

Brownian motion & thermal capacity

Davar Khoshnevisan
(joint work with Yimin Xiao)

Department of Mathematics
University of Utah
<http://www.math.utah.edu/~davar>

Le Touquet, France
Tuesday, June 6–10, 2011

Brownian motion & fractals

- ▶ $\{W(t)\}_{t \geq 0} := d$ -dimensional Brownian motion

Brownian motion & fractals

- ▶ $\{W(t)\}_{t \geq 0} := d$ -dimensional Brownian motion
- ▶ Question: What is

$$\|\dim_{\text{H}}(W(E) \cap F)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})},$$

where $E \subset [0, \infty)$ and $F \subset \mathbb{R}^d$?

(We learned this from Y. Peres \simeq 1999)

Brownian motion & fractals

- ▶ $\{W(t)\}_{t \geq 0} := d$ -dimensional Brownian motion
- ▶ **Question:** What is

$$\|\dim_{\text{H}}(W(E) \cap F)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})},$$

where $E \subset [0, \infty)$ and $F \subset \mathbb{R}^d$?

(We learned this from Y. Peres \simeq 1999)

- ▶ **Some earlier results:**

Brownian motion & fractals

- ▶ $\{W(t)\}_{t \geq 0} := d$ -dimensional Brownian motion
- ▶ **Question:** What is

$$\|\dim_{\text{H}}(W(E) \cap F)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})},$$

where $E \subset [0, \infty)$ and $F \subset \mathbb{R}^d$?

(We learned this from Y. Peres \simeq 1999)

- ▶ Some earlier results:
 - ▶ $\dim_{\text{H}} W[0, 1] = d \wedge 2$ (Lévy, 1953; Taylor, 1953)

Brownian motion & fractals

- ▶ $\{W(t)\}_{t \geq 0} := d$ -dimensional Brownian motion
- ▶ **Question:** What is

$$\|\dim_{\text{H}}(W(E) \cap F)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})},$$

where $E \subset [0, \infty)$ and $F \subset \mathbb{R}^d$?

(We learned this from Y. Peres \simeq 1999)

- ▶ Some earlier results:
 - ▶ $\dim_{\text{H}} W[0, 1] = d \wedge 2$ (Lévy, 1953; Taylor, 1953)
 - ▶ $\dim_{\text{H}} W(E) = d \wedge 2 \dim_{\text{H}} E$ (McKean, 1955; Blumenthal–Gettoor, 1960)

Brownian motion & fractals

- ▶ $\{W(t)\}_{t \geq 0} := d$ -dimensional Brownian motion
- ▶ **Question:** What is

$$\|\dim_{\text{H}}(W(E) \cap F)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})},$$

where $E \subset [0, \infty)$ and $F \subset \mathbb{R}^d$?

(We learned this from Y. Peres \simeq 1999)

- ▶ Some earlier results:
 - ▶ $\dim_{\text{H}} W[0, 1] = d \wedge 2$ (Lévy, 1953; Taylor, 1953)
 - ▶ $\dim_{\text{H}} W(E) = d \wedge 2 \dim_{\text{H}} E$ (McKean, 1955; Blumenthal–Gettoor, 1960)
 - ▶ **Kaufman's uniform dimension theorem (1969):** If $d \geq 2$, the a.s.:

$$\dim_{\text{H}} W(E) = 2 \dim_{\text{H}} E \quad \text{for all Borel sets } E \subset [0, \infty).$$

Brownian motion & fractals

- ▶ $\{W(t)\}_{t \geq 0} := d$ -dimensional Brownian motion
- ▶ **Question:** What is

$$\|\dim_{\text{H}}(W(E) \cap F)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})},$$

where $E \subset [0, \infty)$ and $F \subset \mathbb{R}^d$?

(We learned this from Y. Peres \simeq 1999)

- ▶ Some earlier results:
 - ▶ $\dim_{\text{H}} W[0, 1] = d \wedge 2$ (Lévy, 1953; Taylor, 1953)
 - ▶ $\dim_{\text{H}} W(E) = d \wedge 2 \dim_{\text{H}} E$ (McKean, 1955; Blumenthal–Gettoor, 1960)
 - ▶ **Kaufman's uniform dimension theorem** (1969): If $d \geq 2$, the a.s.:

$$\dim_{\text{H}} W(E) = 2 \dim_{\text{H}} E \quad \text{for all Borel sets } E \subset [0, \infty).$$

- ▶ **Much more (1970+)**

Brownian motion & thermal capacity



▶ $\| \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} = ?$

Brownian motion & thermal capacity



- ▶ $\| \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} = ?$
- ▶ First: When is $W(E) \cap F = \emptyset$ a.s.?

