

Math 1070-2: Spring 2008

Lecture 11

Davar Khoshnevisan

Department of Mathematics
University of Utah

<http://www.math.utah.edu/~davar>

April 9, 2008



This week's reading

Only 2 weeks left

- ▶ Complete the material of chapter 8:
 - ▶ §8.3–§8.4
 - ▶ **Skip** §8.5–§8.6
 - ▶ §9.1



Testing for means

- ▶ $H_0: \mu = 0$ versus $H_a: \mu \neq 0$, $H_a: \mu > 0$, or $H_a: \mu < 0$
[or more generally]
- ▶ $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$ versus $H_a: \mu \neq \mu_0$ [μ_0 known, etc.]
- ▶ Always check:
 - ▶ Assumptions
[quant. var.; random sample; population \approx normal and/or n large]
 - ▶ Hypotheses [of above type]
 - ▶ Test statistic:

$$\frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{SE} = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{s/\sqrt{n}} \quad [\approx t \text{ with } n - 1 \text{ df, if } H_0 \text{ true}]$$

- ▶ P-value:
 - ▶ Two-tail for $H_a: \mu \neq \mu_0$
 - ▶ Right-tail for $H_a: \mu > \mu_0$
 - ▶ Left-tail for $H_a: \mu < \mu_0$
- ▶ Conclusion



Anorexia example

Example 7, pp. 388–389

- ▶ Study different therapies for teenage girls with anorexia
- ▶ Weight measured before & after therapy
- ▶ Variable: Weight change = wt after – wt before therapy
- ▶ $n = 29$ girls
- ▶ $\bar{x} = 3.00$ pounds, $s = 7.32$ pounds



Anorexia example

Continued

- ▶ **Assumptions:**
 - ▶ Quant. var.
 - ▶ Sampling *not* random [interpret results with care]
 - ▶ Normal population [could be ... tentative]
- ▶ **Hypotheses:** [Is the test effective?]
 - ▶ $H_0: \mu = 0$ versus $H_a: \mu > 0$
- ▶ **Test statistic:**

$$t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{s/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{3 - 0}{7.32/\sqrt{29}} \approx 2.21.$$

- ▶ **P-value:** [Use t with $n - 1 = 28$ df]

$$2.5\% = 0.025 > P\text{-value} > 0.01 = 1\% \quad [\text{middle}]$$

- ▶ **Conclusion:** $P\text{-value} \ll 0.05 \rightarrow$ reject H_0 [even for $H_a: \mu \neq 0$]



Robustness

- ▶ If $H_a: \mu \neq \mu_0$ is two-sided then normality not that important
- ▶ Normality *important* for one-sided tests. Therefore testing for means OK if:
 - ▶ n large;
 - ▶ n small, but population normal; or
 - ▶ $H_a: \mu \neq \mu_0$ is two-sided
 - ▶ **Anorexia example:** We are 95% confident that $\mu \neq 0$



CI for $p_1 - p_2$

- ▶ Point estimate for $p_1 - p_2$ is $\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2$
- ▶ Fact:

$$SE = \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_1(1 - \hat{p}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{p}_2(1 - \hat{p}_2)}{n_2}}$$

- ▶ CI for $p_1 - p_2$: [n_1 and n_2 large]

$$(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) \pm z \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_1(1 - \hat{p}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{p}_2(1 - \hat{p}_2)}{n_2}}$$



An example

pp. 429–431

- ▶ Want difference between placebo & aspirin
- ▶ $n_1 = 11034$, $n_2 = 11037$ [large ✓]
- ▶ $\hat{p}_1 = 0.017$, $\hat{p}_2 = 0.009$
- ▶ $\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2 = 0.017 - 0.009 = 0.008$
- ▶

$$\begin{aligned} SE &= \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_1(1 - \hat{p}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{p}_2(1 - \hat{p}_2)}{n_2}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{0.017 \times 0.983}{11034} + \frac{0.009 \times 0.991}{11037}} \approx 0.0015 \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ 95% CI for $p_1 - p_2$:

$$0.008 \pm (1.96 \times 0.0015) = (0.005, 0.011)$$

