# MORE APPLICATIONS OF MARTINGALE THEORY

#### DAVAR KHOSHNEVISAN

## 1. PATTERNS

Suppose  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  are i.i.d. random variables with  $P\{X_1 = 1\} = p$  and  $P\{X_1 = 0\} = q$ , where q = 1 - p and  $0 . It is possible to use the Kolmogorov zero-one and deduce that the infinite sequence <math>X_1, X_2, \ldots$  will a.s. contain a zero, say. In fact, any predescribed finite pattern of zeroes and ones will appear infinitely often in the sequence  $\{X_1, X_2, \ldots\}$  with probability one. Let N denote the first k such that the sequence  $\{X_1, \ldots, X_k\}$  contains a pre-described, non-random pattern. Then, we wish to know E[N].

The simplest patterns are "0" and "1." So let N denote the smallest k such that  $\{X_1, \ldots, X_k\}$  contains a "0." It is not hard to convince yourself that E[N] = 1/q because N has the following geometric distribution:

(1.1) 
$$P\{N=j\} = p^{j-1}q \qquad j=1,2,\ldots$$

This calculation uses too much of the structure of the pattern "0." Here is an alternative argument, due to Robert Li Shuo-Yen (1980),<sup>1</sup> which is more robust:

Consider the process

(1.2) 
$$Y_n := \frac{1}{q} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_1=0\}} + \frac{1}{q} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_2=0\}} + \dots + \frac{1}{q} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_n=0\}}.$$

Define  $\mathscr{F}_n$  to be the  $\sigma$ -algebra defined by  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  for every  $n \geq 1$ . Then, for all  $n \geq 1$ ,

(1.3) 
$$\operatorname{E}[Y_{n+1} | \mathscr{F}_n] = Y_n + \frac{1}{q} \operatorname{P}(X_{n+1} = 0 | \mathscr{F}_n) = Y_n + 1.$$

Therefore,  $\{Y_n - n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a mean-zero martingale (check!). By the optional stopping theorem,

(1.4) 
$$\operatorname{E}\left[Y_{N\wedge n} - (N \wedge n)\right] = 0 \qquad \forall n \ge 1.$$

Date: November 4, 2005.

Research supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A martingale approach to the study of occurance of sequence patterns in repeated experiments, Ann. Probab., Vol. 8, No. 6, pp. 1171–1176 (1980)

#### D. KHOSHNEVISAN

Equivalently,  $E[N \wedge n] = E[Y_{N \wedge n}]$ . But  $N < \infty$  a.s., and both  $\{N \wedge n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\{Y_{N \wedge n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  are increasing. Therefore, we can apply the monotone convergence theorem to deduce that

(1.5) 
$$\operatorname{E}[Y_N] = \operatorname{E}[N].$$

On the other hand,  $Y_N = (1/q)$  a.s. Therefore, E[N] = 1/q, as we know already.

The advantage of the second proof is that it can be applied to other patterns. Suppose, for instance, the pattern is a sequence of  $\ell$  ones, where  $\ell$  is an integer greater than or equal to one. Consider

(1.6) 
$$Z_{n,\ell} := \frac{1}{p^{\ell}} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_1=1,\dots,X_{\ell}=1\}} + \frac{1}{p^{\ell}} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_2=1,\dots,X_{\ell+1}=1\}} + \dots + \frac{1}{p^{\ell}} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_{n-\ell}=1,\dots,X_n=1\}} + \frac{1}{p^{\ell-1}} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_{n-\ell+1}=1,\dots,X_n=1\}} + \frac{1}{p^{\ell-2}} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_{n-\ell+2}=1,\dots,X_n=1\}} + \dots + \frac{1}{p} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_n=1\}}.$$

Then, you should check that  $\{Z_{n,\ell} - n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a martingale. As before, we have  $E[Z_{N,\ell}] = E[N]$ , and now we note that  $Z_{N,\ell} = (1/p^{\ell}) + (1/p^{\ell-1}) + \cdots + (1/p)$  a.s. Therefore,

(1.7) 
$$E[N] = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{p^k} = \frac{1}{q} \left( \frac{1}{p^{\ell}} - 1 \right).$$

Therefore, set  $\ell = 2$  to find that

(1.8) 
$$\operatorname{E}[N] = \frac{1}{q} \left(\frac{1}{p^2} - 1\right) \quad \text{for the pattern "11."}$$

**Exercise 1.** Prove that if the pattern is "01," then E[N] = 1/(pq). Hint: First show that  $\{W_n - n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a martingale, where

(1.9) 
$$W_n := \frac{1}{pq} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_1=0,X_2=1\}} + \dots + \frac{1}{pq} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_{n-1}=0,X_n=1\}} + \frac{1}{q} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_n=0\}}.$$

Which of the two patterns, "01" and "11," is more likely to come first? To answer this, we first note that  $\{W_n - Z_{n,2}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a martingale, since it is the difference of two martingales.

