\mathbb{E}(|f|^2) < \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^2 dx$ for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that are strictly positive on a set of positive Lebesgue measure.

²The extension is strict. For instance, $f(x) := \exp(x)$ [$x \in \mathbb{R}$] defines a function in $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{P}_1) \setminus W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$.

³It might help to recall that Df is defined as an element of the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{P}_1)$ in this case. Therefore, it does not make sense to try to compute $(Df)(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. This issue arises when one constructs any random variable on any probability space, of course. Also, note that \mathbb{P}_1 -a.s. equality is the same thing as Lebesgue-a.e. equality.

$N \rightarrow \infty$ because f is uniformly continuous. In particular, $\|f_N - f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}_n)} \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. To complete the proof it remains to verify that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int |f'_N(x) + \text{sign}(x)\mathbb{1}_{[-1,1]}(x)|^2 \mathbb{P}_n(dx) = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

goal:n=1

By the dominated convergence theorem and integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} f'_N(x) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y)\psi'_N(x-y) dy = \int_0^1 \psi_N(x-y) dy + \int_{-1}^0 \psi_N(x-y) dy \\ &:= -A_N(x) + B_N(x). \end{aligned}$$

I will prove that $A_N \rightarrow \mathbb{1}_{[0,\infty)}$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ in $L^2(\mathbb{P}_1)$; a small adaptation of this argument will also prove that $B_N \rightarrow \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,0]}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{P}_1)$, from which (2.1) ensues.

We can apply a change of variables, together with the symmetry of ψ_1 , in order to see that $A_N(x) = \int_{-Nx}^{N(1-x)} \psi_1(y) dy$. Therefore, $A_N(x) \rightarrow -\text{sign}(x)\mathbb{1}_{[0,\infty)}$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \neq 0$. Since $A_N(x) + \text{sign}(x)\mathbb{1}_{[0,\infty)}$ is bounded uniformly by 2, the dominated convergence theorem implies that $A_N(x) \rightarrow -\text{sign}(x)\mathbb{1}_{[-1,1]}(x)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ in $L^2(\mathbb{P}_1)$. This concludes our example.

ex:Smoothing:2

Example 1.5 ($n \geq 2$). Let us consider the case that $n \geq 2$. In order to produce a function $F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{P}_n) \setminus C_0^1(\mathbb{P}_n)$ we use the construction of the previous example and set

$$F(x) := \prod_{j=1}^n f(x_j) \text{ and } \Psi_N(x) := \prod_{j=1}^n \psi_N(x_j) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } N \geq 1.$$

Then the calculations of Example 1.4 also imply that $F_N := F * \Psi_N \rightarrow F$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1,2}$ of $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{P}_n)$, $F_N \in C_0^1(\mathbb{P}_n)$, and $F \notin C_0^1(\mathbb{P}_n)$. Thus, it follows that $F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{P}_n) \setminus C_0^1(\mathbb{P}_n)$. Furthermore,

$$(D_j F)(x) = -\text{sign}(x_j)\mathbb{1}_{[-1,1]}(x_j) \times \prod_{\substack{1 \leq \ell \leq n \\ \ell \neq j}} f(x_\ell),$$

for every $1 \leq j \leq n$ and \mathbb{P}_n -almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

ex:Lipschitz:D12

Example 1.6. The previous two examples are particular cases of a more general family of examples. Recall that a function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *Lipschitz continuous* if there exists a finite constant K such that $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq K\|x - y\|$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The smallest such constant K is called the *Lipschitz constant* of f and is denoted by $\text{Lip}(f)$. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Lipschitz function. According to Rademacher's theorem XXX, f is almost everywhere [equivalently, \mathbb{P}_n -a.s.] differentiable and $\|(\nabla f)(x)\| \leq \text{Lip}(f)$ a.s. Also note that

$$|f(x)| \leq |f(0)| + \text{Lip}(f)\|x\| \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

In particular, $\mathbb{E}(|f|^k) < \infty$ for all $k \geq 1$. A density argument, similar to the one that appeared in the preceding examples, shows that $f \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{P}_n)$ and

$$\|Df\| \leq \text{Lip}(f) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

We will appeal to this fact several times in this course.

