

# GENERIC UNIPOTENT STANDARD MODULES

DAN BARBASCH AND DAN CIUBOTARU

ABSTRACT. Using the geometric classification, we find the reducibility points of a standard module for the affine Hecke algebra, in the case when the inducing data is generic. This recovers the known result of [MS] for representations of split  $p$ -adic groups with Iwahori-spherical Whittaker vectors. We also give a necessary (insufficient) condition for reducibility in the non-generic case.

By [L6], the unipotent representations of a split  $p$ -adic group  $\mathcal{G}$  of adjoint type are classified in terms of geometric data for the dual complex group  $G$ . More precisely, they are indexed by certain triples  $(\chi, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{L})$ , where  $\chi$  is a Weyl orbit of semisimple elements in  $G$ ,  $\mathcal{O}$  is a “graded” orbit in the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and  $\mathcal{L}$  is a local system on  $\mathcal{O}$ . This is realized via equivalences with module categories for affine Hecke algebras of *geometric type* constructed from  $G$  ([L2, L3]). It is shown in [R], that in this correspondence, the unipotent representations of  $\mathcal{G}$  admitting Whittaker vectors (*generic*) correspond to maximal orbits  $\mathcal{O}$  and trivial  $\mathcal{L}$ . For Iwahori-spherical representations, the same result, with a different proof, follows from [BM1] (and [BM2]).

In this paper, we determine explicitly, as a consequence of the geometric classification, the reducibility points for the standard representations (in the sense of Langlands classification) when the inducing data is generic. This was known from [MS], as a consequence of the Langlands-Shahidi method. In particular, our main result, theorem 3.2 is essentially the same as proposition 3.3 in [MS] (our parameter  $\nu$  corresponds to the parameter  $s$  in there). We also show that for non-generic inducing data, the reducibility points are necessarily a subset of those for the corresponding generic case.

For simplicity, we will work in the setting of the affine *graded Hecke algebra* of [L1], and real central character (section 1.2), from which one can recover the representation theory of the affine Hecke algebra (see section 4 in [L6] for example). Most of the paper is devoted to recording the relevant geometric results, particularly from [L7]. Once they are in place, the reducibility follows immediately by a simple comparison of dimensions of orbits.

The information about reducibility of standard modules played an important role in the determination of the generic Iwahori-spherical unitary dual (equivalently, spherical unitary dual) of split  $p$ -adic groups of exceptional types in [BC]. In fact, this paper is mainly motivated by that work.

## 1. GRADED HECKE ALGEBRA

1.1. Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a finite dimensional vector space,  $R \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$  a root system, with  $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  the set of simple roots,  $\tilde{R} \subset \mathfrak{h}$  the set of coroots, and  $W$  the Weyl

---

*Date:* October 16, 2007.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 22E50

group. Let  $c : R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  be a function such that  $c_\alpha = c_\beta$ , whenever  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are  $W$ -conjugate. As a vector space,

$$\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{C}[W] \otimes \mathbb{A}, \quad (1.1.1)$$

where  $\mathbb{A}$  is the symmetric algebra over  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ . The generators are  $t_w \in \mathbb{C}[W]$ ,  $w \in W$  and  $\omega \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ . The relations between the generators are:

$$\begin{aligned} t_w t_{w'} &= t_{ww'}, & \text{for all } w, w' \in W; \\ t_s^2 &= 1, & \text{for any simple reflection } s \in W; \\ \omega t_s &= t_s s(\omega) + c_\alpha \omega(\check{\alpha}), & \text{for simple reflections } s = s_\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (1.1.2)$$

1.2. By [L1], the center of  $\mathbb{H}$  is  $\mathbb{A}^W$ . On any simple (finite dimensional)  $\mathbb{H}$ -module, the center of  $\mathbb{H}$  acts by a character, which we will call a *central character*. The central characters correspond to  $W$ -conjugacy classes of semisimple elements  $\chi \in \mathfrak{h}$ . We will assume throughout the paper that the characters are *real*, i.e., hyperbolic.