Brownian motion & thermal capacity



- ▶ $\| \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} = ?$
- ▶ First: When is $W(E) \cap F = \emptyset$ a.s.?
- ▶ Answer (Doob, 1984): Iff $E \times F$ has zero thermal capacity; i.e., there exists an open set $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \ni O \supseteq E \times F$ and a supertemperature f , defined on O , such that $E \times F \subseteq \{f = \infty\}$.

Brownian motion & thermal capacity



- ▶ $\| \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F) \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} = ?$
- ▶ First: When is $W(E) \cap F = \emptyset$ a.s.?
- ▶ Answer (Doob, 1984): Iff $E \times F$ has zero thermal capacity; i.e., there exists an open set $\mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^d \ni O \supseteq E \times F$ and a supertemperature f , defined on O , such that $E \times F \subseteq \{f = \infty\}$.
- ▶ Equivalently, \forall compactly-supported probab. meas. μ on $E \times F$,

$$\iint \frac{e^{-\|x-y\|^2/(2|t-s|)}}{|t-s|^{d/2}} \mu(ds dx) \mu(dt dy) = \infty.$$

(K-Xiao, 2011; Watson, 1974, 1977)

Hausdorff dimension in space-time

- ▶ Let $\Sigma := \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^d$ [space-time], metrized by the parabolic metric

$$q((s, y); (t, x)) := |t - s|^{1/2} \vee \|x - y\|.$$

Hausdorff dimension in space-time

- ▶ Let $\Sigma := \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^d$ [space-time], metrized by the parabolic metric

$$\varrho((s, y); (t, x)) := |t - s|^{1/2} \vee \|x - y\|.$$

- ▶ Let $\dim_{\mathbf{H}}(A; \varrho)$ denote Hausdorff dimension for all $A \subset \Sigma$.

Hausdorff dimension in space-time

- ▶ Let $\Sigma := \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^d$ [space-time], metrized by the parabolic metric

$$\varrho((s, y); (t, x)) := |t - s|^{1/2} \vee \|x - y\|.$$

- ▶ Let $\dim_{\text{H}}(A; \varrho)$ denote Hausdorff dimension for all $A \subset \Sigma$.
- ▶ (Taylor–Watson, 1985)

Hausdorff dimension in space-time

- ▶ Let $\Sigma := \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^d$ [space-time], metrized by the parabolic metric

$$\varrho((s, y); (t, x)) := |t - s|^{1/2} \vee \|x - y\|.$$

- ▶ Let $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(A; \varrho)$ denote Hausdorff dimension for all $A \subset \Sigma$.
- ▶ (Taylor–Watson, 1985)
 - ▶ If $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) > d$, then $W(E) \cap F \neq \emptyset$ w.p.p.

Hausdorff dimension in space-time

- ▶ Let $\Sigma := \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^d$ [space-time], metrized by the parabolic metric

$$\varrho((s, y); (t, x)) := |t - s|^{1/2} \vee \|x - y\|.$$

- ▶ Let $\dim_{\mathbf{H}}(A; \varrho)$ denote Hausdorff dimension for all $A \subset \Sigma$.
- ▶ (Taylor–Watson, 1985)
 - ▶ If $\dim_{\mathbf{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) > d$, then $W(E) \cap F \neq \emptyset$ w.p.p.
 - ▶ If $\dim_{\mathbf{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) < d$, the $W(E) \cap F = \emptyset$ a.s.

The easier case ($d \geq 2$)

Theorem

If $d \geq 2$, then $\|\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} = (\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \mathcal{Q}) - d)_+$.

The easier case ($d \geq 2$)

Theorem

If $d \geq 2$, then $\|\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} = (\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) - d)_+$.

- ▶ $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) - d$ is the slack in the Taylor–Watson condition (codimension?)