The generalized gradient D follows more or less the same general set of rules as does the more usual gradient operator ∇ . And it frequently behaves as one expects it should even when it is understood as the Gaussian extension of ∇ ; see Examples (1.4) and (1.5) to wit. The following ought to reinforce this point of view.

lem:ChainRule

Lemma 1.7 (Chain Rule). *For all $\psi \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{P}_1)$ and $f \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{P}_n)$,*

$$D(\psi \circ f) = [(D\psi) \circ f] D(f) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Proof. If f and ψ are continuously differentiable then the chain rule of calculus ensures that $[\partial_j(\psi \circ f)](x) = \psi'(f(x))(\partial_j f)(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. That is,

$$D(g \circ f) = \nabla(\psi \circ f) = (\psi' \circ f)(\nabla f) = (D\psi)(f)D(f),$$

where $D\psi$ refers to the one-dimensional Malliavin derivative of ψ and $D(f) := Df$ refers to the n -dimensional Malliavin derivative of f . In general we appeal to a density argument. \square

Here is a final example that is worthy of mention.

ex:DM

Example 1.8. Let $M := \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} Z_j$ and note that

$$M(x) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} x_j = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \mathbb{1}_{Q(j)}(x) \quad \text{for } \mathbb{P}_n\text{-almost all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $Q(j)$ denotes the cone of all points $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $x_j \geq \max_{i \neq j} x_i$. We can approximate the indicator function of $Q(j)$ by a smooth function to see that $M \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{P}_n)$ and $D_j M = \mathbb{1}_{Q(j)}$ a.s. for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. Let

$$J(x) := \arg \max(x).$$

Clearly, J is defined uniquely for \mathbb{P}_n -almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. And our computation of $D_j M$ is equivalent to

$$(DM)(x) = J(x) \quad \text{for } \mathbb{P}_n\text{-almost all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where e_1, \dots, e_n denote the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^n .

Let us end this section by introducing a little more notation.

The preceding discussion constructs, for every function $f \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{P}_n)$, the Malliavin derivative Df as an \mathbb{R}^n -valued function with coordinates in $L^2(\mathbb{P}_n)$. We will use the following natural notations exchangeably:

$$(Df)(x, j) := [(Df)(x)]_j = (D_j f)(x),$$

for every $f \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{P}_n)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $1 \leq j \leq n$. In this way we may also think of Df as a scalar-valued element of the real Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{P}_n \times \chi_n)$, where

Definition 1.9. χ_n always denotes the counting measure on $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

We see also that the inner product on $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{P}_n)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g \rangle_{1,2} &= \langle f, g \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{P}_n)} + \langle Df, Dg \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{P}_n \times \chi_n)} \\ &= \mathbb{E}(fg) + \mathbb{E}(Df \cdot Dg) \end{aligned} \quad \text{for all } f, g \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{P}_n).$$

Definition 1.10. The random variable $Df \in L^2(\mathbb{P}_n \times \chi_n)$ is called the *Malliavin derivative* of the random variable $f \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{P}_n)$.

2. Higher-Order Derivatives

One can define higher-order weak derivatives just as easily as we obtained the directional weak derivatives.

Choose and fix $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and two integers $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. The *mixed derivative* of f in direction (i, j) is the function $x \mapsto (\partial_{i,j}^2 f)(x)$, where

$$\partial_{i,j}^2 f := \partial_i \partial_j f = \partial_j \partial_i f.$$

The *Hessian operator* ∇^2 is defined as

$$\nabla^2 := \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{1,1}^2 & \cdots & \partial_{1,n}^2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \partial_{n,1}^2 & \cdots & \partial_{n,n}^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

With this in mind, we can define a Hilbertian inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{2,2}$ via