1.3. We present the *Langlands classification* for  $\mathbb{H}$  as in [E]. If  $V$  is a (finite dimensional) simple  $\mathbb{H}$ -module,  $\mathbb{A}$  induces a generalized weight space decomposition  $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}} V_\lambda$ . Call  $\lambda$  a *weight of  $V$*  if  $V_\lambda \neq 0$ .

**Definition.** *The irreducible module  $\sigma$  is called tempered if  $\omega_i(\lambda) \leq 0$ , for every weight  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}$  of  $\sigma$  and every fundamental weight  $\omega_i \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ , and in addition,  $\lambda$  is zero on the real span of the set  $x \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  perpendicular on coroots. If  $\sigma$  is tempered, and  $\omega_i(\lambda) < 0$ , for all  $\lambda, \omega_i$  as above,  $\sigma$  is called a discrete series.*

For every  $\Pi_P \subset \Pi$ , define  $R_M \subset R$  to be the set of roots generated by  $\Pi_P$ ,  $\check{R}_M \subset \check{R}$  the corresponding set of coroots, and  $W_P \subset W$  the corresponding Weyl subgroup. (The notation will make more sense in the sequel, when  $P = MN$  will denote a parabolic subgroup of the complex reductive group  $G$ .)

Let  $\mathbb{H}_M$  be the Hecke algebra attached to  $(\mathfrak{h}, R_M)$ . It can be regarded naturally as a subalgebra of  $\mathbb{H}$ .

Define  $\mathfrak{t} = \{\nu \in \mathfrak{h} : \langle \alpha, \nu \rangle = 0, \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Pi_P\}$  and  $\mathfrak{t}^* = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* : \langle \lambda, \check{\alpha} \rangle = 0, \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Pi_P\}$ . Then  $\mathbb{H}_M$  decomposes as

$$\mathbb{H}_M = \mathbb{H}_{M_s} \otimes S(\mathfrak{t}^*),$$

where  $\mathbb{H}_{M_s}$  is the Hecke algebra attached to  $(\mathbb{C}\langle \Pi_P \rangle, R_M)$ .

We will denote by  $I(P, U)$  the induced module  $I(P, U) = \mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{H}_M} U$ .

**Theorem ([E]).** (1) *Every irreducible  $\mathbb{H}$ -module is a quotient of a standard induced module  $X(P, \sigma, \nu) = I(P, \sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}_\nu)$ , where  $\sigma$  is a tempered module for  $\mathbb{H}_{M_s}$ , and  $\nu \in \mathfrak{t}^+ = \{\nu \in \mathfrak{t} : \alpha(\nu) > 0, \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Pi \setminus \Pi_P\}$ .*  
(2) *Assume the notation from (1). Then  $X(P, \sigma, \nu)$  has a unique irreducible quotient, denoted by  $L(P, \sigma, \nu)$ .*  
(3) *If  $L(P, \sigma, \nu) \cong L(P', \sigma', \nu')$ , then  $\Pi_P = \Pi_{P'}$ ,  $\sigma \cong \sigma'$  as  $\mathbb{H}_{M_s}$ -modules, and  $\nu = \nu'$ .*

We will call a triple  $(P, \sigma, \nu)$  as in theorem 1.3, a *Langlands parameter*.

2. GEOMETRIC PARAMETERIZATION

**Notation.** In the following, whenever  $Q$  denotes a complex Lie group,  $Q^0$  will be the identity component, and  $\mathfrak{q}$  will denote the Lie algebra. If  $s$  is an element of  $Q$  or  $\mathfrak{q}$ , we will denote by  $Z_Q(s)$  the centralizer in  $Q$  of  $s$ .

