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#### Abstract

We give a new proof of a polynomial identity involving the minors of a matrix, that originated in the study of integer torsion in a local cohomology module.


## 1 Introduction

Our study of integer torsion in local cohomology modules began in the paper [Si], where we constructed a local cohomology module that has $p$-torsion for each prime integer $p$, and also studied the determinantal example $H_{I_{2}}^{3}(\mathbb{Z}[X])$ where $X$ is a $2 \times 3$ matrix of indeterminates, and $I_{2}$ the ideal generated by its size 2 minors. In that paper, we constructed a polynomial identity that shows that the local cohomology module $H_{I_{2}}^{3}(\mathbb{Z}[X])$ has no integer torsion; it then follows that this module is a rational vector space. Subsequently, in joint work with Lyubeznik and Walther, we showed that the same holds for all local cohomology modules of the form $H_{I_{t}}^{k}(\mathbb{Z}[X])$, where $X$ is a matrix of indeterminates, $I_{t}$ the ideal generated by its size $t$ minors, and $k$ an integer with $k>$ height $I_{t}$, [LSW, Theorem 1.2]. In a related direction, in joint work with Bhatt, Blickle, Lyubeznik, and Zhang, we proved that the local cohomology of a polynomial ring over $\mathbb{Z}$ can have $p$-torsion for at most finitely many $p$; we record a special case of [BBLSZ, Theorem 3.1]:

Theorem 1. Let $R$ be a polynomial ring over the ring of integers, and let $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$ be elements of $R$. Let $n$ be a nonnegative integer. Then each prime integer that is a nonzerodivisor on the Koszul cohomology module $H^{n}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m} ; R\right)$ is also a nonzerodivisor on the local cohomology module $H_{\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)}^{n}(R)$.

[^0]These more general results notwithstanding, a satisfactory proof or conceptual understanding of the polynomial identity from [Si] had previously eluded us; extensive calculations with Macaulay2 had led us to a conjectured identity, which we were then able to prove using the hypergeometric series algorithms of Petkovšek, Wilf, and Zeilberger [PWZ], as implemented in Maple. The purpose of this note is to demonstrate how techniques using differential operators underlying the papers [BBLSZ] and [LSW] provide the "right" proof of the identity, and, indeed, provide a rich source of similar identities.

We remark that there is considerable motivation for studying local cohomology of rings of polynomials with integer coefficients such as $H_{I_{t}}^{k}(\mathbb{Z}[X])$ : a matrix of indeterminates $X$ specializes to a given matrix of that size over an arbitrary commutative noetherian ring (this is where $\mathbb{Z}$ is crucial), which turns out to be useful in proving vanishing theorems for local cohomology supported at ideals of minors of arbitrary matrices. See [LSW, Theorem 1.1] for these vanishing results, that build upon the work of Bruns and Schwänzl [BS].

## 2 Preliminary remarks

We summarize some notation and facts. As a reference for Koszul cohomology and local cohomology, we mention [BH]; for more on local cohomology as a $\mathscr{D}$-module, we point the reader towards [Lyl] and [BBLSZ].

## Koszul and Čech cohomology

For an element $f$ in a commutative ring $R$, the Koszul complex $K^{\bullet}(f ; R)$ has a natural map to the Čech complex $C^{\bullet}(f ; R)$ as follows:


For a sequence of elements $\boldsymbol{f}=f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$ in $R$, one similarly obtains

$$
K^{\bullet}(f ; R):=\bigotimes_{i} K^{\bullet}\left(f_{i} ; R\right) \longrightarrow \otimes_{i} C^{\bullet}\left(f_{i} ; R\right) \quad=: C^{\bullet}(f ; R),
$$

and hence, for each $n \geqslant 0$, an induced map on cohomology modules

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{n}(\boldsymbol{f} ; R) \longrightarrow H_{(f)}^{n}(R) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now suppose $R$ is a polynomial ring over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic $p>0$. The Frobenius endomorphism $\varphi$ of $R$ induces an additive map

$$
H_{(f)}^{n}(R) \longrightarrow H_{\left(\boldsymbol{f}^{p}\right)}^{n}(R)=H_{(f)}^{n}(R)
$$

