

MATH 5075 R Project 8

Your Name Here

11/22/2016

Remember: I expect to see commentary either in the text, in the code with comments created using #, or (preferably) both! **Failing to do so may result in lost points!**

Because randomization is used in this assignment, I set the seed here, in addition to beginning each code block. **Do not change the seed!**

```
set.seed(10202016)
```

Problem

Consider the RCA(1) model:

$$x_t = (\rho + \phi_t)x_{t-1} + w_t$$

1. Let ϕ_t be i.i.d for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, with $\phi_t \sim N(0, 1)$, likewise for w_t , and let all ϕ_t and w_t be independent of each other. What is the condition for which the RCA(1) model has a stationary solution (you do not need to prove the result; simply state it)? Assume $\rho = -0.1$. Use simulation to estimate the quantity that determines stationarity (attach a 95% confidence interval to this quantity, using some appropriate method), and decide if this model (with these particular parameters) is stationary.

```
# Your code here
```

2. In this part you will write a function similar in spirit to `arima.sim()` that simulates an RCA(1) process in a flexible manner; call this function `rca1.sim()`. The function takes the following arguments:
 - `rho`: A numeric value corresponding to the value of ρ
 - `n`: An integer representing number of realizations to simulate; this is `NULL` by default, but if `innov.coeff` and `innov.res` are supplied, then this argument will be ignored
 - `rand.gen.coeff`: A function for randomly generating the coefficients of the model, ϕ_t ; by default, this should be `rnorm`
 - `rand.gen.res`: A function for randomly generating the residuals of the model, w_t ; by default, this should be `rnorm`
 - `innov.coeff`: An optional time series (that is, `ts`) object that, if supplied, will be used for ϕ_t instead of randomly generating its values via `rand.gen.coeff`; by default, if `rand.gen.coeff` is supplied, this argument is a randomly generated series
 - `innov.res`: An optional time series (that is, `ts`) object that, if supplied, will be used for w_t instead of randomly generating its values via `rand.gen.res`; by default, if `rand.gen.res` is supplied, this argument is a randomly generated series
 - `n.start`: An integer representing number of realizations to simulate for the “burn-in” period; should be 500 by default
 - `start.innov.coeff`: If supplied, a time series object that will be used for the “burn-in” period, corresponding to the random coefficients; by default, if `rand.gen.coeff` is supplied, this argument is a randomly generated series
 - `start.innov.res`: If supplied, a time series object that will be used for the “burn-in” period, corresponding to the residuals; by default, if `rand.gen.res` is supplied, this argument is a randomly generated series
 - `coeff.args`: A list of named arguments corresponding to arguments to be passed to `rand.gen.coeff`; most usefully, if `rand.gen.coeff` is `rnorm`, you can include an argument `sd` to control the standard deviation of ϕ_t

- `res.args`: A list of named arguments corresponding to arguments to be passed to `rand.gen.res`; most usefully, if `rand.gen.coeff` is `rnorm`, you can include an argument `sd` to control the standard deviation of w_t

The function returns a time series (that is, class `ts`) object containing the simulated values.

I have started writing this function, giving many of the parameters sensible values. Fill in the rest of the function so it works. (Hint: Your job is to generate an $RCA(1)$ process using `start.innov.res`, `innov.res`, `start.innov.coeff`, and `innov.coeff`, which are already made for you, then return a `ts` object with the last `n` elements of the recursion.)

```
rca1.sim <- function(rho = 0, n = NULL, rand.gen.coeff = rnorm, rand.gen.res = rnorm,
  innov.coeff = do.call(rand.gen.coeff, c(list(n), coeff.args)), innov.res = do.call(rand.gen.res,
  c(list(n), res.args)), n.start = 500, start.innov.coeff = do.call(rand.gen.coeff,
  c(list(n.start), coeff.args)), start.innov.res = do.call(rand.gen.res,
  c(list(n.start), res.args)), coeff.args = list(sd = 1), res.args = list(sd = 1)) {

  # Error checking
  if (length(start.innov.coeff) != length(start.innov.res)) {
    stop("start.innov.coeff and start.innov.res need to be of the same length")
  }
  if (length(innov.coeff) != length(innov.res)) {
    stop("innov.coeff and innov.res must be of the same length")
  }
  # Coerce innov.coeff, innov.res, start.innov.coeff, and start.innov.res to
  # be ts objects
  innov.coeff <- as.ts(innov.coeff)
  innov.res <- as.ts(innov.res)
  start.innov.coeff <- as.ts(start.innov.coeff)
  start.innov.res <- as.ts(start.innov.res)

  if (!is.null(n)) {
    n <- length(innov.res)
  }
  if (is.null(n.start)) {
    n.start <- length(start.innov.res)
  }

  # Your code here
}
```

3. Using `rca1.sim()`, simulate $RCA(1)$ processes with $T = 100$ observations each with the following characteristics:

- $\rho = 0$, $\phi_{i_t} \sim N(0, 1)$, $w_t \sim N(0, 1)$
- $\rho = .1$, $\phi_{i_t} \sim N(0, 1)$, $w_t \sim N(0, 1)$
- $\rho = .5$, $\phi_{i_t} \sim N(0, 1)$, $w_t \sim N(0, 1)$
- $\rho = -.1$, $\phi_{i_t} \sim N(0, 1)$, $w_t \sim N(0, 1)$
- $\rho = -.5$, $\phi_{i_t} \sim N(0, 1)$, $w_t \sim N(0, 1)$
- $\rho = .5$, $\phi_{i_t} \sim N(0, .5^2)$, $w_t \sim N(0, 20)$
- $\rho = .5$, $\phi_{i_t} \sim t(3)$, $w_t \sim N(0, 1)$
- $\rho = .5$, $\phi_{i_t} \sim N(0, 1)$, $w_t \sim t(3)$

Use the default burn-in period, and report your results via a plot.

```
# Your code here
```

4. Recall the least-squares and weighted-least-squares estimators for ρ . Write a function that, given a

data set (presumably a `ts`-class object), estimates ρ with the least-squares estimator and returns the estimate. Do the same with the weighted-least-squares estimator. Then simulate a sample from each process described in part 3, estimate ρ from each simulated data set with both estimators, and compare against what ρ is known to be. Which estimator seems to perform best?

```
# Your code here
```

5. The data set `lynx` contains the annual numbers of lynx trappings for 1821-1934 in Canada. D.F. Nicholls and D.G. Quinn in their 1982 monograph *Random coefficient autoregressive models: an introduction* suggested that an $RCA(2)$ model would fit the data. Plot the original data and the log-transformed data, and find the least-squares estimate and weighted-least-squares estimates for ρ in the $RCA(1)$ model, using the demeaned log-transformed data.

```
# Your code here
```