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g \rangle_{2,2} &:= \int fg \, d\mathbb{P}_n + \int (\nabla f) \cdot (\nabla g) \, \mathbb{P}_n(dx) + \int \text{tr} \left[(\nabla^2 f)(\nabla^2 g) \right] \, d\mathbb{P}_n \\ &= \int f(x)g(x) \, \mathbb{P}_n(dx) + \sum_{i=1}^n \int (\partial_i f)(x)(\partial_i g)(x) \, \mathbb{P}_n(dx) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int (\partial_{i,j}^2 f)(x)(\partial_{i,j}^2 g)(x) \, \mathbb{P}_n(dx) \\ &= \langle f, g \rangle_{1,2} + \int (\nabla^2 f) \cdot (\nabla^2 g) \, d\mathbb{P}_n \\ &= \mathbb{E}(fg) + \mathbb{E}[\nabla f \cdot \nabla g] + \mathbb{E} \left[\nabla^2 f \cdot \nabla^2 g \right] \quad [f, g \in C_0^2(\mathbb{P}_n)], \end{aligned}$$

where $K \cdot M$ denotes the matrix—or Hilbert–Schmidt—inner product,

$$K \cdot M := \sum_{i,j=1}^n K_{i,j}M_{i,j} = \text{tr}(K'M),$$

for all $n \times n$ matrices K and M .

We also obtain the corresponding Hilbertian norm $\| \cdot \|_{2,2}$ where:

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{2,2}^2 &= \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}_n)}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \|\partial_i f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}_n)}^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|\partial_{i,j}^2 f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}_n)}^2 \\ &= \|f\|_{1,2}^2 + \left\| \nabla^2 f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}_n \times \chi_n^2)}^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left(f^2 \right) + \mathbb{E} \left(\|\nabla f\|^2 \right) + \mathbb{E} \left(\|\nabla^2 f\|^2 \right) \quad [f \in C_0^2(\mathbb{P}_n)]; \end{aligned}$$

$\chi_n^2 := \chi_n \times \chi_n$ denotes the counting measure on $\{1, \dots, n\}^2$; and

$$\|K\| := \sqrt{K \cdot K} = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1}^n K_{i,j}^2} = \sqrt{\text{tr}(K'K)}$$

denotes the Hilbert–Schmidt norm of any $n \times n$ matrix K .

Definition 2.1. The Gaussian Sobolev space $\mathbb{D}^{2,2}(\mathbb{P}_n)$ is the completion of $C_0^2(\mathbb{P}_n)$ in the norm $\| \cdot \|_{2,2}$.

For every $f \in \mathbb{D}^{2,2}(\mathbb{P}_n)$ we can find functions $f_1, f_2, \dots \in C_0^2(\mathbb{P}_n)$ such that $\|f_\ell - f\|_{2,2} \rightarrow 0$ as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$. Then $D_i f$ and $D_{i,j}^2 f := \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \partial_{i,j}^2 f_\ell$ exist in $L^2(\mathbb{P}_n)$ for every $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Equivalently, $Df = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \nabla f_\ell$ exists in $L^2(\mathbb{P}_n \times \chi_n)$ and $D^2 f = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \nabla^2 f_\ell$ exists in $L^2(\mathbb{P}_n \times \chi_n^2)$.

Choose and fix an integer $k \geq 2$. If $q = (q_1, \dots, q_k)$ is a vector of k integers in $\{1, \dots, n\}$, then write

$$(\partial_q^k f)(x) := (\partial_{q_1} \cdots \partial_{q_k} f)(x) \quad [f \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^n), x \in \mathbb{R}^n].$$

Let ∇^k denote the formal k -tensor whose q -th coordinate is ∂_q^k .

We define a Hilbertian inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{k,2}$ [inductively] via

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{k,2} = \langle f, g \rangle_{k-1,2} + \int (\nabla^k f) \cdot (\nabla^k g) d\mathbb{P}_n,$$

for all $f, g \in C_0^k(\mathbb{P}_n)$, where “ \cdot ” denotes the Hilbert–Schmidt inner product for k -tensors:

$$K \cdot M := \sum_{q \in \{1, \dots, n\}^k} K_q M_q,$$

for all k -tensors K and M . The corresponding norm is defined via $\|f\|_{k,2} := \langle f, f \rangle_{k,2}^{1/2}$.

Definition 2.2. The *Gaussian Sobolev space* $\mathbb{D}^{k,2}(\mathbb{P}_n)$ is the completion of $C_0^k(\mathbb{P}_n)$ in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{k,2}$. We also define $\mathbb{D}^{\infty,2}(\mathbb{P}_n) := \cup_{k \geq 1} \mathbb{D}^{k,2}(\mathbb{P}_n)$.

