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#### Abstract

The $F$-pure threshold is a numerical invariant of prime characteristic singularities, that constitutes an analogue of the log canonical thresholds in characteristic zero. We compute the $F$-pure thresholds of determinantal ideals, i.e., of ideals generated by the minors of a generic matrix.
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## 1 Introduction

Consider the ring of polynomials in a matrix of indeterminates $X$, with coefficients in a field of prime characteristic. We compute the $F$-pure thresholds of determinantal ideals, i.e., of ideals generated by the minors of $X$ of a fixed size.

The notion of $F$-pure thresholds is due to Takagi and Watanabe [18], see also Mustaţă, Takagi, and Watanabe [17]. These are positive characteristic invariants of singularities, analogous to log canonical thresholds in characteristic zero. While the definition exists in greater generality - see the above papers - the following is adequate for our purpose:

Definition 1.1. Let $R$ be a polynomial ring over a field of characteristic $p>0$, with the homogeneous maximal ideal denoted by $\mathfrak{m}$. For a homogeneous proper ideal I, and integer $q=p^{e}$, set

$$
v_{I}(q)=\max \left\{r \in \mathbb{N} \mid I^{r} \nsubseteq \mathfrak{m}^{[q]}\right\}
$$
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where $\mathfrak{m}^{[q]}=\left(a^{q} \mid a \in \mathfrak{m}\right)$. If I is generated by $N$ elements, it is readily seen that $0 \leqslant v_{I}(q) \leqslant N(q-1)$. Moreover, if $f \in I^{r} \backslash \mathfrak{m}^{[q]}$, then $f^{p} \in I^{p r} \backslash \mathfrak{m}^{[p q]}$. Thus,

$$
v_{I}(p q) \geqslant p v_{I}(q)
$$

It follows that $\left\{v_{I}\left(p^{e}\right) / p^{e}\right\}_{e \geqslant 1}$ is a bounded monotone sequence; its limit is the $F$-pure threshold of $I$, denoted $\mathrm{fpt}(I)$.

The $F$-pure threshold is known to be rational in a number of cases, see, for example, $[2,3,4,9,16]$. The theory of $F$-pure thresholds is motivated by connections to log canonical thresholds; for simplicity, and to conform to the above context, let $I$ be a homogeneous ideal in a polynomial ring over the field of rational numbers. Using " $I$ modulo $p$ " to denote the corresponding characteristic $p$ model, one has the inequality

$$
\operatorname{fpt}(I \text { modulo } p) \leqslant \operatorname{lct}(I) \quad \text { for all } p \gg 0
$$

where $\operatorname{lct}(I)$ denotes the $\log$ canonical threshold of $I$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{p \longrightarrow \infty} \operatorname{fpt}(I \text { modulo } p)=\operatorname{lct}(I) \tag{1.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

These follow from work of Hara and Yoshida [10]; see [17, Theorems 3.3, 3.4].
The $F$-pure thresholds of defining ideals of Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces are computed in [1]. Hernández has computed $F$-pure thresholds for binomial hypersurfaces [11] and for diagonal hypersurfaces [12]. In the present paper, we perform the computation for determinantal ideals:

Theorem 1.2. Fix positive integers $t \leqslant m \leqslant n$, and let $X$ be an $m \times n$ matrix of indeterminates over a field $\mathbb{F}$ of prime characteristic. Let $R$ be the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}[X]$, and $I_{t}$ the ideal generated by the size $t$ minors of $X$.

The F-pure threshold of $I_{t}$ is

$$
\min \left\{\left.\frac{(m-k)(n-k)}{t-k} \right\rvert\, k=0, \ldots, t-1\right\}
$$

It follows that the $F$-pure threshold of a determinantal ideal is independent of the characteristic: for each prime characteristic, it agrees with the log canonical threshold of the corresponding characteristic zero determinantal ideal, as computed by Johnson [15, Theorem 6.1] or Docampo [8, Theorem 5.6] using log resolutions as in Vainsencher [19]. In view of (1.1.1), Theorem 1.2 recovers the calculation of the characteristic zero log canonical threshold.

