

Due Date: Friday, December 15th 2017 at Noon in Aaron's mailbox.

1. **Power-law flow.** Consider a film of polymer solution as it flows down an inclined plane making an angle α with the vertical. Use the power-law fluid model, $\eta = m\dot{\gamma}^{n-1}$. Take the origin of coordinates to be such that $x = 0$ at the film surface and $x = \delta$ at the plate. The film extends along the plate from $z = 0$ to $z = L$. Show that the velocity is

$$v_z = \left(\frac{\rho g \delta}{m} \cos \alpha \right)^{1/n} \frac{\delta}{1/n + 1} \left[1 - \left(\frac{x}{\delta} \right)^{1/n+1} \right].$$

2. **Rouse chain at equilibrium.** Consider the Rouse chain, a freely jointed bead-spring chain with Hookean springs. The equilibrium configuration for the Rouse chain is

$$\psi_{\text{eq}} = \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \left(\frac{H}{2\pi kT} \right)^{3/2} e^{-(H/2kT)Q_j^2}.$$

Show that the mean-square end-to-end distance is

$$\langle r^2 \rangle_{\text{eq}} = \frac{3(N-1)kT}{H}.$$

3. **Hookean dumbbells under steady-state shear flow.** Consider the elastic dumbbell model for Hookean dumbbells. Recall that the polymeric stress $\underline{\underline{\tau}}_p$ satisfies

$$\underline{\underline{\tau}}_p + \lambda_H \underline{\underline{\tau}}_p^\nabla = -nkT\lambda_H \dot{\underline{\underline{\gamma}}}$$

where $\dot{\underline{\underline{\gamma}}} = \nabla \mathbf{v} + \nabla \mathbf{v}^T$ and $\lambda_H = \frac{\zeta}{4H}$. Recall further that the total stress is $\underline{\underline{\tau}} = -\eta_s \dot{\underline{\underline{\gamma}}} + \underline{\underline{\tau}}_p$. Consider steady-state shear flow $v_x = \dot{\gamma}y, v_y = 0, v_z = 0$.

- (a) Show that the solution to the polymeric stress is

$$\tau_{p,xx} = -2nkT\lambda_H^2 \dot{\gamma}^2 \quad \tau_{p,xy} = \tau_{p,yx} = -nkT\lambda_H \dot{\gamma},$$

all the other $\tau_{p,ij}$ being zero.

- (b) Show that the material functions are

$$\eta = \eta_s + nkT\lambda_H \quad \Psi_1 = 2nkT\lambda_H^2 \quad \Psi_2 = 0.$$

η is the viscosity defined such that $\tau_{yx} = \tau_{xy} = -\eta\dot{\gamma}$, Ψ_1 is the first normal stress coefficient defined as $\tau_{xx} - \tau_{yy} = -\Psi_1\dot{\gamma}^2$ and Ψ_2 is the second normal stress coefficient defined as $\tau_{yy} - \tau_{zz} = -\Psi_2\dot{\gamma}^2$. In other words, all material functions are constant. Therefore, the model is inadequate to describe the behavior of dilute polymer suspensions since the viscosity decreases with increasing shear rate.

4. **Numerical methods.** When we considered numerical solution of the Navier-Stokes Equations

$$\rho(\mathbf{u}_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) = -\nabla p + \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{f}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad (1)$$

we wrote down a semi-discrete system

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}^n}{\Delta t} + \mathbf{A}^{n+1/2} \right) &= -\nabla p^{n+1/2} + \frac{\mu}{2} (\Delta \mathbf{u}^n + \Delta \mathbf{u}^{n+1}) + \mathbf{f}^{n+1/2}, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}^{n+1} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Here, $\mathbf{A}^{n+1/2}$ is an explicit in time approximation to $\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}$ at $t = (n + 1/2)\Delta t$ and $\mathbf{f}^{n+1/2}$ denotes \mathbf{f} evaluated at that t . The unknowns in these equations are \mathbf{u}^{n+1} and $p^{n+1/2}$. But we did not solve Eqs. ???. Instead, we introduced the idea of a projection method such as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}^* - \mathbf{u}^n}{\Delta t} + \mathbf{A}^{n+1/2} \right) &= -\nabla p^{n+1/2} + \frac{\mu}{2} (\Delta \mathbf{u}^n + \Delta \mathbf{u}^{n+1}) + \mathbf{f}^{n+1/2} \\ \rho \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}^*}{\Delta t} \right) &= -\nabla p^{n+1/2} \quad \text{with } \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}^{n+1} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The intermediate field \mathbf{u}^* is not divergence free but \mathbf{u}^{n+1} is.

Now consider the momentum equations of a mixture model

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \{ (\theta_f \mathbf{u}_f)_t + \nabla \cdot (\theta_f \mathbf{u}_f \mathbf{u}_f) \} &= -\theta_f \nabla p + \nabla \cdot (\theta_f \underline{\underline{\sigma}}_f) + \xi \theta_f \theta_n (\mathbf{u}_n - \mathbf{u}_f), \\ \rho \{ (\theta_n \mathbf{u}_n)_t + \nabla \cdot (\theta_n \mathbf{u}_n \mathbf{u}_n) \} &= -\theta_n \nabla p + \nabla \cdot (\theta_n \underline{\underline{\sigma}}_n) + \xi \theta_f \theta_n (\mathbf{u}_f - \mathbf{u}_n), \\ \nabla \cdot (\theta_f \mathbf{u}_f + \theta_n \mathbf{u}_n) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Here, $\underline{\underline{\sigma}}_f = 2\mu_f (\nabla \mathbf{u}_f + (\nabla \mathbf{u}_f)^T) + \lambda (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_f) \underline{\underline{I}}$ and $\underline{\underline{\sigma}}_n = 2\mu_n (\nabla \mathbf{u}_n + (\nabla \mathbf{u}_n)^T) + \lambda (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_n) \underline{\underline{I}}$ are the viscous stress tensors for the fluid and network respectively. Assume that the volume fractions θ_f and θ_n are known and satisfy $\theta_f + \theta_n = 1$.

- (a) Write down a semi-discrete scheme for Eqs. ??? analogous to scheme ??? for the unknowns \mathbf{u}_f^{n+1} , \mathbf{u}_n^{n+1} , and $p^{n+1/2}$.
- (b) Can you derive a projection method that allows one to avoid solving the equations in (a) simultaneously? If so, give the method. If not, explain what gets in the way.