The easier case ($d \geq 2$)

Theorem

If $d \geq 2$, then $\|\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} = (\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \mathcal{Q}) - d)_+$.

- ▶ $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \mathcal{Q}) - d$ is the slack in the Taylor–Watson condition (codimension?)
- ▶ Theorem false for $d = 1$; e.g., $E := [0, 1]$, $F := \{0\}$.

The easier case ($d \geq 2$)

Theorem

If $d \geq 2$, then $\|\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} = (\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \mathcal{Q}) - d)_+$.

- ▶ $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \mathcal{Q}) - d$ is the slack in the Taylor–Watson condition (codimension?)
- ▶ Theorem false for $d = 1$; e.g., $E := [0, 1]$, $F := \{0\}$.
- ▶ Then, $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F) = 0$, $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \mathcal{Q}) - 1 = 1$.

The harder case ($d = 1$)

Theorem

$$\|\dim_{\text{H}}(W(E) \cap F)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} = \sup \left\{ \beta > 0 : \inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E \times F)} \mathcal{E}_\beta(\mu) < \infty \right\},$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_\beta(\mu) := \iint \frac{e^{-|x-y|^2/(2|t-s|)}}{|t-s|^{1/2} \cdot |x-y|^\beta} \mu(ds dx) \mu(dt dy).$$

Proof when $d \geq 2$

$$\|\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} = (\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) - d)_+$$

$$\blacktriangleright W(E) \cap F = W(E \cap W^{-1}(F)).$$

Proof when $d \geq 2$

$$\|\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} = (\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) - d)_+$$

- ▶ $W(E) \cap F = W(E \cap W^{-1}(F))$.
- ▶ Therefore, $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F) = 2 \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \cap W^{-1}(F))$.

Proof when $d \geq 2$

$$\|\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} = (\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) - d)_+$$

- ▶ $W(E) \cap F = W(E \cap W^{-1}(F))$.
- ▶ Therefore, $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F) = 2 \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \cap W^{-1}(F))$.
- ▶ Let $X_\alpha :=$ an independent symmetric α -stable Lévy process with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. A bound:

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ X_\alpha[0, 1] \cap \overbrace{[t - r^2, t + r^2]}^I \neq \emptyset \right\} \leq \text{const} \cdot r^{2(1-\alpha)}.$$

Proof when $d \geq 2$

$$\|\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} = (\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) - d)_+$$

- ▶ $W(E) \cap F = W(E \cap W^{-1}(F))$.
- ▶ Therefore, $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F) = 2 \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \cap W^{-1}(F))$.
- ▶ Let $X_\alpha :=$ an independent symmetric α -stable Lévy process with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. A bound:

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ X_\alpha[0, 1] \cap \overbrace{[t - r^2, t + r^2]}^I \neq \emptyset \right\} \leq \text{const} \cdot r^{2(1-\alpha)}.$$

- ▶ A 2nd bound: $\mathbb{P} \left\{ W(I) \cap \overbrace{B(x, r)}^J \neq \emptyset \right\} \leq \text{const} \cdot r^d.$

Proof when $d \geq 2$

$$\|\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} = (\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) - d)_+$$

- ▶ $W(E) \cap F = W(E \cap W^{-1}(F))$.
- ▶ Therefore, $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F) = 2 \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \cap W^{-1}(F))$.
- ▶ Let $X_\alpha :=$ an independent symmetric α -stable Lévy process with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. A bound:

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ X_\alpha[0, 1] \cap \overbrace{[t - r^2, t + r^2]}^I \neq \emptyset \right\} \leq \text{const} \cdot r^{2(1-\alpha)}.$$

- ▶ A 2nd bound: $\mathbb{P} \left\{ W(I) \cap \overbrace{B(x, r)}^J \neq \emptyset \right\} \leq \text{const} \cdot r^d$.

$$\text{▶ } \therefore \mathbb{P} \{ I \cap W^{-1}(J) \cap X_\alpha[0, 1] \neq \emptyset \} \leq \text{const} \cdot r^{d+2(1-\alpha)}.$$

Proof when $d \geq 2$

$$\|\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} = (\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) - d)_+$$

- ▶ Cover $E \times F$ with “parabolic balls” $\{E_j \times F_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of the form $[t - r^2, t + r^2] \times B(x, r)$.

