

Translation lengths in $Out(F_n)$

Emina Alibegović

Abstract

We prove that all elements of infinite order in $Out(F_n)$ have positive translation lengths; moreover, they are bounded away from zero. As a consequence we get a new proof that solvable subgroups of $Out(F_n)$ are finitely generated and virtually abelian.

1 Introduction

In this paper we will study the translation lengths of outer automorphisms of a free group. Following [GS91] we define the translation length $\tau_{X,G}(g)$ of $g \in \Gamma$ to be

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|g^n\|}{n}$$

where Γ is a group with finite generating set X , and $\|g\|$ denotes the length of g in the word metric on Γ associated to X .

Farb, Lubotzky and Minsky proved that Dehn twists (more generally, all elements of infinite order) in $Mod(\Sigma_g)$ have positive translation length ([FLM]). We prove

Theorem 1.1. *Every infinite order element $\mathcal{O} \in Out(F_n)$ has positive translation length. Furthermore, there exists a positive constant ε_n such that $\tau(\mathcal{O}) \geq \varepsilon_n$, $\forall \mathcal{O} \in Out(F_n)$.*

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 57M07, 20F28.

Key words and phrases. Automorphisms of free groups, translation lengths.

Once more we can see the strong analogy between mapping class group of a surface, $Mod(\Sigma_g)$, and outer automorphism group of a free group, $Out(F_n)$.

To prove their theorem, Farb, Lubotzky and Minsky found a way to measure how much a Dehn twist is ‘twisted’ by looking at simple closed curves and their intersection number. Such an approach cannot work in the case of $Out(F_n)$ as we do not have an analogue of the intersection number.

As a consequence of our main result we have

Corollary 1.2. *Every abelian subgroup of $Out(F_n)$ is finitely generated.*

Corollary 1.3. *Every solvable subgroup of $Out(F_n)$ is finitely generated and virtually abelian.*

Corollary 1.3 was proved in [BFH99a], but Theorem 1.1 offers an alternative proof. Proofs of corollaries 1.2 and 1.3 for Artin groups can be found in [Bes99, 4.2, 4.4]. Artin groups and $Out(F_n)$ share the properties crucial to the aforementioned proofs. In particular they are virtually torsion free, their virtual cohomological dimension is finite, and the translation length restricted to a torsion free abelian subgroup is a norm on that subgroup. The last fact for $Out(F_n)$ follows from the Theorem 1.1.

I would like to thank Peter Brinkmann for suggesting a careful examination of the exponents (see Definition 2.2). I also express gratitude to Mladen Bestvina for his support and help.

2 Translation lengths

From the definition of translation length we can see that it depends on the choice of generating set for a group Γ . We will omit the reference to the generating set, since it will be clear which one we are using.

We list some properties of translation lengths which can be found in [GS91].

Proposition 2.1. *Let X be a generating set for a group Γ .*

1. $0 \leq \tau(g) \leq \|g\|$
2. For all $x, g \in G$, $\tau(xgx^{-1}) = \tau(g)$.
3. $\tau(g^n) = n \cdot \tau(g) \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a set of generators of a free group F_n . Let Y be the set of generators for $Aut(F_n)$ consisting of:

1. permutations $(x_i \mapsto x_j, x_j \mapsto x_i, x_k \mapsto x_k \text{ for all } k \neq i, j)$,
2. inversions $(x_i \mapsto x_i^{-1}, x_j \mapsto x_j \text{ for all } j \neq i)$,
3. Nielsen twists $(x_i \mapsto x_i x_j, x_k \mapsto x_k \text{ for all } k \neq i)$.

Let \tilde{Y} denote the generating set for $Out(F_n)$ consisting of equivalence classes of elements of Y .

Our goal is to prove that every element of infinite order in $Out(F_n)$ has positive translation length. Since $Aut(F_n)$ embeds into $Out(F_{n+1})$, it will follow that every infinite order element of $Aut(F_n)$ has positive translation length.

We will need the following definition for our proof:

Definition 2.2. Define a map $\alpha : F_n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by

$$\alpha(w) = \text{the largest } p \geq 0 \text{ such that for some nontrivial reduced word } u \text{ the word } u^p \text{ is a subword of } w,$$

where elements of F_n are regarded as reduced words in the generators and their inverses. We also define

$$\tilde{\alpha}([w]) = \max\{\alpha(u) : u \text{ is a cyclically reduced conjugate of } w\}$$

for the conjugacy class, $[w]$, of w .

