

## 1. Independence

- Events  $A$  and  $B$  are said to be *independent* if

$$P(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B).$$

Divide both sides by  $P(B)$ , if it is positive, to find that  $A$  and  $B$  are independent if and only if

$$P(A | B) = P(A).$$

”Knowledge of  $B$  tells us nothing new about  $A$ .”

Two experiments are *independent* if  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are independent for all outcomes  $A_j$  of experiment  $j$ .

**Example 5.1.** Toss two fair coins; all possible outcomes are equally likely. Let  $H_j$  denote the event that the  $j$ th coin landed on heads, and  $T_j = H_j^c$ . Then,

$$P(H_1 \cap H_2) = \frac{1}{4} = P(H_1)P(H_2).$$

In fact, the two coins are independent because  $P(T_1 \cap T_2) = P(T_1 \cap H_2) = P(H_1 \cap H_2) = 1/4$  also. Conversely, if two fair coins are tossed independently, then all possible outcomes are equally likely to occur. What if the coins are not fair, say  $P(H_1) = P(H_2) = 1/4$ ?

- Three events  $A_1, A_2, A_3$  are *independent* if any two of them. Events  $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4$  are independent if any three of are. And in general, once we have defined the independence of  $n - 1$  events, we define  $n$  events  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  to be *independent* if any  $n - 1$  of them are independent.
- One says that  $n$  experiments are *independent*, for all  $n \geq 2$ , if any  $n - 1$  of them are independent.

You should check that this last one is a well-defined (albeit inductive) definition.

## 2. Gambler's ruin formula

You, the “Gambler,” are playing independent repetitions of a fair game against the “House.” When you win, you gain a dollar; when you lose, you lose a dollar. You start with  $k$  dollars, and the House starts with  $K$  dollars. What is the probability that the House is ruined before you?

Define  $P_j$  to be the conditional probability that when the game ends you have  $K + j$  dollars, given that you start with  $j$  dollars initially. We want to find  $P_k$ .

Two easy cases are:  $P_0 = 0$  and  $P_{k+K} = 1$ .

By Theorem 4.4 and independence,

$$P_j = \frac{1}{2}P_{j+1} + \frac{1}{2}P_{j-1} \quad \text{for } 0 < j < k + K.$$

In order to solve this, write  $P_j = \frac{1}{2}P_j + \frac{1}{2}P_j$ , so that

$$\frac{1}{2}P_j + \frac{1}{2}P_j = \frac{1}{2}P_{j+1} + \frac{1}{2}P_{j-1} \quad \text{for } 0 < j < k + K.$$

Multiply both side by two and solve:

$$P_{j+1} - P_j = P_j - P_{j-1} \quad \text{for } 0 < j < k + K.$$

In other words,

$$P_{j+1} - P_j = P_1 \quad \text{for } 0 < j < k + K.$$

This is the simplest of all possible “difference equations.” In order to solve it you note that, since  $P_0 = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{j+1} &= (P_{j+1} - P_j) + (P_j - P_{j-1}) + \cdots + (P_1 - P_0) \quad \text{for } 0 < j < k + K \\ &= (j + 1)P_1 \quad \text{for } 0 < j < k + K. \end{aligned}$$

Apply this with  $j = k + K - 1$  to find that

$$1 = P_{k+K} = (k + K)P_1, \quad \text{and hence } P_1 = \frac{1}{k + K}.$$

Therefore,

$$P_{j+1} = \frac{j + 1}{k + K} \quad \text{for } 0 < j < k + K.$$

Set  $j = k - 1$  to find the following:

**Theorem 5.2** (Gambler's ruin formula). *If you start with  $k$  dollars, then the probability that you end with  $k + K$  dollars before losing all of your initial fortune is  $k/(k + K)$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq K$ .*

### 3. Conditional probabilities as probabilities

Suppose  $B$  is an event of positive probability. Consider the conditional probability distribution,  $Q(\cdots) = P(\cdots | B)$ .

**Theorem 5.3.**  $Q$  is a probability on the new sample space  $B$ . [It is also a probability on the larger sample space  $\Omega$ , why?]

**Proof.** Rule 1 is easy to verify: For all events  $A$ ,

$$0 \leq Q(A) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)} \leq \frac{P(B)}{P(B)} = 1,$$

because  $A \cap B \subseteq B$  and hence  $P(A \cap B) \leq P(B)$ .

For Rule 2 we check that

$$Q(B) = P(B | B) = \frac{P(B \cap B)}{P(B)} = 1.$$

Next suppose  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  are disjoint events. Then,

$$Q\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \frac{1}{P(B)} P\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \cap B\right).$$

Note that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \cap B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \cap B)$ , and  $(A_1 \cap B), (A_2 \cap B), \dots$  are disjoint events. Therefore,

$$Q\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \frac{1}{P(B)} \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} P(A_n \cap B) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Q(A_n).$$

This verifies Rule 4, and hence Rule 3. □