2.1. Let  $G$  be a reductive connected complex algebraic group, with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $B$  be a Borel subgroup, and  $A \subset B$  a maximal torus. Let  $S = LU$  denote a parabolic subgroup, with  $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{l} + \mathfrak{u}$  the corresponding Lie algebras, such that  $\mathfrak{l}$  admits an irreducible  $L$ -equivariant cuspidal local system (as in [L2],[L5])  $\Xi$  on a nilpotent  $L$ -orbit  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathfrak{l}$ . The classification of cuspidal local systems can be found in [L5]. In particular,  $W = N(L)/L$  is a Coxeter group.

Let  $H$  be the center of  $L$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ , and let  $R$  be the set of nonzero weights  $\alpha$  for the  $ad$ -action of  $\mathfrak{h}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and  $R^+ \subset R$  the set of weights for which the corresponding weight space  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{u}$ . For each parabolic  $S_j = L_j U_j$ ,  $j = 1, n$ , such that  $S \subset S_j$  maximally and  $L \subset L_j$ , let  $R_j^+ = \{\alpha \in R^+ : \alpha(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l}_j)) = 0\}$ , where  $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l}_j)$  denotes the center of  $\mathfrak{l}_j$ . It is shown in [L2] that each  $R_j^+$  contains a unique  $\alpha_j$  such that  $\alpha_j \notin 2R$ .

Let  $Z_G(\mathcal{C})$  denote the centralizer in  $G$  of a Lie triple for  $\mathcal{C}$ , and  $\mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{C})$  its Lie algebra.

- Proposition** ([L2]). (1)  $R$  is a (possibly non-reduced) root system in  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ , with simple roots  $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ , with Weyl group  $W$ .  
 (2)  $H$  is a maximal torus in  $Z^0 = Z_G^0(\mathcal{C})$ .  
 (3)  $W$  is isomorphic to  $W(Z_G^0(\mathcal{C})) = N_{Z^0}(H)/H$ .  
 (4) The set of roots in  $\mathfrak{z}(\mathcal{C})$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{h}$  is exactly the set of non-multipliable roots in  $R$ .

For each  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $d_j \geq 2$  be such that

$$(ad(e)^{d_j-2} : \mathfrak{l}_j \cap \mathfrak{u} \rightarrow \mathfrak{l}_j \cap \mathfrak{u}) \neq 0, \text{ and } (ad(e)^{d_j-1} : \mathfrak{l}_j \cap \mathfrak{u} \rightarrow \mathfrak{l}_j \cap \mathfrak{u}) = 0. \quad (2.1.1)$$

By proposition 2.12 in [L2],  $d_i = d_j$  whenever  $\alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_j$  are  $W$ -conjugate. Therefore, as in (1.1.1),(1.1.2), we can define a Hecke algebra  $\mathbb{H}_S$  with parameters  $c_j = d_j/2$ . The explicit algebras which may appear are listed in 2.13 of [L2]. (The case of Hecke algebras with equal parameters arises when one takes  $S = B$ , and  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\Xi$  to be trivial.)

If  $P \subset G$  is a parabolic subgroup, such that  $S \subset P$ , then denote

$$\Pi_{P/S} = \{\alpha_j \in \Pi : S_j \subset P\}. \quad (2.1.2)$$

When  $S = B$ , we write just  $\Pi_P$ .

Let us denote by  $\Phi(G)$  the set of graded Hecke algebras  $\mathbb{H}_S$  obtained by the above construction. The unique Hecke algebra with equal parameters in  $\Phi(G)$  will be denoted by  $\mathbb{H}_0$ .

2.2. Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be the Lie algebra of the torus  $A \subset G$ . Fix a semisimple element, (an infinitesimal character)  $\chi \in \mathfrak{a}$ , and set

$$G_0 = \{g \in G : Ad(g)\chi = \chi\}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_n = \{y \in \mathfrak{g} : [\chi, y] = ny\}. \quad (2.2.1)$$

Whenever  $Q$  is a subgroup with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{q}$ , we will write  $Q_0 = Q \cap G_0$  and  $\mathfrak{q}_n = \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{g}_n$ .

