

Math 5080–1

Solutions to homework 7

July 19, 2012

2. Here, X_1, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. $\text{GEO}(p)$; therefore, the joint mass function is

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = p^n(1-p)^{x_1+\dots+x_n-n} \cdot I\{x_1, \dots, x_n = 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

Also, $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ is negative binomial $\text{NB}(n, p)$ [see the MGFs in your text's cover, for example]. That is,

$$f_S(s) = \binom{s-1}{n-1} p^n(1-p)^{s-n} \cdot I\{s = n, n+1, \dots\}.$$

Therefore, (10.21) tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbf{X}|S}(x_1, \dots, x_n | s) &= \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{f_S(s)} \cdot I\{x_1 + \dots + x_n = s\} \\ &= \frac{p^n(1-p)^{x_1+\dots+x_n-n}}{\binom{s-1}{n-1} p^n(1-p)^{s-n}} \cdot I\{x_1 + \dots + x_n = s\} \\ &= \frac{p^n(1-p)^{s-n}}{\binom{s-1}{n-1} p^n(1-p)^{s-n}} \cdot I\{x_1 + \dots + x_n = s\} \\ &= \binom{s-1}{n-1}^{-1} \cdot I\{x_1 + \dots + x_n = s\}. \end{aligned}$$

This quantity does not depend on p ; therefore, S is a sufficient statistic for p .

3. Here,

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (2\pi\theta)^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\theta} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^2\right),$$

and $S/\theta \sim \chi^2(n)$. In particular,

$$P\{S \leq s\} = P\left\{\chi^2(n) \leq \frac{s}{\theta}\right\}.$$

Differentiate both sides (d/ds) to see that

$$\begin{aligned} f_S(s) &= f_{\chi^2(n)}(s/\theta) \times \frac{1}{\theta} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{n/2}\Gamma(n/2)} \left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{(n/2)-1} e^{-s/(2\theta)} \times \frac{1}{\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (10.21) that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbf{X}|S}(x_1, \dots, x_n | s) &= \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{f_S(s)} \cdot I\{x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = s\} \\ &= \frac{(2\pi\theta)^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\theta} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^2\right)}{\frac{1}{2^{n/2}\Gamma(n/2)} \left(\frac{s}{\theta}\right)^{(n/2)-1} e^{-s/(2\theta)} \times \frac{1}{\theta}} \cdot I\{x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = s\} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(n/2)}{\pi^{n/2} s^{(n/2)-1}} \cdot I\{x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = s\}. \end{aligned}$$

This does not depend on θ ; therefore, S is sufficient for θ .

4. First of all,

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = e^{-\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \eta)} \cdot I\{x_{1:n} > \eta\}.$$

Now we work on the distribution of $S = X_{1:n}$. Since

$$P\{S \leq s\} = 1 - (P\{X_1 > s\})^n = 1 - (1 - F(s))^n \quad \text{for all } s,$$

we differentiate both sides (d/ds) to see that

$$f_S(s) = n(1 - F(s))^{n-1} f(s).$$

Since $f(x) = e^{-(x-\eta)} \cdot I\{x > \eta\}$,

$$F(s) = \int_{\eta}^s e^{-(a-\eta)} da = 1 - e^{-(s-\eta)} \quad \text{if } s > \eta.$$

If $s \leq \eta$ then $F(s) = 0$. Therefore,

$$f_S(s) = ne^{-n(s-\eta)} \cdot I\{s > \eta\} \Rightarrow S \sim \text{DE}(n, \eta)$$

And by (10.21),

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbf{X}|S}(x_1, \dots, x_n | s) &= \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{f_S(s)} \cdot I\{x_{1:n} = s\} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \eta)} \cdot I\{x_{1:n} > \eta\}}{ne^{-n(s-\eta)} \cdot I\{s > \eta\}} \cdot I\{x_{1:n} = s\} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - s)}}{n} \cdot I\{x_{1:n} = s\}. \end{aligned}$$

This quantity does not depend on η .

6. The joint mass function of our data is

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{m_i}{x_i} p^{x_i} q^{m_i - x_i} \cdot I\{x_i = 0, \dots, m_i \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n\} \\ &= C p^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} q^{\sum_{i=1}^n (m_i - x_i)} \cdot I\{x_i = 0, \dots, m_i \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C := \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{m_i}{x_i}$. That is,

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = C'(p/q)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \cdot I\{x_i = 0, \dots, m_i \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n\},$$

where $C' := Cq^{\sum_{i=1}^n m_i}$. The factorization theorem does the rest for us.

7. Let us apply the factorization theorem. The joint mass function is

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{x_i - 1}{r_i - 1} p^{r_i} q^{x_i - r_i} \cdot I\{x_i = r_1, r_1 + 1, \dots \text{ for all } i \leq n\} \\ &= C q^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \cdot I\{x_i = r_1, r_1 + 1, \dots \text{ for all } i \leq n\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C := \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{x_i - 1}{r_i - 1} (p/q)^{r_i}$. Therefore, $S := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is sufficient for p .

16. The likelihood function is

$$L(p) = \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{X_i - 1}{r_i - 1} p^{r_i} q^{X_i - r_i},$$

therefore, the log-likelihood function is

$$\ln L(p) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log \binom{X_i - 1}{r_i - 1} + \ln p \sum_{i=1}^n r_i + \ln(1-p) \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - r_i).$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{d}{dp} \ln L(p) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n r_i}{p} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - r_i)}{1-p}.$$

Set this equal to zero in order to see that the usual MLE of p is

$$\hat{p} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n r_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - r_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n r_i} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n r_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}.$$

Now let us maximize the mass function of $S := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. Examine the MGF's to see that $S \sim \text{NB}(\sum_{i=1}^n r_i, p)$. Therefore,

$$f_S(s) = \binom{s-1}{\sum_{i=1}^n r_i - 1} p^{\sum_{i=1}^n r_i} q^{s - \sum_{i=1}^n r_i} \cdot I\left\{s \geq \sum_{i=1}^n r_i\right\}.$$

The corresponding likelihood function

$$L_S(p) = \binom{S-1}{\sum_{i=1}^n r_i - 1} p^{\sum_{i=1}^n r_i} q^{S - \sum_{i=1}^n r_i},$$

whose log is

$$\ln L_S(p) = \ln \left(\binom{S-1}{\sum_{i=1}^n r_i - 1} \right) + \ln p \sum_{i=1}^n r_i + \left(S - \sum_{i=1}^n r_i \right) \ln(1-p).$$

This yields

$$\frac{d}{dp} \ln L_S(p) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n r_i}{p} - \frac{S - \sum_{i=1}^n r_i}{1-p}.$$

Set the preceding to zero to see that $\hat{p} = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i / S$, as before. So the answer is “yes,” the two procedures yield the same MLE.