

Journal Club I & II Fall 2009

Organizers: Peter Kim LCB 313 kim@math.utah.edu
Mentor: Christopher Remien LCB 305 remien@math.utah.edu
Faculty Support: Dr. Alla Borisyuk LCB 303 borisyuk@math.utah.edu

Webpage: www.math.utah.edu/research/mathbio/journalclub.html

Procedures

1. Each student must identify a paper to present during the semester before Friday, September 11. See Peter for advice.
2. Shortly before each presentation, the presenter should meet with Chris to discuss their planned presentation.
3. At the beginning of each presentation, all students excluding the presenter are required to hand Peter a written summary of the paper to be presented. These summaries must contain more content than the paper's abstract; beyond a summary of the major arguments and techniques of the paper, they must contain a critique of the paper that could include (1) a summary of those arguments of the paper that seem convincing on the one hand or unsubstantiated on the other, and (2) further questions regarding the major arguments or techniques of the paper.
4. Each presentation should follow these guidelines:
 - (a) The presenter will spend no more than 10 minutes explaining their chosen paper. This includes (1) describing the main reason or reasons the authors wrote the paper, (2) providing a brief outline of the paper, and (3) highlighting interesting and/or novel arguments and techniques used in the paper.
 - (b) After the introduction, no more than 10 minutes will be provided for discussion.
 - (c) The presenter is then given no more than 15 minutes to walk the other participants through the major arguments of the paper, taking time to explain in detail parts of the paper that the presenter finds important and/or interesting. Emphasis should be placed on the mathematical content of the paper.
 - (d) After this, no more than 10 minutes will be provided for additional discussion.
 - (e) The presenter is then given no more than 5 minutes to conclude their talk by (1) summarizing again the major arguments of the paper and (2) commenting on whether or not the authors effectively achieved the aims of the paper.
 - (f) After this, no more than 10 minutes will be provided for additional discussion.
 - (g) Sometime after the presentation, Chris and Peter will meet with the presenter and give a brief critique of the presentation.
5. Each student will be given an A for their participation as long as they present a paper and provide written summaries for all other papers, otherwise they will be given a lower grade to be determined by Dr. Borisyuk.