

Math 1070-2: Spring 2008

Lecture 12

Davar Khoshnevisan

Department of Mathematics
University of Utah

<http://www.math.utah.edu/~davar>

April 16, 2008



Reading from Chapter 9

- ▶ §9.1 [CIs & testing for two proportions]
- ▶ §9.2 [CIs for the difference of two means; **skip** testing for the difference of two means]
- ▶ §9.4 [CIs & testing for paired data]
- ▶ **Skip:** §9.3 and §9.5



CI for the difference of two proportions (Recap)

- ▶ 95% CI for $p_1 - p_2$
- ▶ Sample proportions: \hat{p}_1 and \hat{p}_2
- ▶ Standard error

$$SE = \sqrt{\frac{p_1(1-p_1)}{n_1} + \frac{p_2(1-p_2)}{n_2}}$$

- ▶ Estimate the standard error

$$\widehat{se} = \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_1(1-\hat{p}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{p}_2(1-\hat{p}_2)}{n_2}}$$

- ▶ 95% CI is $\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2 \pm 1.96 \times \widehat{se}$
- ▶ What about other confidence intervals? Say, 99%?



An example (aspirin vs heart disease)

Example 2 & 3, pp. 427–429

- ▶ 5-year double-blind study; 22071 subjects who took aspirin/placebo every other day
- ▶ Results:

Group	Heart attack	No heart attack	Total
Placebo	189	10,845	11,034
Aspirin	104	10,933	11,037

- ▶ p_1 = proportion of heart attacks in the “placebo group”
- ▶ p_2 = proportion of heart attacks in the “aspirin group”
- ▶ $\hat{p}_1 = 189/11034 \approx 0.0171$, $\hat{p}_2 = 104/11037 \approx 0.0094$



An example (aspirin vs heart disease)

Example 2 & 3, pp. 427–429, continued

- ▶ p_1 = proportion of heart attacks in the “placebo group”
- ▶ p_2 = proportion of heart attacks in the “aspirin group”
- ▶ $\hat{p}_1 \approx 0.0171$, $\hat{p}_2 \approx 0.0094$
- ▶ Standard error estimate

$$SE = \sqrt{\frac{0.0171(1 - 0.0171)}{11034} + \frac{0.0094(1 - 0.0094)}{11037}} \approx 0.0015$$

- ▶ 95% CI for $p_1 - p_2$:

$$\underbrace{(0.0171 - 0.0094)}_{0.0077} \pm \underbrace{(1.96 \times 0.0015)}_{0.00294} \approx (0.00476, 0.01064)$$

- ▶ Meaning?



Testing for two proportions

- ▶ $H_0 : p_1 = p_2$ vs $H_a : p_1 \neq p_2$
- ▶ Similar, but use the *pooled SE*:

$$SE = \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1 - \hat{p})}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{p}(1 - \hat{p})}{n_2}} = \sqrt{\hat{p}(1 - \hat{p}) \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right)},$$

where \hat{p} denotes the *pooled proportion*.

- ▶ In the aspiring-versus-heart disease problem, \hat{p} is the total proportion of heart attacks; i.e.,

$$\hat{p} = \frac{\overbrace{189 + 104}^{\text{total no of heart attacks}}}{\underbrace{11034 + 11037}_{\text{total size of study}}} = \frac{293}{22071} \approx 0.0133.$$



A testing example

Aspirin vs heart disease, the calculations

- ▶ $H_0 : p_1 = p_2$ vs $H_a : p_1 \neq p_2$
- ▶ Pooled SE:

$$SE = \sqrt{\hat{p}(1 - \hat{p}) \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right)}$$

- ▶ $\hat{p} \approx 0.0133$, $n_1 = 11034$, $n_2 = 11037$
- ▶ pooled SE

$$\approx \sqrt{0.0133(1 - 0.0133) \times \left(\frac{1}{11034} + \frac{1}{11037} \right)} \approx 0.00154$$

- ▶ Therefore,

$$z = \frac{\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2}{\text{pooled SE}} \approx \frac{0.0171 - 0.0094}{0.00154} = 5 \rightarrow P\text{-value} \approx 0$$



A testing example

Aspirin vs heart disease, the report

1. Assumptions:

- ▶ Variables? Quant?
- ▶ Random sampling?
- ▶ Normality?

2. Hypotheses: $H_0 : p_1 = p_2$ vs $H_a : p_1 \neq p_2$

3. Test statistic: $z \approx 5$

4. P -value: ≈ 0

5. Conclusion[s]?



CI for the difference of two means

- ▶ Two populations with respective means μ_1 and μ_2
 - ▶ E.g., men's annual salary vs women's annual salary
- ▶ Take 2 independent random sample, one from each population
- ▶ 95% CI for $\mu_1 - \mu_2 =$

$$\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2 \pm (1.96 \times \text{SE}),$$

where

$$\text{SE} = \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}$$



An example (cell-phone use in accidents)

Example 9, pp. 446–447

- ▶ University of Utah student data 😊
- ▶ Two groups: cell vs no-cell (control); 32 in each
- ▶ Variable: mean response time

	no	Mean	SD
Cell phone	32	585.2	89.6
No cell	32	533.7	65.3

- ▶ μ_1 = mean response time for cell-phone users; μ_2 = mean response time for non cell-phone users
- ▶ $\bar{x}_1 = 585.2$, $\bar{x}_2 = 533.7$ $s_1 = 89.6$, $s_2 = 65.3$
 $n_1 = n_2 = 32$



An example (cell-phone use in accidents)

Cell-phone example, continued

- ▶ μ_1 = mean response time for cell-phone users; μ_2 = mean response time for non cell-phone users
- ▶ $\bar{x}_1 = 585.2$, $\bar{x}_2 = 533.7$ $s_1 = 89.6$, $s_2 = 65.3$
 $n_1 = n_2 = 32$
- ▶ Standard error =

$$\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}} \approx 19.599$$

- ▶ 95% CI for $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ =

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2 \pm (1.96 \times \text{SE}) &\approx \underbrace{585.2 - 533.7}_{51.5} \pm \underbrace{(1.96 \times 19.599)}_{38.41} \\ &= (13.1, 90)\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Use the CI for two-sided testing [requires large n_1 and n_2]