If $f \in \mathbb{D}^{k,2}(\mathbb{P}_n)$ then we can find a sequence of functions $f_1, f_2, \dots \in C_0^k(\mathbb{P}_n)$ such that $\|f_\ell - f\|_{k,2} \rightarrow 0$ as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$. It then follows that

$$D^j f := \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \nabla^j f_\ell \quad \text{exists in } L^2(\mathbb{P}_n \times \chi_n^j),$$

for every $1 \leq j \leq k$, where $\chi_n^j := \chi_n \times \cdots \times \chi_n$ [$j - 1$ times] denotes the counting measure on $\{1, \dots, n\}^j$. The operator D^k is called the *kth Malliavin derivative*.

It is easy to see that the Gaussian Sobolev spaces are nested; that is,

$$\mathbb{D}^{k,2}(\mathbb{P}_n) \subset \mathbb{D}^{k-1,2}(\mathbb{P}_n) \quad \text{for all } 2 \leq k \leq \infty.$$

Also, whenever $f \in C_0^k(\mathbb{P}_n)$, the k th Malliavin derivative of f is just the classically-defined derivative $\nabla^k f$, which is a k -dimensional tensor. Because every polynomial in n variables is in $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{P}_n)$,⁴ it follows immediately that $\mathbb{D}^{\infty,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ contains all n -variable polynomials; and that all Malliavin derivatives acts as one might expect them to.

More generally, we have the following.

⁴A function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a polynomial in n variables if it can be written as $f(x) = f_1(x_1) \times \cdots \times f_n(x_n)$, for all $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where each f_j is a polynomial on \mathbb{R} . The *degree* of the polynomial f is the maximum of the degrees of f_1, \dots, f_n . Thus, for example $f(x) = x_1 x_2^3 - 2x_5$ is a polynomial of degree 3 in 5 variables.

def:D:k,p

Definition 2.3. We define Gaussian Sobolev spaces $\mathbb{D}^{k,p}(\mathbb{P}_n)$ by completing the space $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{P}_n)$ in the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathbb{D}^{k,p}(\mathbb{P}_n)} := \left[\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{P}_n)}^p + \sum_{j=1}^k \|D^j f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{P}_n \times \chi_n^j)}^p \right]^{1/p}.$$

Each $\mathbb{D}^{k,p}(\mathbb{P}_n)$ is a Banach space in the preceding norm.

3. The Adjoint Operator

Recall the canonical Gaussian probability density function $\gamma_n := d\mathbb{P}_n/dx$ from (1.1). Since $(D_j \gamma_n)(x) = -x_j \gamma_n(x)$, we can apply the chain rule to see that for every $f, g \in C_0^1(\mathbb{P}_n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (D_j f)(x) g(x) \mathbb{P}_n(dx) &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) D_j [g(x) \gamma_n(x)] dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) (D_j g)(x) \mathbb{P}_n(dx) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) g(x) x_j \mathbb{P}_n(dx), \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Let $g := (g_1, \dots, g_n)$ and sum the preceding over all $1 \leq j \leq n$ to find the following “adjoint relation,”

$$\mathbb{E} [D_j(f)g] = \langle D_j f, g \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{P}_n)} = \langle f, A_j g \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{P}_n)} = \mathbb{E} [f A_j(g)], \quad (2.2) \quad \text{IbP}$$

where A is the formal adjoint of D ; that is,

$$(Ag)(x) := -(Dg)(x) + xg(x). \quad (2.3) \quad \text{A:g}$$

Eq. (2.3) is defined pointwise whenever $g \in C_0^1(\mathbb{P}_n)$. But it also makes sense as an identity in $L^2(\mathbb{P}_n \times \chi_n)$ if, for example, $g \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{P}_n)$ and $x \mapsto xg(x)$ is in $L^2(\mathbb{P}_n \times \chi_n)$.