Example 2.3.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(1) &= 0 \\ \alpha(ab^{-7}c) &= 7 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.4. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{Y}$ and any cyclically reduced word $w \in F_n$ we have*

$$\tilde{\alpha}(\tilde{g}([w])) \leq \tilde{\alpha}([w]) + C.$$

Proof. Let $w \in F_n$ be a cyclically reduced element of length n with $\alpha(w) = p$. Write $w = A u^p B$, for some $u \in F_n$. Consider

$$g(w) = [[g(A)]] [[g(\tilde{w})^p]] [[g(B)]],$$

where $[[x]]$ denotes the reduced word obtained from x . By the *Bounded Cancellation Lemma* ([Coo87]) there is a constant $C(g)$ such that at most $C(g)$ cancellations occur after concatenation of the words $[[g(A)]]$ and $[[g(\tilde{w})^p]]$. Hence p can decrease by at most $2C(g)$ (cancellations may occur at the beginning and at the end of $[[g(\tilde{w})^p]]$). Let $C_g = 2 \max\{C(g), C(g^{-1})\}$. We now have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha([[g(w)]]) &\geq \alpha(w) - C_g \\ \alpha(w) = \alpha(g^{-1}(g(w))) &\geq \alpha([[g(w)]]) - C_g \\ \alpha([[g(w)]]) &\leq \alpha(w) + C_g. \end{aligned}$$

If we take $C = \max\{C_g : g \in Y\}$, our claim is proved for elements of Y .

Let $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{Y}$ and let g be a representative for the equivalence class \tilde{g} . The argument in this case differs from the above argument in that after applying g to w , p can decrease by at most $3C(g)$ (it may happen that $g(w)$ is not cyclically reduced and we can get cancellation at the ends of $g(w)$). We now proceed as above. □

Example 2.5. We illustrate the idea of the proof of Theorem 1.1 with an example of a Nielsen twist. Let g be a Nielsen twist which sends x_2 to x_2x_1 and fixes all other generators of F_n .

$$\alpha(g^k(x_2)) = \alpha(x_2x_1^k) = k.$$

Write $g^k = g_1 \cdots g_m$ with $g_i \in Y$ and $m = \|g^k\|$. By Lemma 2.4, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} k = \alpha(g^k(x_2)) &\leq \alpha(x_2) + mC = mC + 1, \\ \tau(g) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|g^k\|}{k} &\geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k-1}{kC} = \frac{1}{C} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

So g has positive translation length.

We give a short list of definitions which will be used throughout the rest of the paper, but we suggest that the reader look at [BFH99b].

Every element $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Out}(F_n)$ can be represented by a homotopy equivalence $f: G \rightarrow G$ of a graph G whose fundamental group is identified with F_n . A map $\sigma: J \rightarrow G$ (J is an interval) is called a *path* if it is either locally injective or a constant map (we also require that the endpoints of σ are at vertices). Every map $\sigma: J \rightarrow G$ is homotopic (relative endpoints) to a path $[[\sigma]]$.

If $\sigma = \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_l$ is a decomposition of a path or a circuit σ into nontrivial subpaths we say that it is a *k-splitting* if

$$f^k(\sigma) = [[f^k(\sigma_1)]] \dots [[f^k(\sigma_l)]]$$

is a decomposition into subpaths and is a *splitting* if it is a *k-splitting* for all $k > 0$.

We say that a nontrivial path $\sigma \in G$ is a *Nielsen path* for $f: G \rightarrow G$ if $[[f(\sigma)]] = \sigma$. The Nielsen path σ is *indivisible* if it cannot be written as a concatenation of nontrivial Nielsen paths.

Let $= G_0 \subsetneq G_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq G_K = G$ be a filtration of G by f -invariant subgraphs, and let $H_i = G_i \setminus G_{i-1}$. Suppose H_i is a single edge E_i and $f(E_i) = E_i v^l$ for some closed indivisible Nielsen path $v \subset G_{i-1}$ and some $l > 0$. The *exceptional paths* are paths of the form $E_i v^k \overline{E_j}$ or $E_i \overline{v^k} \overline{E_j}$, where $k \geq 0$, $j \leq i$ and $f(E_j) = E_j v^m$, for $m > 0$.

We remind the reader that every element of $\text{Out}(F_n)$ of infinite order has either exponential or polynomial growth ([BH92]). A polynomially growing outer automorphism $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Out}(F_n)$ is unipotent if its action in $H_1(F_n; \mathbb{Z})$ is unipotent (*UPG automorphism*).

The following Theorem can be found in [BFH00](page 564).

Theorem 2.6. *Suppose that $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Out}(F_n)$ is a UPG automorphism. Then there is a topological representative $f: G \rightarrow G$ of \mathcal{O} with the following properties:*

1. *Each G_i is the union of G_{i-1} and a single edge E_i satisfying $f(E_i) = E_i \cdot u_i$ for some closed path u_i that crosses only edges in G_{i-1} (\cdot indicates that the decomposition in question is a splitting).*
2. *If σ is any path with endpoints at vertices, then there exists $M = M(\sigma)$ so that for each $m \geq M$, $[[f^m(\sigma)]]$ splits into subpaths that are either single edges or exceptional subpaths.*

□

We need to modify a definition of our map α to the new setting.