For  $\mathbb{H} \in \Phi(G)$ , corresponding to  $S = LU$  in the notation of section 2.1, denote by  $\text{mod}_\chi \mathbb{H}$  the category of finite dimensional  $\mathbb{H}$ -modules of central character congruent to  $\chi$  modulo  $\mathfrak{z}(l)$ .

**Theorem ([L3]).** *There exists a one-to-one correspondence between the standard (or irreducible) objects in  $\sqcup_{\mathbb{H} \in \Phi(G)} \text{mod}_\chi(\mathbb{H})$  and the set of pairs  $\xi = (\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{L})$ , where*

- (1)  $\mathcal{O}$  is a  $G_0$ -orbit on  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{L}$  is an irreducible  $G_0$ -equivariant local system on  $\mathcal{O}$ .

We say that two modules in  $\sqcup_{\mathbb{H} \in \Phi(G)} \text{mod}_\chi(\mathbb{H})$  are in the same  $L$ -packet if they correspond to the same orbit  $\mathcal{O}$ .

For the  $\mathbb{H}_0$ -modules, the local systems which appear are of *Springer type* ([L7]). More precisely, if  $e \in \mathcal{O}$ , then  $\mathcal{L}$  corresponds to a representation  $\phi$  of the component group  $Z_{G_0}(e)/Z_{G_0}(e)^0$ . The representations  $\phi$  which are allowed must be in the restriction  $Z_{G_0}(e)/Z_{G_0}(e)^0 \subset Z_G(e)/Z_G(e)^0$  of a representation which appears in Springer's correspondence.

2.3. Let  $Orb_n(\chi)$  denote the set of  $G_0$  orbits on  $\mathfrak{g}_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ .

- (1)  $Orb_n(\chi)$  is finite.
- (2) For every  $\mathcal{O} \in Orb_n(\chi)$ ,  $\overline{\mathcal{O}} \setminus \mathcal{O}$  is the union of some orbits  $\mathcal{O}'$  with  $\dim \mathcal{O}' < \dim \mathcal{O}$ .
- (3) There is a unique open (dense) orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{open}$  in  $Orb_n(\chi)$ .

A parameterization for  $Orb_n(\chi)$  appeared in [K]. We will instead use, in sections 2.6 and 2.7, the formulation of [L7].

2.4. By [L4], the categories  $\text{mod}_\chi \mathbb{H}$ ,  $\mathbb{H} \in \Phi(G)$ , have tempered modules if and only if  $\chi$  is the middle element of a nilpotent orbit in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . In this case the standard modules parameterized by  $(\mathcal{O}_{open}, \mathcal{L})$  are irreducible and they exhaust the tempered modules. If in addition,  $\chi$  is the middle element of a distinguished nilpotent orbit, then the tempered modules are discrete series.

2.5. By [R], there is a unique *generic* module in  $\sqcup_{\mathbb{H} \in \Phi(G)} \text{mod}_\chi(\mathbb{H})$ , which is parametrized by  $(\mathcal{O}_{open}, triv)$ , where *triv* denotes the trivial local system. Note that this is always a module of  $\mathbb{H}_0$ . The fact that the generic module in  $\text{mod}_\chi(\mathbb{H}_0)$  is parameterized by  $(\mathcal{O}_{open}, triv)$  is also an immediate consequence of the results in [BM1] and [BM2]. In [BM1], it is proven that the generic  $\mathbb{H}_0$ -module is characterized by the property that it contains the *sign* representation of  $W$ .

2.6. Let  $e$  be a representative of an orbit  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_e$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ . To  $e$ , one associates, conform [L7], a parabolic subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , which we'll denote  $\mathfrak{p}^e$ . This is used to give a parameterization of  $Orb_1(\chi)$ .