Proof when $d \geq 2$

$$\|\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} = (\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) - d)_+$$

- ▶ Cover $E \times F$ with “parabolic balls” $\{E_j \times F_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of the form $[t - r^2, t + r^2] \times B(x, r)$.
- ▶ $\mathbb{P}\{E \cap W^{-1}(F) \cap X_\alpha[0, 1] \neq \emptyset\}$ is at most

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\{E_j \cap W^{-1}(F_j) \cap X_\alpha[0, 1] \neq \emptyset\}$$

Proof when $d \geq 2$

$$\|\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} = (\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) - d)_+$$

- ▶ Cover $E \times F$ with “parabolic balls” $\{E_j \times F_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of the form $[t - r^2, t + r^2] \times B(x, r)$.
- ▶ $\mathbb{P}\{E \cap W^{-1}(F) \cap X_\alpha[0, 1] \neq \emptyset\}$ is at most

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\{E_j \cap W^{-1}(F_j) \cap X_\alpha[0, 1] \neq \emptyset\}$$

Proof when $d \geq 2$

$$\|\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} = (\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) - d)_+$$

- ▶ Cover $E \times F$ with “parabolic balls” $\{E_j \times F_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of the form $[t - r^2, t + r^2] \times B(x, r)$.
- ▶ $\mathbb{P}\{E \cap W^{-1}(F) \cap X_\alpha[0, 1] \neq \emptyset\}$ is at most

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\{E_j \cap W^{-1}(F_j) \cap X_\alpha[0, 1] \neq \emptyset\} \\ & \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\text{diam}_{\varrho}(E_j \times F_j)|^{d+2(1-\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof when $d \geq 2$

$$\|\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} = (\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) - d)_+$$

- ▶ Cover $E \times F$ with “parabolic balls” $\{E_j \times F_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of the form $[t - r^2, t + r^2] \times B(x, r)$.
- ▶ $\mathbb{P}\{E \cap W^{-1}(F) \cap X_\alpha[0, 1] \neq \emptyset\}$ is at most

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\{E_j \cap W^{-1}(F_j) \cap X_\alpha[0, 1] \neq \emptyset\} \\ & \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\text{diam}_{\varrho}(E_j \times F_j)|^{d+2(1-\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ $\therefore \mathbb{P}\{E \cap W^{-1}(F) \cap X_\alpha[0, 1] \neq \emptyset\} \leq CH_{d+2(1-\alpha)}(E \times F; \varrho)$.
(Vitali covering)

Proof when $d \geq 2$

$$\|\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} = (\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) - d)_+$$

► Therefore, $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) < d + 2(1 - \alpha)$ implies

$$E \cap W^{-1}(F) \cap X_\alpha[0, 1] = \emptyset \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Proof when $d \geq 2$

$$\|\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} = (\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) - d)_+$$

- ▶ Therefore, $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) < d + 2(1 - \alpha)$ implies

$$E \cap W^{-1}(F) \cap X_\alpha[0, 1] = \emptyset \quad \text{a.s.}$$

- ▶ Theorem of McKean (1955) implies $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \cap W^{-1}(F)) \leq 1 - \alpha$.

Proof when $d \geq 2$

$$\|\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} = (\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) - d)_+$$

- ▶ Therefore, $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) < d + 2(1 - \alpha)$ implies

$$E \cap W^{-1}(F) \cap X_\alpha[0, 1] = \emptyset \quad \text{a.s.}$$

- ▶ Theorem of McKean (1955) implies $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \cap W^{-1}(F)) \leq 1 - \alpha$.
- ▶ Therefore,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \cap W^{-1}(F)) \leq \frac{1}{2} (\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) - d)_+.$$

Proof when $d \geq 2$

$$\|\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} = (\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) - d)_+$$

- ▶ Therefore, $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) < d + 2(1 - \alpha)$ implies

$$E \cap W^{-1}(F) \cap X_\alpha[0, 1] = \emptyset \quad \text{a.s.}$$

- ▶ Theorem of McKean (1955) implies $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \cap W^{-1}(F)) \leq 1 - \alpha$.
- ▶ Therefore,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \cap W^{-1}(F)) \leq \frac{1}{2} (\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) - d)_+.$$