Let us pause to emphasize that (2.2) can be stated equivalently as

$$\mathbb{E}[gD(f)] = \mathbb{E}[fA(g)], \quad (2.4) \quad \text{D:delta}$$

as n -vectors.⁵

If $f \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{P}_n)$, then we can always find $f_1, f_2, \dots \in C_0^1(\mathbb{P}_n)$ such that $\|f_\ell - f\|_{1,2} \rightarrow 0$ as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int g Df_\ell d\mathbb{P}_n - \int g \cdot Df d\mathbb{P}_n \right\| &\leq \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}_n \times \chi_n)} \|Df_\ell - Df\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}_n \times \chi_n)} \\ &\leq \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}_n \times \chi_n)} \|f_\ell - f\|_{2,1} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5) \quad \text{DfDf}$$

⁵If $W = (W_1, \dots, W_m)$ is a random m -vector then $\mathbb{E}(W)$ is the m -vector whose j th coordinate is $\mathbb{E}(W_j)$.

as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int f_\ell Ag \, d\mathbb{P}_n - \int f Ag \, d\mathbb{P}_n \right\| &\leq \|Ag\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}_n)} \|f_\ell - f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}_n)} \\ &\leq \|Ag\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}_n)} \|f_\ell - f\|_{1,2} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6) \quad \text{fDgfDg}$$

whenever $g \in C_0^1(\mathbb{P}_n)$. We can therefore combine (2.4), (2.5), and (2.6) in order to see that (2.4) in fact holds for all $f \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{P}_n)$ and $g \in C_0^1(\mathbb{P}_n)$.

Finally define

$$\text{Dom}[A] := \left\{ g \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{P}_n) : Ag \in L^2(\mathbb{P}_n \times \chi_n) \right\}. \quad (2.7) \quad \text{Dom:A}$$

Since $C_0^1(\mathbb{P}_n)$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{P}_n)$, we may infer from (2.4) and another density argument the following.

pr:adjoint

Proposition 3.1. *The adjoint relation (2.4) is valid for all $f \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{P}_n)$ and $g \in \text{Dom}[A]$.*

Definition 3.2. The linear operator A is the *adjoint operator*, and $\text{Dom}[A]$ is called the *domain of the definition*—or just *domain*—of A .

The linear space $\text{Dom}[A]$ has a number of nicely-behaved subspaces. The following records an example of such a subspace.

pr:Subspace

Proposition 3.3. *For every $2 < p \leq \infty$,*

$$\mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{P}_n) \cap L^p(\mathbb{P}_n) \subset \text{Dom}[A].$$

Proof. We apply Hölder's inequality to see that

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\|Z\|^2 [g(Z)]^2 \right) = \int \|x\|^2 [g(x)]^2 \mathbb{P}_n(dx) \leq c_p \|g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{P}_n)},$$

where

$$c_p = \left[\mathbb{E} \left(\|Z\|^{2p/(p-1)} \right) \right]^{(p-1)/(2p)} = \left[\int \|x\|^{2p/(p-1)} \mathbb{P}_n(dx) \right]^{(p-1)/(2p)} < \infty.$$

Therefore, $Zg(Z) \in L^2(\mathbb{P}_n \times \chi_n)$, and we may apply (2.3) to find that

$$\|Ag\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}_n \times \chi_n)} \leq \|Dg\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}_n \times \chi_n)} + c_p \|g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{P}_n)} \leq \|g\|_{1,2} + c_p \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P}_n)} < \infty.$$

This proves that $g \in \text{Dom}[A]$. \square

Very often, people prefer to use the *divergence operator* δ associated to A in place of A itself. That is, if $G = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$ with every g_i in the “domain of A_i ,” then

$$(\delta G)(x) := (A \cdot G)(x) := \sum_{i=1}^n (A_i g_i)(x).$$

If every g_i is in $C_0^1(\mathbb{P}_n)$, then (2.3) shows that

$$(\delta G)(x) = - \sum_{i=1}^n (D_i g_i)(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i g_i(x) = -(\operatorname{div} g)(x) + x \cdot g(x),$$

where “div” denotes the usual divergence operator in Lebesgue space.

We will be working directly with the adjoint in these notes, and will keep the discussion limited to A , rather than δ . Still, it is worth mentioning the scalar identity,

$$\mathbb{E}[G \cdot (Df)] = \mathbb{E}[\delta(G)f]$$

for all functions $G : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ for which δG can be defined in $L^2(\mathbb{P}_n)$ and all $f \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{P}_n)$. The preceding formula is aptly known as the *integration by parts formula* of Malliavin calculus, and is equivalent to the statement that A and D are $L^2(\mathbb{P}_n)$ -adjoints of one another, though one has to pay attention to the domains of the definition of A , D , and δ carefully in order to make precise this equivalence.