By the graded version of the Jacobson-Morozov triple ([L7]),  $e \in \mathfrak{g}_1$  can be embedded into a Lie triple  $\{e, h, f\}$ , such that  $h \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ , and  $f \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ . Define a gradation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to  $h$  as well,

$$\mathfrak{g}^r = \{y \in \mathfrak{g} : [h, y] = 2ry\}, \quad (2.6.1)$$

and set

$$\mathfrak{g}_t^r = \mathfrak{g}_t \cap \mathfrak{g}^r. \quad (2.6.2)$$

Then

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{t,r} \mathfrak{g}_t^r. \quad (2.6.3)$$

Set

$$\mathfrak{m}^e = \bigoplus_{t=r} \mathfrak{g}_t^r, \quad \mathfrak{n}^e = \bigoplus_{t<r} \mathfrak{g}_t^r, \quad \mathfrak{p}^e = \mathfrak{m}^e \oplus \mathfrak{n}^e. \quad (2.6.4)$$

**Definition.** One says that  $\chi$  is rigid for a Levi subalgebra  $\mathfrak{m}$ , if  $\chi$  is congruent modulo  $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{m})$  to a middle element of a nilpotent orbit in  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

**Proposition** ([L7]). Consider the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{p}^e$  defined by (2.6.4), and let  $P^e$  be the corresponding parabolic subgroup.

- (1)  $\mathfrak{p}^e$  depends only on  $e$  and not on the entire Lie triple  $\{e, h, f\}$ .
- (2)  $\chi$  is rigid for  $\mathfrak{m}^e$ . In particular,  $e$  is in the open  $M_0$ -orbit in  $\mathfrak{m}_1^e$ .
- (3) The  $P_0^e$ -orbit of  $e$  in  $\mathfrak{p}_1^e$  is open, dense in  $\mathfrak{p}^e$ .
- (4)  $Z_{G_0}(e) \subset P^e$ , and the inclusion  $Z_{M_0}(e) \subset Z_{G_0}(e)$  induces an isomorphism of the component groups.

An immediate corollary of (3) and (4) in the proposition is a dimension formula for the orbits in  $Orb_1(\chi)$ .

**Corollary** ([L7]). For an orbit  $\mathcal{O}_e \in Orb_1(\chi)$ ,

$$\dim \mathcal{O}_e = \dim \mathfrak{p}_1^e - \dim \mathfrak{p}_0^e + \dim \mathfrak{g}_0, \quad (2.6.5)$$

where  $\mathfrak{p}_i^e = \mathfrak{p}^e \cap \mathfrak{g}_i$ .

**2.7. Definition.** A parabolic subgroup  $P$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{p}$  is called good for  $\chi$  if  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}^e$  for some nilpotent  $e \in \mathfrak{g}_1$  (notation as in (2.6.4)), and such that it satisfies (2) in proposition 2.6.

Let  $\mathcal{P}(\chi)$  denote the set of good parabolic subgroups for  $\chi$ .

**Theorem** ([L7]). The map  $\mathcal{O}_e \mapsto P^e$  defined in section 2.6 induces a bijection between  $Orb_1(\chi)$  and  $G_0$ -conjugacy classes in  $\mathcal{P}(\chi)$ .

*Proof.* We record the definition of the inverse map. Let  $P = MN$  be a good parabolic for  $\chi$ . Then there exists  $s$  a middle element of a Lie triple in  $\mathfrak{m}$ , such that  $\chi \equiv s \pmod{\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{m})}$ . Moreover, the decomposition (2.6.4) must hold with respect to  $\chi$  and  $s$ . Let  $G_0^0 \subset G_0$  be the reductive subgroup whose Lie algebra is  $\mathfrak{g}_0^0$  (notation as in section 2.6). Then  $G_0^0$  acts on  $\mathfrak{g}_1^1$ , and there is a unique open orbit of this action. Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the unique  $G_0$ -orbit on  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  containing it. The inverse map associates  $\mathcal{O}$  to  $P$ .  $\square$