## 2 The computations

The primary decomposition of powers of determinantal ideals, i.e., of the ideals $I_{t}^{s}$, was computed by DeConcini, Eisenbud, and Procesi [7] in the case of characteristic zero, and extended to the case of non-exceptional prime characteristic by Bruns and Vetter [6, Chapter 10]. By Bruns [5, Theorem 1.3], the intersection of the primary ideals arising in a primary decomposition of $I_{t}^{s}$ in non-exceptional characteristics, yields, in all characteristics, the integral closure $\overline{I_{t}^{s}}$. We record this below in the form that is used later in the paper:

Theorem 2.1 (Bruns). Let s be a positive integer, and let $\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{h}$ be minors of the matrix $X$. If

$$
h \leqslant s \text { and } \sum_{i} \operatorname{deg} \delta_{i}=t s,
$$

then

$$
\delta_{1} \cdots \delta_{h} \in \overline{I_{t}^{s}} .
$$

Proof. By [5, Theorem 1.3], the ideal $\overline{I_{t}^{s}}$ has a primary decomposition

$$
\bigcap_{j=1}^{t} I_{j}^{((t-j+1) s)} .
$$

Thus, it suffices to verify that

$$
\delta_{1} \cdots \delta_{h} \in I_{j}^{(t-j+1) s)}
$$

for each $j$ with $1 \leqslant j \leqslant t$. This follows from [6, Theorem 10.4].
We will also need:
Lemma 2.2. Let $k$ be the least integer in the interval $[0, t-1]$ such that

$$
\frac{(m-k)(n-k)}{t-k} \leqslant \frac{(m-k-1)(n-k-1)}{t-k-1} ;
$$

interpreting a positive integer divided by zero as infinity, such a $k$ indeed exists. Set

$$
u=t(m+n-2 k)-m n+k^{2} .
$$

Then $t-k-u \geqslant 0$.
Moreover, ifk is nonzero, then $t-k+u>0$; if $k=0$, then $t(m+n-1) \leqslant m n$.

Proof. Rearranging the inequality above, we have

$$
t(m+n-2 k-1) \leqslant m n-k^{2}-k,
$$

which gives $t-k-u \geqslant 0$. If $k$ is nonzero, then the minimality of $k$ implies that

$$
t(m+n-2 k+1)>m n-k^{2}+k,
$$

equivalently, that $t-k+u>0$. If $k=0$, the assertion is readily verified.
Notation 2.3. Let $X$ be an $m \times n$ matrix of indeterminates. Following the notation in [6], for indices

$$
1 \leqslant a_{1}<\cdots<a_{t} \leqslant m \quad \text { and } \quad 1 \leqslant b_{1}<\cdots<b_{t} \leqslant n,
$$

we set $\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t} \mid b_{1}, \ldots, b_{t}\right]$ to be the minor

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{a_{1} b_{1}} & \ldots & x_{a_{1} b_{t}} \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
x_{a_{t} b_{1}} & \ldots & x_{a_{t} b_{t}}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We use the lexicographical term order on $R=\mathbb{F}[X]$ with

$$
x_{11}>x_{12}>\cdots>x_{1 n}>x_{21}>\cdots>x_{m 1}>\cdots>x_{m n}
$$

under this term order, the initial form of the minor displayed above is the product of the entries on the leading diagonal, i.e.,

$$
\text { in }\left(\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{t} \mid b_{1}, \ldots, b_{t}\right]\right)=x_{a_{1} b_{1}} x_{a_{2} b_{2}} \cdots x_{a_{t} b_{t}}
$$