where $\boldsymbol{f}^{p}=f_{1}^{p}, \ldots, f_{m}^{p}$. Set $R\{\varphi\}$ to be the extension ring of $R$ obtained by adjoining the Frobenius operator, i.e., adjoining a generator $\varphi$ subject to the relations $\varphi r=r^{p} \varphi$ for each $r \in R$; see [Ly2, Section 4]. By an $R\{\varphi\}$-module we will mean a left $R\{\varphi\}$ module. The map displayed above gives $H_{(f)}^{n}(R)$ an $R\{\varphi\}$-module structure. It is not hard to see that the image of $H^{n}(f ; R)$ in $H_{(f)}^{n}(R)$ generates the latter as an $R\{\varphi\}$ module; what is much more surprising is a result of Àlvarez, Blickle, and Lyubeznik, [ABL, Corollary 4.4], by which the image of $H^{n}(f ; R)$ in $H_{(f)}^{n}(R)$ generates the latter as a $\mathscr{D}(R, \mathbb{F})$-module; see below for the definition. The result is already notable in the case $m=1=n$, where the map (1) takes the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
H^{1}(f ; R)=R / f R \longrightarrow R_{f} / R=H_{(f)}^{1}(R) \\
{[1] \longmapsto[1 / f] .}
\end{gathered}
$$

By [ABL], the element $1 / f$ generates $R_{f}$ as a $\mathscr{D}(R, \mathbb{F})$-module. It is of course evident that $1 / f$ generates $R_{f}$ as an $R\{\varphi\}$-module since the elements $\varphi^{e}(1 / f)=1 / f^{p^{e}}$ with $e \geqslant 0$ serve as $R$-module generators for $R_{f}$. See [BDV] for an algorithm to explicitly construct a differential operator $\delta$ with $\delta(1 / f)=1 / f^{p^{e}}$, along with a Macaulay 2 implementation.

## Differential operators

Let $A$ be a commutative ring, and $x$ an indeterminate; set $R=A[x]$. The divided power partial differential operator

$$
\frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x^{k}}
$$

is the $A$-linear endomorphism of $R$ with

$$
\frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x^{k}}\left(x^{m}\right)=\binom{m}{k} x^{m-k} \quad \text { for } m \geqslant 0
$$

where we use the convention that the binomial coefficient $\binom{m}{k}$ vanishes if $m<k$. Note that

$$
\frac{1}{r!} \frac{\partial^{r}}{\partial x^{r}} \cdot \frac{1}{s!} \frac{\partial^{s}}{\partial x^{s}}=\binom{r+s}{r} \frac{1}{(r+s)!} \frac{\partial^{r+s}}{\partial x^{r+s}}
$$

For the purposes of this paper, if $R$ is a polynomial ring over $A$ in the indeterminates $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}$, we define the ring of $A$-linear differential operators on $R$, de-
noted $\mathscr{D}(R, A)$, to be the free $R$-module with basis

$$
\frac{1}{k_{1}!} \frac{\partial^{k_{1}}}{\partial x_{1}^{k_{1}}} \cdots \cdot \frac{1}{k_{d}!} \frac{\partial^{k_{d}}}{\partial x_{d}^{k_{d}}} \quad \text { for } k_{i} \geqslant 0
$$

with the ring structure coming from composition. This is consistent with more general definitions; see [Gr, 16.11]. By a $\mathscr{D}(R, A)$-module, we will mean a left $\mathscr{D}(R, A)$ module; the ring $R$ has a natural $\mathscr{D}(R, A)$-module structure, as do localizations of $R$. For a sequence of elements $\boldsymbol{f}$ in $R$, the Čech complex $C^{\bullet}(\boldsymbol{f} ; R)$ is a complex of $\mathscr{D}(R, A)$-modules, and hence so are its cohomology modules $H_{(f)}^{n}(R)$. Note that for $m \geqslant 1$, one has

$$
\frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x^{k}}\left(\frac{1}{x^{m}}\right)=(-1)^{k}\binom{m+k-1}{k} \frac{1}{x^{m+k}}
$$

We also recall the Leibniz rule, which states that

$$
\frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x^{k}}(f g)=\sum_{i+j=k} \frac{1}{i!} \frac{\partial^{i}}{\partial x^{i}}(f) \frac{1}{j!} \frac{\partial^{j}}{\partial x^{j}}(g)
$$

## 3 The identity

Let $R$ be the ring of polynomials with integer coefficients in the indeterminates