### 3. REDUCIBILITY POINTS

**3.1.** Let  $\{e, h, f\}$  be a graded Lie triple for the orbit  $\mathcal{O}_e \in Orb_1(\chi)$ . Assume that  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{n}$  is a standard parabolic subalgebra,  $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ , such that  $\{e, h, f\} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ . Let  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{m} + \bar{\mathfrak{n}}$  be the opposite parabolics subalgebra. Let  $\Pi_P \subset \Pi$  denote the simple roots defining  $P$ , and denote by  $R_M$  and  $R_N$  the roots in  $\mathfrak{m}$ , respectively  $\mathfrak{n}$ . We can write  $\chi = \frac{1}{2}h + \underline{\nu}$ , with  $\underline{\nu} \in \mathfrak{z}_G(e, h, f)$ .

**Lemma.** If  $\chi = \frac{1}{2}h + \nu$  has  $\nu$  dominant with respect to  $R_N$ , then  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a good parabolic for  $\chi$ . In fact,  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}^e$ .

*Proof.* From (2.6.4) and the dominance conditions, we see immediately that  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}^e$ , and  $\bar{\mathfrak{n}} = \mathfrak{n}^e$ .  $\square$

Let  $\sigma$  be the tempered module of  $\mathbb{H}_{M_s}$  (notation as in 1.3) parameterized by  $\{e, h, f\}$ . By the induction theorem from [L4], we know then that, in the correspondences of theorems 2.2 and 2.7, the standard module  $X(P, \sigma, \nu)$ , and the Langlands quotient  $L(P, \sigma, \nu)$  are parameterized in  $Orb_1(\chi)$  by the parabolic subalgebra  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

3.2. Now assume that  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{n}$  is a *maximal* parabolic of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\Pi \setminus \Pi_P = \{\alpha\}$ . Let  $\tilde{\omega}$  denote the fundamental coweight for  $\alpha$ .

As before, let  $\sigma$  be the tempered module attached to the map

$$\mathfrak{sl}(2) = \mathbb{C}\langle e, h, f \rangle \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{m}. \quad (3.2.1)$$

Then  $\mathfrak{n}$  is an  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -module, via the adjoint action of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Let  $k(\alpha)$  denote the multiplicity with which  $\alpha$  appears in the highest root for  $R$ .<sup>1</sup>

The coweight  $\tilde{\omega}$  commutes with the  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ . Decompose  $\mathfrak{n}$  as  $\mathfrak{n} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k(\alpha)} \mathfrak{n}_i$ , where  $\mathfrak{n}_i$  is the  $i$ -eigenspace of  $\tilde{\omega}$ . Then decompose each  $\mathfrak{n}_i$  into simple  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -modules

$$\mathfrak{n}_i = \bigoplus_j (d_{ij}), \quad i = 1, \dots, k(\alpha), \quad (3.2.2)$$

where  $(d)$  is the simple  $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -module of dimension  $d$ .

**Theorem.** *Let  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{n}$  be a maximal parabolic, and  $\sigma$  be a generic tempered module parameterized by (3.2.1). Then the reducibility points  $\nu > 0$  of the standard  $\mathbb{H}_0$ -module  $X(P, \sigma, \nu)$  are*

$$\nu \in \left\{ \frac{d_{ij} + 1}{2i} \right\}_{i,j}, \quad (3.2.3)$$

where the integers  $d_{ij}$  are defined in (3.2.2). Equivalently, these are the zeros of the rational function in  $\nu$ ,

$$\prod_{\beta \in R_N} \frac{1 - \langle \beta, \chi \rangle}{\langle \beta, \chi \rangle}, \quad (3.2.4)$$

where  $\chi = \frac{1}{2}h + \nu\tilde{\omega}$  is the infinitesimal character of  $X(P, \sigma, \nu)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{O}(\bar{\mathfrak{p}})$  be the orbit parameterizing  $X(P, \sigma, \nu)$  by section 3.1. By section 2.5,  $X(P, \sigma, \nu)$  is irreducible if and only if  $\mathcal{O}(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}) = \mathcal{O}_{open}$ .