For an integer $k$ with $0 \leqslant k \leqslant m$, we set $\Delta_{k}$ to be the product of minors:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\prod_{i=1}^{n-m+1}[1, \ldots, m \mid i, \ldots, i+m-1] \\
\times \prod_{j=2}^{m-k}[j, \ldots, m \mid 1, \ldots, m-j+1] \cdot[1, \ldots, m-j+1 \mid n-m+j, \ldots, n] .
\end{gathered}
$$

If $k \geqslant 1$, we set $\Delta_{k}^{\prime}$ to be

$$
\Delta_{k} \cdot[m-k+1, \ldots, m \mid 1, \ldots, k] .
$$

Notice that deg $\Delta_{k}=m n-k^{2}-k$ and that $\operatorname{deg} \Delta_{k}^{\prime}=m n-k^{2}$. The element $\Delta_{k}$ is a product of $m+n-2 k-1$ minors and $\Delta_{k}^{\prime}$ of $m+n-2 k$ minors.

Example 2.4. We include an example to assist with the notation. In the case $m=4$ and $n=5$, the elements $\Delta_{2}$ and $\Delta_{2}^{\prime}$ are, respectively, the products of the minors determined by the leading diagonals displayed below:


The initial form of $\Delta_{2}^{\prime}$ is the square-free monomial

$$
\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}
x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} & x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} & x_{24} & x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} & x_{34} & x_{35} & x_{42} & x_{43} & x_{44} & x_{45}
\end{array}
$$

For arbitrary $m, n$, the initial form of $\Delta_{0}$ is the product of the $m n$ indeterminates.
Proof of Theorem 1.2. We first show that for each $k$ with $0 \leqslant k \leqslant t-1$, one has

$$
\operatorname{fpt}\left(I_{t}\right) \leqslant \frac{(m-k)(n-k)}{t-k}
$$

Let $\delta_{k}$ and $\delta_{t}$ be minors of size $k$ and $t$ respectively. Theorem 2.1 implies that

$$
\delta_{k}^{t-k-1} \delta_{t} \in \overline{I_{k+1}^{t-k}},
$$

and hence that $\delta_{k}^{t-k-1} I_{t} \subseteq \overline{I_{k+1}^{t-k}}$. By the Briançon-Skoda theorem, see, for example, [13, Theorem 5.4], there exists an integer $N$ such that

$$
\left(\delta_{k}^{t-k-1} I_{t}\right)^{N+l} \in I_{k+1}^{(t-k) l}
$$

for each integer $l \geqslant 1$. Localizing at the prime ideal $I_{k+1}$ of $R$, one has

$$
I_{t}^{N+l} \subseteq I_{k+1}^{(t-k) l} R_{I_{k+1}} \quad \text { for each } l \geqslant 1
$$

as the element $\delta_{k}$ is a unit in $R_{I_{k+1}}$. Since $R_{I_{k+1}}$ is a regular local ring of dimension ( $m-k$ ) $(n-k)$, with maximal ideal $I_{k+1} R_{I_{k+1}}$, it follows that

$$
I_{t}^{N+l} \subseteq I_{k+1}^{[q]} R_{I_{k+1}}
$$

for positive integers $l$ and $q=p^{e}$ satisfying

$$
(t-k) l>(q-1)(m-k)(n-k)
$$

Returning to the polynomial ring $R$, the ideal $I_{k+1}$ is the unique associated prime of $I_{k+1}^{[q]}$; this follows from the flatness of the Frobenius endomorphism, see for example, [14, Corollary 21.11]. Hence, in the ring $R$, we have

$$
I_{t}^{N+l} \subseteq I_{k+1}^{[q]}
$$

for all integers $q, l$ satisfying the above inequality. This implies that

$$
v_{I_{t}}(q) \leqslant N+\frac{(q-1)(m-k)(n-k)}{t-k}
$$

Dividing by $q$ and passing to the limit, one obtains

$$
\operatorname{fpt}\left(I_{t}\right) \leqslant \frac{(m-k)(n-k)}{t-k}
$$

Next, fix $k$ and $u$ be as in Lemma 2.2, and consider $\Delta_{k}$ and $\Delta_{k}^{\prime}$ as in Notation 2.3; the latter is defined only in the case $k \geqslant 1$. Set