Define T to be the smallest integer  $k \ge 1$  such that the sequence  $\{X_1, \ldots, X_k\}$  contains either "01" or "11." Then, we argue as before and find that  $E[W_T - Z_{T,2}] = 0$ . But  $W_T - Z_{T,2} = (pq)^{-1}$  on

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

{"01" comes up first}, and  $W_T - Z_{T,2} = (1/p) + (1/p^2) = (p+1)/p^2$ on {"11" comes up first}. Therefore,

(1.10)  
$$0 = E[W_T - Z_{T,2}] = \frac{1}{pq} P \{ "01" \text{ comes up first} \} + \frac{p+1}{p^2} [1 - P \{ "01" \text{ comes up first} \}]$$

Solve to find that

(1.11) 
$$P\{ (01)^n \text{ comes up before } (11)^n \} = \frac{p+1}{p+1+(p/q)}.$$

Thus,

 $P \{ "01" \text{ comes before "11"} \} < P \{ "11" \text{ comes before "01"} \}$ 

$$(1.12) \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \frac{p}{q} < p+1 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad p > \frac{\sqrt{3}-1}{2}.$$

**Exercise 2.** Find the probability that we see  $\ell$  consecutive ones before k consecutive zeroes.

## 2. Quadratic Forms

Let  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables. For a given double array  $\{a_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^{\infty}$  of real numbers, we wish to consider the "quadratic forms" process,

(2.1) 
$$Q_n := \sum_{1 \le i, j \le n} \sum_{a_{i,j} X_i X_j} \quad \forall n \ge 1.$$

Define  $a_{i,j}^* := (a_{i,j} + a_{j,i})/2$ . A little thought shows that if we replace  $a_{i,j}$  by  $a_{i,j}^*$  in  $Q_n$ , then the value of  $Q_n$  remains the same. Therefore, we can assume that  $a_{i,j} = a_{j,i}$ , and suffer no loss in generality.

The quadratic form process  $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  arises in many disciplines. For instance, in mathematical statistics,  $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  belongs to an important family of processes called "U-statistics."

Here, we wish to prove the following theorem that is essentially due to Dale E. Varberg  $(1966)^2$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose  $E[X_1] = 0$ ,  $E[X_1^2] = 1$ , and  $E[X_1^4] < \infty$ . If  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{i,j}^2 < \infty$ , then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} (Q_n - \sum_{1\leq i\leq n} a_{i,i})$  exists and is finite *a.s.* 

 $<sup>^2 {\</sup>rm Convergence}$  of quadratic forms in independent random variables, Ann. Math. Statist., Vol. 37, No. 3, pp. 567–576 (1966)

### D. KHOSHNEVISAN

Proof. Let  $A_n := \sum_{1 \le i \le n} a_{i,i}$ . Then, a direct computation reveals that  $\{Q_n - A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a mean-zero martingale. We plan to prove that  $\{Q_n - A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is bounded in  $L^2(\mathbf{P})$ . Because boundedness in  $L^2(\mathbf{P})$  implies boundedness in  $L^1(\mathbf{P})$ , the martingale convergence theorem does the rest.

Thanks to the symmetry of the  $a_{i,j}$ 's we can write

(2.2) 
$$Q_n - A_n = 2U_n + V_n,$$

where

(2.3) 
$$U_n := \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} a_{i,j} X_i X_j$$
 and  $V_n := \sum_{1 \le i \le n} a_{i,i} [X_i^2 - 1].$ 

Because  $(x+y)^2 \le 2(x^2+y^2)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ , it follows that

(2.4) 
$$(Q_n - A_n)^2 \le 8U_n^2 + 2V_n^2.$$

Therefore,

(2.5) 
$$E\left[(Q_n - A_n)^2\right] \le 8E[U_n^2] + 2E[V_n^2].$$

The second expectation is in fact the variance of the sum of n independent random variables. Therefore,

(2.6) 
$$E[V_n^2] = \sum_{1 \le i \le n} a_{i,i}^2 \operatorname{Var}(X_1^2).$$

Therefore,  $\sup_n E[V_n^2] < \infty$ . Similarly, we seek to prove that  $E[U_n^2]$  is bounded in n. In order to do this we compute directly to find that

(2.7) 
$$E[U_n^2] = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} a_{i,j}^2,$$

which is of course bounded.

**Exercise 3.** Prove that  $E[U_nV_n] = 0$ . Use it to show that

(2.8) 
$$E\left[\left(Q_n - A_n\right)^2\right] = 4 \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \sum_{a_{i,j}^2} A_{i,j}^2 + \operatorname{Var}(X_1^2) \sum_{1 \le i \le n} a_{i,i}^2.$$

Department of Mathematics, The University of Utah, 155 S. 1400 E. Salt Lake City, UT 84112–0090, USA

*E-mail address*: davar@math.utah.edu *URL*: http://www.math.utah.edu/~davar

4