Corollary 2.6 implies that  $\dim \mathcal{O}(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}) = \dim \mathfrak{g}_0 - \dim(\mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \bar{\mathfrak{p}}) + \dim(\mathfrak{g}_1 \cap \bar{\mathfrak{p}})$ . From this and the fact that  $\dim \mathcal{O}_{open} = \dim \mathfrak{g}_1$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{O}(\bar{\mathfrak{p}}) = \mathcal{O}_{open}$  if and only if

$$\#\{\beta \in R_N : \langle \beta, \chi \rangle = 1\} = \#\{\beta \in R_N : \langle \beta, \chi \rangle = 0\}. \quad (3.2.5)$$

Consider the rational function of  $\nu$ ,  $\prod_{\beta \in R_N} \frac{1 - \langle \beta, \chi \rangle}{\langle \beta, \chi \rangle}$ . Therefore, the reducibility points are given by the zeros of this function.

The explicit list of reducibility points follows from the fact that  $\{\langle \beta, h \rangle : \beta \in R_N\} = \sqcup_{i,j} \{d_{ij} - 1, d_{ij} - 3, \dots, -d_{ij} + 1\}$ , and so

$$\prod_{\beta \in R_N} \frac{1 - \langle \chi, \beta \rangle}{\langle \chi, \beta \rangle} = \prod_{i,j} \frac{\frac{d_{ij}+1}{2i} - \nu}{\frac{d_{ij}-1}{2i} + \nu}. \quad (3.2.6)$$

□

<sup>1</sup>If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a classical simple algebra, this multiplicity is always 1 or 2.

We remark that in the proof of formula (3.2.4), one does not use the assumption that  $\mathfrak{p}$  be maximal parabolic. This formula holds as is for any parabolic  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

**Example.** The most interesting example of reducibility points for maximal parabolic induction is the case  $\Pi_P = A_4 + A_2 + A_1$  in  $\Pi = E_8$ , with  $e$  the principal nilpotent in  $A_4 + A_2 + A_1$  (which means that  $\sigma$  is the Steinberg representation). Then  $k(\alpha) = 6$ ,  $\dim \mathfrak{n} = 106$ , and the  $sl(2)$  decompositions (3.2.2) are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{n}_1 &= (8) + 2 \cdot (6) + 2 \cdot (4) + (2) & \mathfrak{n}_2 &= (9) + (7) + 2 \cdot (5) + (3) + (1) \\ \mathfrak{n}_3 &= (8) + (6) + (4) + (2) & \mathfrak{n}_4 &= (7) + (5) + (3) \\ \mathfrak{n}_5 &= (4) + (2) & \mathfrak{n}_6 &= (5). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.7)$$

There are 11 reducibility points:

$$\left\{ \frac{3}{10}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{5}{6}, 1, \frac{7}{6}, \frac{3}{2}, 2, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{7}{2}, \frac{9}{2} \right\}. \quad (3.2.8)$$

3.3. One also immediately obtains a partial result for non-generic data. Recall the notation and construction of section 2.1. In particular, if  $\sigma'$  is parameterized by (3.2.1), there exists a unique triple  $(S, \mathcal{C}, \Xi)$  such that  $\sigma'$  is a discrete series for the subalgebra  $\mathbb{H}_{S, \Pi_{P/S}}$  in  $\mathbb{H}_S$ .

**Proposition.** *Let  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  be tempered modules in the  $L$ -packet parameterized by (3.2.1), and assume that  $\sigma$  is generic. The standard  $\mathbb{H}_S$ -module  $X(P/S, \sigma', \nu)$  is reducible for  $\nu > 0$  only if the standard  $\mathbb{H}_0$ -module  $X(P, \sigma, \nu)$  is reducible.*

*Proof.* If  $X(P/S, \sigma', \nu)$  is reducible, then the corresponding orbit is not the open orbit. But this means  $X(P, \sigma, \nu)$  is reducible as well.  $\square$

**Remark.** This result gives necessary conditions for reducibility, but not sufficient. In fact, they are far from being sharp for non-generic inducing data as seen in the following example.