- ▶ Kaufman's theorem:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F) \leq (\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) - d)_+.$$

Proof when $d \geq 2$

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F) = \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \mathbb{Q}) - d$$

► **Theorem:** $E \cap W^{-1}(F) \cap X_{\alpha}[0, 1] \neq \emptyset$ with pos. probab. if

$$\inf_{\mu \in M_1(E \times F)} \iint \underbrace{\frac{e^{-\|x-y\|^2/(2|t-s|)}}{|t-s|^{(d/2)+(1-\alpha)}}}_{\mathcal{J}(d+2(1-\alpha))} \mu(ds dy) \mu(dt dx) < \infty.$$

Proof when $d \geq 2$

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F) = \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) - d$$

- **Theorem:** $E \cap W^{-1}(F) \cap X_{\alpha}[0, 1] \neq \emptyset$ with pos. probab. if

$$\inf_{\mu \in M_1(E \times F)} \iint \underbrace{\frac{e^{-\|x-y\|^2/(2|t-s|)}}{|t-s|^{(d/2)+(1-\alpha)}}}_{\mathcal{J}(d+2(1-\alpha))} \mu(ds dy) \mu(dt dx) < \infty.$$

- $\mathcal{J}(\beta) \leq \text{const} \cdot \varrho((s, y); (t, x))^{-\beta}$.

Proof when $d \geq 2$

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F) = \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) - d$$

- ▶ **Theorem:** $E \cap W^{-1}(F) \cap X_{\alpha}[0, 1] \neq \emptyset$ with pos. probab. if

$$\inf_{\mu \in M_1(E \times F)} \iint \underbrace{\frac{e^{-\|x-y\|^2/(2|t-s|)}}{|t-s|^{(d/2)+(1-\alpha)}}}_{\mathcal{J}(d+2(1-\alpha))} \mu(ds dy) \mu(dt dx) < \infty.$$

- ▶ $\mathcal{J}(\beta) \leq \text{const} \cdot \varrho((s, y); (t, x))^{-\beta}$.
- ▶ $\iint \mathcal{J}(d + 2(1 - \alpha)) d(\mu \times \mu) \leq \text{const} \times$ Riesz energy of μ in dimension $d + 2(1 - \alpha)$.

Proof when $d \geq 2$

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F) = \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) - d$$

- ▶ **Theorem:** $E \cap W^{-1}(F) \cap X_{\alpha}[0, 1] \neq \emptyset$ with pos. probab. if

$$\inf_{\mu \in M_1(E \times F)} \iint \underbrace{\frac{e^{-\|x-y\|^2/(2|t-s|)}}{|t-s|^{(d/2)+(1-\alpha)}}}_{\mathcal{J}(d+2(1-\alpha))} \mu(ds dy) \mu(dt dx) < \infty.$$

- ▶ $\mathcal{J}(\beta) \leq \text{const} \cdot \varrho((s, y); (t, x))^{-\beta}$.
- ▶ $\iint \mathcal{J}(d + 2(1 - \alpha)) d(\mu \times \mu) \leq \text{const} \times$ Riesz energy of μ in dimension $d + 2(1 - \alpha)$.
- ▶ If $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) > d + 2(1 - \alpha)$ then $E \cap W^{-1}(F) \cap X_{\alpha}[0, 1] \neq \emptyset$ w.p.p.

Proof when $d \geq 2$

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W(E) \cap F) = \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \mathcal{Q}) - d$$

- ▶ **Theorem:** $E \cap W^{-1}(F) \cap X_{\alpha}[0, 1] \neq \emptyset$ with pos. probab. if

$$\inf_{\mu \in M_1(E \times F)} \iint \underbrace{\frac{e^{-\|x-y\|^2/(2|t-s|)}}{|t-s|^{(d/2)+(1-\alpha)}}}_{\mathcal{J}(d+2(1-\alpha))} \mu(ds dy) \mu(dt dx) < \infty.$$

- ▶ $\mathcal{J}(\beta) \leq \text{const} \cdot \mathcal{Q}((s, y); (t, x))^{-\beta}$.
- ▶ $\iint \mathcal{J}(d + 2(1 - \alpha)) d(\mu \times \mu) \leq \text{const} \times$ Riesz energy of μ in dimension $d + 2(1 - \alpha)$.
- ▶ If $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \mathcal{Q}) > d + 2(1 - \alpha)$ then $E \cap W^{-1}(F) \cap X_{\alpha}[0, 1] \neq \emptyset$ w.p.p.
- ▶ McKean's theorem (1955):
 $\| \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \cap W^{-1}(F)) \|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{P})} \geq \frac{1}{2} \{ \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \mathcal{Q}) - d \}_+$.