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
u & v & w \\
x & y & z
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The ideal $I$ generated by the size 2 minors of the above matrix has height 2 ; our interest is in proving that the local cohomology module $H_{I}^{3}(R)$ is a rational vector space. We label the minors as $\Delta_{1}=v z-w y, \Delta_{2}=w x-u z$, and $\Delta_{3}=u y-v x$. Fix a prime integer $p$, and consider the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow R \xrightarrow{p} R \longrightarrow \bar{R} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $\bar{R}=R / p R$. This induces an exact sequence of local cohomology modules

$$
\longrightarrow H_{I}^{2}(R) \xrightarrow{\pi} H_{I}^{2}(\bar{R}) \longrightarrow H_{I}^{3}(R) \xrightarrow{p} H_{I}^{3}(R) \longrightarrow H_{I}^{3}(\bar{R}) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

The ring $\bar{R} / I \bar{R}$ is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension 4, so [PS, Proposition III.4.1] implies that $H_{I}^{3}(\bar{R})=0$. As $p$ is arbitrary, it follows that $H_{I}^{3}(R)$ is a divisible abelian group. To prove that it is a rational vector space, one needs to show that multiplication by $p$ on $H_{I}^{3}(R)$ is injective, equivalently that $\pi$ is surjective. We first prove this using the identity (2) below, and then proceed with the proof of the identity.

For each $k \geqslant 0$, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i, j \geqslant 0}\binom{k}{i+j}\binom{k+i}{k}\binom{k+j}{k} \frac{(-w x)^{i}(v x)^{j} u^{k+1}}{\Delta_{2}^{k+1+i} \Delta_{3}^{k+1+j}} \\
&+\sum_{i, j \geqslant 0}\binom{k}{i+j}\binom{k+i}{k}\binom{k+j}{k} \frac{(-u y)^{i}(w y)^{j} v^{k+1}}{\Delta_{3}^{k+1+i} \Delta_{1}^{k+1+j}} \\
& \quad+\sum_{i, j \geqslant 0}\binom{k}{i+j}\binom{k+i}{k}\binom{k+j}{k} \frac{(-v z)^{i}(u z)^{j} w^{k+1}}{\Delta_{1}^{k+1+i} \Delta_{2}^{k+1+j}}=0 . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the binomial coefficient $\binom{k}{i+j}$ vanishes if $i$ or $j$ exceeds $k$, this equation may be rewritten as an identity in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[u, v, w, x, y, z]$ after multiplying by $\left(\Delta_{1} \Delta_{2} \Delta_{3}\right)^{2 k+1}$.

Computing $H_{I}^{2}(R)$ as the cohomology of the Čech complex $C^{\bullet}\left(\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3} ; R\right)$, equation (2) gives a 2-cocycle in

$$
C^{2}\left(\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3} ; R\right)=R_{\Delta_{1} \Delta_{2}} \oplus R_{\Delta_{1} \Delta_{3}} \oplus R_{\Delta_{2} \Delta_{3}}
$$

we denote the cohomology class of this cocycle in $H_{I}^{2}(R)$ by $\eta_{k}$. When $k=p^{e}-1$, one has

$$
\binom{k}{i+j}\binom{k+i}{k}\binom{k+j}{k} \equiv 0 \quad \bmod p \quad \text { for }(i, j) \neq(0,0),
$$

so (2) reduces modulo $p$ to

$$
\frac{u^{p^{e}}}{\Delta_{2}^{p^{e}} \Delta_{3}^{p^{e}}}+\frac{v^{p^{e}}}{\Delta_{3}^{p^{e}} \Delta_{1}^{p^{e}}}+\frac{w^{p^{e}}}{\Delta_{1}^{p^{e}} \Delta_{2}^{p^{e}}} \equiv 0 \quad \bmod p
$$

and the cohomology class $\eta_{p^{e}-1}$ has image

$$
\pi\left(\eta_{p^{e}-1}\right)=\left[\left(\frac{w^{p^{e}}}{\Delta_{1}^{p^{e}} \Delta_{2}^{p^{e}}}, \frac{-v^{p^{e}}}{\Delta_{1}^{p^{e}} \Delta_{3}^{p^{e}}}, \frac{u^{p^{e}}}{\Delta_{2}^{p^{e}} \Delta_{3}^{p^{e}}}\right)\right] \quad \text { in } H_{I}^{2}(\bar{R})
$$

Since $\bar{R}$ is a regular ring of positive characteristic, $H_{I}^{2}(\bar{R})$ is generated as an $\bar{R}\{\varphi\}$ module by the image of

$$
H^{2}\left(\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3} ; \bar{R}\right) \longrightarrow H_{I}^{2}(\bar{R})
$$