$$
\Delta= \begin{cases}\Delta_{0}^{t} & \text { if } \quad k=0 \\ \Delta_{k}^{u} \cdot\left(\Delta_{k}^{\prime}\right)^{t-k-u} & \text { if } \quad k \geqslant 1 \text { and } u \geqslant 0 \\ \left(\Delta_{k}^{\prime}\right)^{t-k+u} \cdot \Delta_{k-1}^{-u} & \text { if } \quad k \geqslant 1 \text { and } u<0\end{cases}
$$

bearing in mind that $t-k-u \geqslant 0$ by Lemma 2.2.
We claim that $\Delta$ belongs to the integral closure of the ideal $I_{t}^{(m-k)(n-k)}$. This holds by Theorem 2.1, since, in each case,

$$
\operatorname{deg} \Delta=t(m-k)(n-k)
$$

and $\Delta$ is a product of at most $(m-k)(n-k)$ minors: if $k \geqslant 1$, then $\Delta$ is a product of exactly $(m-k)(n-k)$ minors, whereas if $k=0$ then $\Delta$ is a product of $t(m+n-1)$ minors and, by Lemma 2.2, one has $t(m+n-1) \leqslant m n$.

Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the homogeneous maximal ideal of $R$. For a positive integer $s$ that is not necessarily a power of $p$, set

$$
\mathfrak{m}^{[s]}=\left(x_{i j}^{s} \mid i=1, \ldots, m, j=1, \ldots, n\right)
$$

Using the lexicographical term order from Notation 2.3, the initial forms in $\left(\Delta_{k}\right)$ and $\operatorname{in}\left(\Delta_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ are square-free monomials, and

$$
\operatorname{in}(\Delta)= \begin{cases}\operatorname{in}\left(\Delta_{0}\right)^{t} & \text { if } \quad k=0 \\ \operatorname{in}\left(\Delta_{k}\right)^{u} \cdot \operatorname{in}\left(\Delta_{k}^{\prime}\right)^{t-k-u} & \text { if } \quad k \geqslant 1 \text { and } u \geqslant 0 \\ \operatorname{in}\left(\Delta_{k}^{\prime}\right)^{t-k+u} \cdot \operatorname{in}\left(\Delta_{k-1}\right)^{-u} & \text { if } \quad k \geqslant 1 \text { and } u<0\end{cases}
$$

Thus, each variable $x_{i j}$ occurs in the monomial in( $\Delta$ ) with exponent at most $t-k$. It follows that

$$
\Delta \notin \mathfrak{m}^{[t-k+1]}
$$

As $\Delta$ belongs to the integral closure of $I_{t}^{(m-k)(n-k)}$, there exists a nonzero homogeneous polynomial $f \in R$ such that

$$
f \Delta^{l} \in I_{t}^{(m-k)(n-k) l} \quad \text { for all integers } l \geqslant 1
$$

But then

$$
f \Delta^{l} \in I_{t}^{(m-k)(n-k) l} \backslash \mathfrak{m}^{[q]}
$$

for all integers $l$ with $\operatorname{deg} f+l(t-k) \leqslant q-1$. Hence,

$$
v_{I_{t}}(q) \geqslant(m-k)(n-k) l \quad \text { for all integers } l \text { with } l \leqslant \frac{q-1-\operatorname{deg} f}{t-k}
$$

Thus,

$$
v_{I_{t}}(q) \geqslant(m-k)(n-k)\left(\frac{q-1-\operatorname{deg} f}{t-k}-1\right)
$$

and dividing by $q$ and passing to the limit, one obtains

$$
\operatorname{fpt}\left(I_{t}\right) \geqslant \frac{(m-k)(n-k)}{t-k}
$$

which completes the proof.
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