An aside

- ▶ Actually showed: $\forall d \geq 1$,

$$\|\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \cap W^{-1}(F))\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \mathcal{Q}) - d \right\}_+.$$

An aside

- ▶ Actually showed: $\forall d \geq 1$,

$$\|\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \cap W^{-1}(F))\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) - d \right\}_+.$$

- ▶ Kaufman (1972) proved this when $d = 1$ by direct covering.

An aside

- ▶ Actually showed: $\forall d \geq 1$,

$$\left\| \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \cap W^{-1}(F)) \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) - d \right\}_+.$$

- ▶ Kaufman (1972) proved this when $d = 1$ by direct covering.
- ▶ Hawkes (1978) has shown a version of Kaufman's theorem, valid for stable subordinators.

An aside

- ▶ Actually showed: $\forall d \geq 1$,

$$\left\| \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \cap W^{-1}(F)) \right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{P})} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(E \times F; \varrho) - d \right\}_+.$$

- ▶ Kaufman (1972) proved this when $d = 1$ by direct covering.
- ▶ Hawkes (1978) has shown a version of Kaufman's theorem, valid for stable subordinators.
- ▶ Hawkes conjectured a formula when $W \leftrightarrow SS(\alpha)$. Conjecture is correct.

Comments on the case $d = 1$

- ▶ Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N be N i.i.d. α -stable processes in \mathbf{R}^d

Comments on the case $d = 1$

- ▶ Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N be N i.i.d. α -stable processes in \mathbf{R}^d
- ▶ All independent of each other and the Br. motion W .

Comments on the case $d = 1$

- ▶ Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N be N i.i.d. α -stable processes in \mathbf{R}^d
- ▶ All independent of each other and the Br. motion W .
- ▶ Additive stable process:

$$\mathcal{X}_\alpha(\mathbf{t}) := X_1(t_1) + \dots + X_N(t_N) \quad \forall \mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{R}_+^N.$$

Comments on the case $d = 1$

- ▶ Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N be N i.i.d. α -stable processes in \mathbf{R}^d
- ▶ All independent of each other and the Br. motion W .
- ▶ Additive stable process:

$$\mathcal{X}_\alpha(\mathbf{t}) := X_1(t_1) + \dots + X_N(t_N) \quad \forall \mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{R}_+^N.$$

- ▶ Theorem of Hirsch–Song (1994):

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \mathcal{X}_\alpha(\mathbf{R}_+^N) \cap G \neq \emptyset \right\} > 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad \text{Cap}_{d-\alpha N}(G) > 0.$$

Comments on the case $d = 1$

- ▶ Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N be N i.i.d. α -stable processes in \mathbf{R}^d
- ▶ All independent of each other and the Br. motion W .
- ▶ Additive stable process:

$$\mathcal{X}_\alpha(\mathbf{t}) := X_1(t_1) + \dots + X_N(t_N) \quad \forall \mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{R}_+^N.$$

- ▶ Theorem of Hirsch–Song (1994):

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \mathcal{X}_\alpha(\mathbf{R}_+^N) \cap G \neq \emptyset \right\} > 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad \text{Cap}_{d-\alpha N}(G) > 0.$$

- ▶ Frostman's theorem \Rightarrow

$$\dim_{\text{H}} G > d - \alpha N \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{X}_\alpha(\mathbf{R}_+^N) \cap G \neq \emptyset \quad \text{w.p.p.}$$

$$\dim_{\text{H}} G < d - \alpha N \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{X}_\alpha(\mathbf{R}_+^N) \cap G = \emptyset \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Comments on the case $d = 1$

- ▶ Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N be N i.i.d. α -stable processes in \mathbf{R}^d
- ▶ All independent of each other and the Br. motion W .
- ▶ Additive stable process:

$$\mathcal{X}_\alpha(\mathbf{t}) := X_1(t_1) + \dots + X_N(t_N) \quad \forall \mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{R}_+^N.$$