**Example.** Consider  $\mathbb{H}_0$  of type  $C_{n+1}$ , and  $\mathfrak{p}$  of type  $C_n$ , and assume that  $n$  is a triangular number. Let the nilpotent element  $e$  correspond to the distinguished orbit  $(2, 4, \dots, 2k)$  in  $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ , and  $\chi$  be half the middle element of a Lie triple for  $e$ .

There are  $\binom{k}{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor}$  discrete series in  $\text{mod}_{\chi} \mathbb{H}_0(C_n)$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the generic one. There exists a discrete series, call it  $\sigma'$ , characterized by the fact that  $\sigma'|_{W(C_n)} = \mu_k$ , where

$$\mu_k = \begin{cases} m^{2m+1} \times 0, & \text{if } k = 2m \\ 0 \times (m+1)^{2m+1}, & \text{if } k = 2m+1. \end{cases} \quad (3.3.1)$$

(The notation for  $W(C_n)$ -representations, and the algorithms necessary for the computation are as in [L5].)

Theorem 3.2 implies that the reducibility points,  $\nu > 0$ , for  $X(C_n, \sigma, \nu)$  are

$$\nu \in \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \dots, k + \frac{1}{2} \right\},$$

but one can show that the reducibility points of  $X(C_n, \sigma', \nu)$  are just

$$\nu \in \left\{ \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor + \frac{1}{2}, k + \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

## REFERENCES

- [BM1] D. Barbasch, A. Moy, *Whittaker models with an Iwahori fixed vector*, Representation theory and analysis on homogeneous spaces (New Brunswick, NJ, 1993), 101–105, Contemp. Math., 177, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [BM2] ———, *A unitarity criterion for  $p$ -adic groups* Invent. Math., vol 98, 1989, 19-38
- [BC] *Whittaker unitary dual for affine graded Hecke algebras of type E*, preprint, arxiv.org
- [E] S. Evens, *The Langlands classification for graded Hecke algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (1996), no. 4, 1285-1290
- [K] N. Kawanaka, *Orbits and stabilizers of nilpotent elements of a graded semisimple Lie algebra*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. 34 (1987), no. 3, 573–597.
- [L1] G. Lusztig *Affine Hecke algebras and their graded version*, Jour. AMS 2, 1989, 599–635
- [L2] ——— *Cuspidal local systems and graded algebras I*, Publ. Math. de l’IHES 67, 1988, pp. 145-202
- [L3] ——— *Cuspidal local systems and graded algebras II*, Representations of groups (Banff, AB, 1994), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1995, pp. 217-275
- [L4] ——— *Cuspidal local systems and graded algebras III*, Represent. Theory 6 (2002), 202–242
- [L5] ——— *Intersection cohomology complexes on a reductive group*, Invent. Math. 75 (1984), no. 2, 205–272.
- [L6] ——— *Classification of unipotent representations of simple  $p$ -adic groups*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1995, no. 11, 517–589.
- [L7] ——— *Study of perverse sheaves arising from graded Lie algebras*, Adv. Math. 112 (1995), no. 2, 147–217.
- [MS] G. Muić, F. Shahidi *Irreducibility of standard representations for Iwahori-spherical representations*, Math. Ann. 312 (1998), no. 1, 151–165.
- [R] M. Reeder *Whittaker models and unipotent representations of  $p$ -adic groups*, Math. Ann. 308, 587–592 (1997).

(D. Barbasch) DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, ITHACA, NY 14850  
*E-mail address:* `barbasch@math.cornell.edu`

(D. Ciubotaru) DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF UTAH, SLC, UT 84112  
*E-mail address:* `ciubo@math.utah.edu`