The Koszul cohomology module $H^{2}\left(\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3} ; \bar{R}\right)$ is readily seen to be generated, as an $\bar{R}$-module, by elements corresponding to the relations

$$
u \Delta_{1}+v \Delta_{2}+w \Delta_{3}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad x \Delta_{1}+y \Delta_{2}+z \Delta_{3}=0
$$

These two generators of $H^{2}\left(\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3} ; \bar{R}\right)$ map, respectively, to

$$
\alpha:=\left[\left(\frac{w}{\Delta_{1} \Delta_{2}}, \frac{-v}{\Delta_{1} \Delta_{3}}, \frac{u}{\Delta_{2} \Delta_{3}}\right)\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \beta:=\left[\left(\frac{z}{\Delta_{1} \Delta_{2}}, \frac{-y}{\Delta_{1} \Delta_{3}}, \frac{x}{\Delta_{2} \Delta_{3}}\right)\right]
$$

in $H_{I}^{2}(\bar{R})$. Thus, $H_{I}^{2}(\bar{R})$ is generated over $\bar{R}$ by $\varphi^{e}(\alpha)$ and $\varphi^{e}(\beta)$ for $e \geqslant 0$. But

$$
\varphi^{e}(\alpha)=\pi\left(\eta_{p^{e}-1}\right)
$$

is in the image of $\pi$, and hence so is $\varphi^{e}(\beta)$ by symmetry. Thus, $\pi$ is surjective.

## The proof of the identity

We start by observing that $C^{2}\left(\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3} ; R\right)$ is a $\mathscr{D}(R, \mathbb{Z})$-module. The element

$$
\left(\frac{w}{\Delta_{1} \Delta_{2}}, \frac{-v}{\Delta_{1} \Delta_{3}}, \frac{u}{\Delta_{2} \Delta_{3}}\right)
$$

is a 2-cocycle in $C^{2}\left(\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}, \Delta_{3} ; R\right)$ since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w}{\Delta_{1} \Delta_{2}}+\frac{v}{\Delta_{1} \Delta_{3}}+\frac{u}{\Delta_{2} \Delta_{3}}=0 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that the identity (2) is simply the differential operator

$$
D=\frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial u^{k}} \cdot \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial y^{k}} \cdot \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial z^{k}}
$$

applied termwise to (3); we first explain the choice of this operator: set $k=p^{e}-1$, and consider $\bar{D}=D \bmod p$ as an element of

$$
\mathscr{D}(R, \mathbb{Z}) / p \mathscr{D}(R, \mathbb{Z})=\mathscr{D}(R / p R, \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})
$$

It is an elementary verification that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{D}\left(u \Delta_{2}^{p^{e}-1} \Delta_{3}^{p^{e}-1}\right) & \equiv u^{p^{e}} \\
\bar{D}\left(v \Delta_{3}^{p^{e}-1} \Delta_{1}^{p^{e}-1}\right) & \equiv v^{p^{e}} \quad \bmod p \\
\bar{D}\left(w \Delta_{1}^{p^{e}-1} \Delta_{2}^{p^{e}-1}\right) & \equiv w^{p^{e}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $k<p^{e}$, the differential operator $\bar{D}$ is $\bar{R}^{p^{e}}$-linear; dividing the above equations by $\Delta_{2}^{p^{e}} \Delta_{3}^{p^{e}}, \Delta_{3}^{p^{e}} \Delta_{1}^{p^{e}}$, and $\Delta_{1}^{p^{e}} \Delta_{2}^{p^{e}}$ respectively, we obtain

$$
\bar{D}\left(\frac{w}{\Delta_{1} \Delta_{2}}, \frac{-v}{\Delta_{1} \Delta_{3}}, \frac{u}{\Delta_{2} \Delta_{3}}\right) \equiv\left(\frac{w^{p^{e}}}{\Delta_{1}^{p^{e}} \Delta_{2}^{p^{e}}}, \frac{-v^{p^{e}}}{\Delta_{1}^{p^{e}} \Delta_{3}^{p^{e}}}, \frac{u^{p^{e}}}{\Delta_{2}^{p^{e}} \Delta_{3}^{p^{e}}}\right) \quad \bmod p,
$$

which maps to the desired cohomology class $\varphi^{e}(\alpha)$ in $H_{I}^{2}(\bar{R})$. Of course, the operator $D$ is not unique in this regard.