- ▶ Theorem of Hirsch–Song (1994):

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \mathcal{X}_\alpha(\mathbf{R}_+^N) \cap G \neq \emptyset \right\} > 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad \text{Cap}_{d-\alpha N}(G) > 0.$$

- ▶ Frostman's theorem \Rightarrow

$$\dim_{\text{H}} G > d - \alpha N \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{X}_\alpha(\mathbf{R}_+^N) \cap G \neq \emptyset \quad \text{w.p.p.}$$

$$\dim_{\text{H}} G < d - \alpha N \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{X}_\alpha(\mathbf{R}_+^N) \cap G = \emptyset \quad \text{a.s.}$$

- ▶ Computes $\dim_{\text{H}} G$ (Taylor, 1961; K, 2001; K–Xiao–Shieh, 2008)

Comments on the case $d = 1$

► **Theorem:** If $d > \alpha N$ then $W(E) \cap F \cap \mathcal{X}_\alpha(\mathbf{R}_+^N) \neq \emptyset$ w.p.p. iff

$$\exists \mu \in M_1(E \times F) : \mathcal{E}_{d-\alpha N}(\mu) < \infty,$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_\beta(\mu) := \iint \frac{e^{-\|x-y\|^2/(2|t-s|)}}{|t-s|^{d/2} \|y-x\|^\beta} \mu(ds dy) \mu(ds dx).$$

Comments on the case $d = 1$

- ▶ **Theorem:** If $d > \alpha N$ then $W(E) \cap F \cap \mathcal{X}_\alpha(\mathbf{R}_+^N) \neq \emptyset$ w.p.p. iff

$$\exists \mu \in M_1(E \times F) : \mathcal{E}_{d-\alpha N}(\mu) < \infty,$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_\beta(\mu) := \iint \frac{e^{-\|x-y\|^2/(2|t-s|)}}{|t-s|^{d/2} \|y-x\|^\beta} \mu(ds dy) \mu(ds dx).$$

- ▶ This formula is true in all dimensions $d \geq 1$.

Comments on the case $d = 1$

- ▶ **Theorem:** If $d > \alpha N$ then $W(E) \cap F \cap \mathcal{G}_\alpha(\mathbf{R}_+^N) \neq \emptyset$ w.p.p. iff

$$\exists \mu \in M_1(E \times F) : \mathcal{E}_{d-\alpha N}(\mu) < \infty,$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_\beta(\mu) := \iint \frac{e^{-\|x-y\|^2/(2|t-s|)}}{|t-s|^{d/2} \|y-x\|^\beta} \mu(ds dy) \mu(ds dx).$$

- ▶ This formula is true in all dimensions $d \geq 1$.
- ▶ This proves that $\|\dim_{\text{H}}(W(E) \cap F)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})}$ is the critical β where $\mathcal{E}_\beta(\mu) < \infty$ for some $\mu \in M_1(E \times F)$.

Comments on the case $d = 1$

- ▶ **Theorem:** If $d > \alpha N$ then $W(E) \cap F \cap \mathcal{X}_\alpha(\mathbf{R}_+^N) \neq \emptyset$ w.p.p. iff

$$\exists \mu \in M_1(E \times F) : \mathcal{E}_{d-\alpha N}(\mu) < \infty,$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_\beta(\mu) := \iint \frac{e^{-\|x-y\|^2/(2|t-s|)}}{|t-s|^{d/2} \|y-x\|^\beta} \mu(ds dy) \mu(ds dx).$$

- ▶ This formula is true in all dimensions $d \geq 1$.
- ▶ This proves that $\|\dim_{\text{H}}(W(E) \cap F)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{P})}$ is the critical β where $\mathcal{E}_\beta(\mu) < \infty$ for some $\mu \in M_1(E \times F)$.
- ▶ **Open problem:** “Why” is it that when $d \geq 2$,

$$\sup \left\{ \beta > 0 : \inf_{\mu \in M_1(E \times F)} \mathcal{E}_\beta(\mu) < \infty \right\} = \{\dim_{\text{H}}(E \times F; \mathcal{Q}) - d\}_+?$$