Definition 2.7. For a path γ in a graph G let

$\alpha(\gamma)$ = the largest $p \geq 0$ such that for some nontrivial path σ the path σ^p is a subpath of γ ,

The map $\tilde{\alpha}$ is defined on circuits in the exactly same way.

The following example demonstrates the difference between these two seemingly identical maps.

Example 2.8. Let $\gamma = abca$.

If γ is a path then $\alpha(\gamma) = 1$,

but if it is a circuit, then $\tilde{\alpha}(\gamma) = 2$.

Lemma 2.9. Let $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Out}(F_n)$ be a UPG automorphism of infinite order and let $f: G \rightarrow G$ be its topological representative as in Theorem 2.6. For every path γ in G for which $[[f(\gamma)]] \neq \gamma$ there exists $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\alpha([[f^k(\gamma)]]) \geq k + a.$$

Proof. We prove our claim by induction on the (minimal) index, m , of the filtration element that contains a path γ .

If $\gamma \subset G_1$ there is nothing to be proved since G_1 contains only one edge E_1 which is fixed by f .

Suppose the claim is true for the subpaths contained in G_{m-1} that satisfy our hypothesis, and let γ be a path in G_m for which $[[f(\gamma)]] \neq \gamma$. By Theorem 2.6 for every $m \geq M(\gamma)$, $[[f^m(\gamma)]]$ splits into subpaths that are either single edges or exceptional paths. Denote $[[f^{M(\gamma)}(\gamma)]]$ by $\tilde{\gamma}$, so that $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \gamma_p$, where γ_i is either a single edge or an exceptional path.

Assume there is an exceptional path γ_t which is not fixed by f . Without loss of generality we may assume that $\gamma_t = E_i v^r \overline{E_j}$, where $f(E_i) = E_i v^l$ ($l > 0$), $f(E_j) = E_j v^s$ ($s > 0$) and $j \leq i$. Now we have that

$$[[f^k(\gamma_t)]] = E_i v^{k(l-s)+r} \overline{E_j},$$

and

$$\alpha([[f^k(\gamma_t)]]) \geq k(l-s) + r, \quad \text{if } l-s > 0,$$

$$\alpha([[f^k(\gamma_t)])] \geq k(s-l) - r, \text{ if } l-s < 0.$$

Since γ_t is not fixed, l and s cannot be equal. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha([[f^k(\tilde{\gamma})]]) &\geq k-r, \\ \alpha([[f^k(\gamma)])] &= \alpha([[f^{k-M(\gamma)}(\tilde{\gamma})]]) \geq k-M(\gamma)-r. \end{aligned}$$

If all exceptional paths in $\tilde{\gamma}$ are fixed, there exists an edge $\gamma_t = E_i$ which is not fixed by f . We know that $f(E_i) = E_i \cdot u_i$, where u_i is a closed path contained in G_{m-1} .

If $[[f(u_i)]] = u_i$, our claim is proven since $[[f^k(E_i)]] = E_i u_i^k$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha([[f^k(\tilde{\gamma})]]) &\geq k, \\ \alpha([[f^k(\gamma)])] &\geq k-M(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

If $[[f(u_i)]] \neq u_i$, there exists $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha([[f^k(u_i)]]) \geq k+a$. We now have

$$\alpha([[f^k(\gamma)])] = \alpha([[f^{k-M(\gamma)}(\tilde{\gamma})]]) \geq \alpha([[f^{k-M(\gamma)}(u_i)]]) \geq k-M(\gamma)+a.$$

□

Lemma 2.10. *Let \mathcal{O} be a UPG automorphism of F_n of infinite order. There exist a closed path σ in G , and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\tilde{\alpha}(\mathcal{O}^k(\sigma)) \geq k+b.$$

Proof. Let $f: G \rightarrow G$ be as in Theorem 2.6. Since $\mathcal{O} \neq id$ there is a closed path σ which is not fixed by f . We know that for every $m \geq M(\sigma)$, $[[f^m(\sigma)]] = \sigma_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma_p$ splits into subpaths that are either single edges or exceptional paths. Denote $[[f^{M(\sigma)}(\sigma)]]$ by $\tilde{\sigma}$, so that $\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma_p$.

If there is an exceptional path σ_t in this splitting which is not fixed by f , we get

$$\tilde{\alpha}(\mathcal{O}^k(\tilde{\sigma})) \geq k-r$$

as in Lemma 2.9.