Using elementary properties of differential operators recorded in $\S 2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D\left(\frac{v}{\Delta_{3} \Delta_{1}}\right) & =\frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial u^{k}} \cdot \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial y^{k}} \cdot \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial z^{k}}\left[\frac{v}{(u y-v x)(v z-w y)}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial u^{k}} \cdot \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial y^{k}}\left[\frac{v(-v)^{k}}{(u y-v x)(v z-w y)^{k+1}}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial y^{k}}\left[\frac{v(-v)^{k}(-y)^{k}}{(u y-v x)^{k+1}(v z-w y)^{k+1}}\right] \\
& =v^{k+1} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial y^{k}}\left[\frac{y^{k}}{(u y-v x)^{k+1}(v z-w y)^{k+1}}\right] \\
& =v^{k+1} \sum_{i, j}\left[\frac{1}{i!} \frac{\partial^{i}}{\partial y^{i}} \frac{1}{(u y-v x)^{k+1}}\right]\left[\frac{1}{j!} \frac{\partial^{j}}{\partial y^{j}} \frac{1}{(v z-w y)^{k+1}}\right]\left[\frac{1}{(k-i-j)!} \frac{\partial^{k-i-j}}{\partial y^{k-i-j}} y^{k}\right] \\
& =v^{k+1} \sum_{i, j}\binom{k+i}{i} \frac{(-u)^{i}}{(u y-v x)^{k+1+i}}\binom{k+j}{j} \frac{w^{j}}{(v z-w y)^{k+1+j}}\binom{k}{i+j} y^{i+j} \\
& =v^{k+1} \sum_{i, j}\binom{k+i}{i}\binom{k+j}{j}\binom{k}{i+j} \frac{(-u y)^{i}(w y)^{j}}{\Delta_{3}^{k+1+i} \Delta_{1}^{k+1+j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

A similar calculation shows that

$$
D\left(\frac{w}{\Delta_{1} \Delta_{2}}\right)=w^{k+1} \sum_{i, j}\binom{k+i}{i}\binom{k+j}{j}\binom{k}{i+j} \frac{(-v z)^{i}(u z)^{j}}{\Delta_{1}^{k+1+i} \Delta_{2}^{k+1+j}}
$$

It remains to evaluate $D\left(\frac{u}{\Delta_{2} \Delta_{3}}\right)$; we reduce this to the previous calculation as follows. First note that the differential operators $\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial v} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ commute; it is readily checked that they agree on $\frac{u}{\Delta_{2} \Delta_{3}}$. Consequently the operators

$$
\frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial u^{k}} \cdot \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial y^{k}} \cdot \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial z^{k}} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial v^{k}} \cdot \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial z^{k}} \cdot \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x^{k}}
$$

agree on $\frac{u}{\Delta_{2} \Delta_{3}}$ as well. But then

$$
D\left(\frac{u}{\Delta_{2} \Delta_{3}}\right)=\frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial v^{k}} \cdot \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial z^{k}} \cdot \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial x^{k}}\left[\frac{u}{(w x-u z)(u y-v x)}\right]
$$

which, using the previous calculation and symmetry, equals

$$
u^{k+1} \sum_{i, j}\binom{k+i}{i}\binom{k+j}{j}\binom{k}{i+j} \frac{(-w x)^{i}(v x)^{j}}{\Delta_{2}^{k+1+i} \Delta_{3}^{k+1+j}}
$$

## Identities in general

Suppose $\boldsymbol{f}=f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}$ are elements of a polynomial $\operatorname{ring} R$ over $\mathbb{Z}$, and $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}$ are elements of $R$ such that

$$
g_{1} f_{1}+\cdots+g_{m} f_{m}=0
$$

Then, for each prime integer $p$ and $e \geqslant 0$, the Frobenius map on $\bar{R}=R / p R$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}^{p^{e}} f_{1}^{p^{e}}+\cdots+g_{m}^{p^{e}} f_{m}^{p^{e}} \equiv 0 \quad \bmod p \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now suppose $p$ is a nonzerodivisor on the Koszul cohomology module $H^{m}(\boldsymbol{f} ; R)$. Then Theorem 1 implies that (4) lifts to an equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1} f_{1}^{N}+\cdots+G_{m} f_{m}^{N}=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $R$ in the sense that the cohomology class corresponding to (5) in $H_{(f)}^{m-1}(R)$ maps to the cohomology class corresponding to (4) in $H_{(f)}^{m-1}(\bar{R})$.
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