If all exceptional paths in $\tilde{\sigma}$ are fixed, there exists an edge $\sigma_t = E_i$ such that $f(E_i) = E_i \cdot u_i$, where u_i is a closed path contained in G_{i-1} . By Lemma 2.9 there exists $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\alpha(f^k(E_i)) \geq k+a.$$

Hence, in all the above cases, there is $b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\tilde{\alpha}(\mathcal{O}^k(\tilde{\sigma})) \geq k+b.$$

□

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We consider the cases of exponentially and polynomially growing outer automorphisms separately.

Case 1. Let \mathcal{O} be an exponentially growing outer automorphism of F_n . There exist $\lambda > 1$ and a cyclically reduced word w such that $\ell(\mathcal{O}^k([w])) \geq \lambda^k \ell([w])$, for all $k \geq 1$, where ℓ denotes the cyclic word length (see [BH92]). Let $\mathcal{O}^k = \tilde{g}_1 \dots \tilde{g}_m$, where $\tilde{g}_i \in \tilde{Y}$ and $m = \|\mathcal{O}^k\|$. It is straightforward to show that for all $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{Y}$ and any cyclically reduced word w we have

$$\ell(\tilde{g}([w])) \leq 2 \ell([w])$$

Using this inequality we obtain:

$$\lambda^k \ell([w]) \leq \ell(\mathcal{O}^k([w])) \leq 2^m \ell([w])$$

Hence

$$m \geq \frac{\log \lambda^k}{\log 2}$$

which implies

$$\tau(\mathcal{O}) \geq \frac{\log \lambda}{\log 2} > 0$$

Case 2. Let \mathcal{O} be a *UPG* automorphism. Again let $\mathcal{O}^k = \tilde{g}_1 \dots \tilde{g}_m$, where $\tilde{g}_i \in \tilde{Y}$ and $m = \|\mathcal{O}^k\|$. By Lemma 2.10 there is a closed path σ in G such that

$$\tilde{\alpha}(\mathcal{O}^k(\sigma)) \geq k + b$$

Let $u_j = \tilde{g}_j \dots \tilde{g}_m$. Applying Lemma 2.4 we get

$$\tilde{\alpha}(u_i(\sigma)) \leq \tilde{\alpha}(u_{i+1}(\sigma)) + C$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} k + b &\leq \tilde{\alpha}(\mathcal{O}^k(\sigma)) \leq mC + \tilde{\alpha}(\sigma) \\ \frac{k + b - \tilde{\alpha}(\sigma)}{C} &\leq m. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\tau(\mathcal{O}) \geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k + b - \tilde{\alpha}(\sigma)}{kC} = \frac{1}{C}.$$

Case 3. If \mathcal{O} is any polynomially growing outer automorphism, then there exists $s \geq 1$, bounded above by some c_2 , ([BFH99b, Definition 3.10, Proposition 3.5]) such that \mathcal{O}^s is a *UPG* automorphism. Then

$$\tau(\mathcal{O}) = \frac{1}{s} \tau(\mathcal{O}^s) \geq \frac{1}{C_s} > 0.$$

In all three cases $\tau(\mathcal{O})$ is bounded away from zero:

Case 1. There is a constant $c_1 > 1$ such that $\lambda \geq c_1$ ([BH92]). Therefore $\tau(\mathcal{O})$ is bounded away from zero.

Case 2. $\tau(\mathcal{O}) \geq \frac{1}{C}$, for a fixed C .

Case 3. Since s is bounded by c_2 , we get $\tau(\mathcal{O}) \geq \frac{1}{C_{c_2}} > 0$.

This completes the proof. □

References

- [Bes99] M. Bestvina. Non-positively curved aspects of artin groups of finite type. *Geometry and Topology*, 3(3):269–302, 1999.
- [BFH99a] M. Bestvina, M. Feighn, and M. Handel. Solvable subgroups of $Out(F_n)$ are virtually abelian. preprint, 1999.
- [BFH99b] M. Bestvina, M. Feighn, and M. Handel. The Tits alternative for $Out(F_n)$ II: A Kolchin Type Theorem. preprint, 1999.
- [BFH00] M. Bestvina, M. Feighn, and M. Handel. The Tits alternative for $Out(F_n)$ I: Dynamics of exponentially growing automorphisms. *Annals of Mathematics*, 151(2):517–623, 2000.
- [BH92] M. Bestvina and M. Handel. Train tracks and automorphisms of free groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 135(1):1–51, 1992.
- [Coo87] D. Cooper. Automorphisms of free groups have finitely generated fixed point sets. *J. Algebra*, 111(2):453–456, 1987.
- [FLM] B. Farb, A. Lubotzky, and Y. Minsky. Rank one phenomena for mapping class groups. preprint.
- [GS91] S. M. Gersten and H. B. Short. Rational subgroups of automatic groups. *Annals of Mathematics*, 134:125–158, 1991.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
155 S 1400 E, RM 233
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84112-0090, USA

E-mail: emina@math.utah.edu