

Lecture 4

More on the Range

Davar Khoshnevisan

Department of Mathematics
University of Utah

<http://www.math.utah.edu/~davar>

Summer School on Lévy Processes: Theory and Applications
August 9–12, 2007
Sandbjerg Manor, Denmark



A zero-one law

- ▶ Let $X :=$ a Lévy process in \mathbf{R}^d ; characteristic exponent Ψ .



A zero-one law

- ▶ Let $X :=$ a Lévy process in \mathbf{R}^d ; characteristic exponent Ψ .
- ▶ **Question:** When is $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$?



A zero-one law

- ▶ Let $X :=$ a Lévy process in \mathbf{R}^d ; characteristic exponent Ψ .
- ▶ **Question:** When is $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$?

Proposition

$m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+))$ is a.s. a constant.



A zero-one law

- ▶ Let $X :=$ a Lévy process in \mathbf{R}^d ; characteristic exponent Ψ .
- ▶ **Question:** When is $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$?

Proposition

$m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+))$ is a.s. a constant.



A zero-one law

- ▶ Let $X :=$ a Lévy process in \mathbf{R}^d ; characteristic exponent Ψ .
- ▶ **Question:** When is $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$?

Proposition

$m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+))$ is a.s. a constant.

Proof: If $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$ with positive probab., then $\exists k > 1$ such that $m_d(X([0, k])) > 0$ with positive probab.



A zero-one law

- ▶ Let $X :=$ a Lévy process in \mathbf{R}^d ; characteristic exponent Ψ .
- ▶ **Question:** When is $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$?

Proposition

$m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+))$ is a.s. a constant.

Proof: If $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$ with positive probab., then $\exists k > 1$ such that $m_d(X([0, k])) > 0$ with positive probab. But $m_d(X([0, k]))$, $m_d(X([k, 2k]))$, etc. are i.i.d.



A zero-one law

- ▶ Let $X :=$ a Lévy process in \mathbf{R}^d ; characteristic exponent Ψ .
- ▶ **Question:** When is $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$?

Proposition

$m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+))$ is a.s. a constant.

Proof: If $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$ with positive probab., then $\exists k > 1$ such that $m_d(X([0, k])) > 0$ with positive probab. But $m_d(X([0, k]))$, $m_d(X([k, 2k]))$, etc. are i.i.d. The Borel–Cantelli lemma $\Rightarrow m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) \geq Em_d(X(0, k))$ a.s.



A zero-one law

- ▶ Let $X :=$ a Lévy process in \mathbf{R}^d ; characteristic exponent Ψ .
- ▶ **Question:** When is $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$?

Proposition

$m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+))$ is a.s. a constant.

Proof: If $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$ with positive probab., then $\exists k > 1$ such that $m_d(X([0, k])) > 0$ with positive probab. But $m_d(X([0, k]))$, $m_d(X([k, 2k]))$, etc. are i.i.d. The Borel–Cantelli lemma $\Rightarrow m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) \geq E m_d(X(0, k))$ a.s. Therefore, $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) = E [m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+))]$. ■



A zero-one law

Let ζ be an independent mean-one exponential random variable.

Corollary

$$m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0 \Leftrightarrow P\{m_d(X([0, \zeta])) > 0\} > 0.$$



A zero-one law

Let ζ be an independent mean-one exponential random variable.

Corollary

$$m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}\{m_d(X([0, \zeta])) > 0\} > 0.$$

- ▶ **Recall:** $U(A) := \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\{X(s) \in A\} e^{-s} ds$.
[Renewal measure]



A zero-one law

Let ζ be an independent mean-one exponential random variable.

Corollary

$$m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}\{m_d(X([0, \zeta])) > 0\} > 0.$$

- ▶ **Recall:** $U(A) := \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\{X(s) \in A\} e^{-s} ds$.
[Renewal measure]
- ▶ **Recall:** $\mathbb{P}\{X([0, \zeta]) \cap B(x, r) \neq \emptyset\} \leq U(B(x, 2r))/U(B(0, r))$.



A zero-one law

Let ζ be an independent mean-one exponential random variable.

Corollary

$$m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}\{m_d(X([0, \zeta])) > 0\} > 0.$$

- ▶ **Recall:** $U(A) := \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\{X(s) \in A\} e^{-s} ds$.
[Renewal measure]
- ▶ **Recall:** $\mathbb{P}\{X([0, \zeta]) \cap B(x, r) \neq \emptyset\} \leq U(B(x, 2r))/U(B(0, r))$.
- ▶ Integrate $[dx]$ via Tonelli:

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \mathbb{P}\{X([0, \zeta]) \cap B(x, r) \neq \emptyset\} dx \leq \frac{cr^d}{U(B(0, r))}.$$



A zero-one law

- ▶ $X([0, \zeta]) \cap B(x, r) \neq \emptyset$ iff $x \in X([0, \zeta])^r$.



A zero-one law

- ▶ $X([0, \zeta]) \cap B(x, r) \neq \emptyset$ iff $x \in X([0, \zeta])^r$.
- ▶ Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[m_d \left(X([0, \zeta])^r \right) \right] \leq \frac{c_1 r^d}{U(B(0, r))}.$$



A zero-one law

- ▶ $X([0, \zeta]) \cap B(x, r) \neq \emptyset$ iff $x \in X([0, \zeta])^r$.
- ▶ Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[m_d \left(X([0, \zeta])^r \right) \right] \leq \frac{c_1 r^d}{U(B(0, r))}.$$

- ▶ Similarly,

$$\frac{c_2 r^d}{U(B(0, 2r))} \leq \mathbb{E} \left[m_d \left(X([0, \zeta])^r \right) \right].$$



A zero-one law

- ▶ $X([0, \zeta]) \cap B(x, r) \neq \emptyset$ iff $x \in X([0, \zeta])^r$.
- ▶ Therefore,

$$E \left[m_d \left(X([0, \zeta])^r \right) \right] \leq \frac{c_1 r^d}{U(B(0, r))}.$$

- ▶ Similarly,

$$\frac{c_2 r^d}{U(B(0, 2r))} \leq E \left[m_d \left(X([0, \zeta])^r \right) \right].$$

- ▶ $m_d(X([0, \zeta])^r) \downarrow m_d(X([0, \zeta]))$ since X is cadlag.



The range

Proposition

TFAE:



The range

Proposition

TFAE:

1. $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$.



The range

Proposition

TFAE:

1. $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$.
2. $\sup_{0 < r < 1} U(B(0, r)) / r^d < \infty$.



The range

Proposition

TFAE:

1. $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$.
2. $\sup_{0 < r < 1} U(B(0, r))/r^d < \infty$.
3. $\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} U(B(0, r))/r^d < \infty$.



The range

Proposition

TFAE:

1. $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$.
2. $\sup_{0 < r < 1} U(B(0, r))/r^d < \infty$.
3. $\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} U(B(0, r))/r^d < \infty$.
4. $\sup_{0 < r < 1} \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^d} U(B(x, r))/r^d < \infty$.



The range

Proposition

TFAE:

1. $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$.
2. $\sup_{0 < r < 1} U(B(0, r))/r^d < \infty$.
3. $\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} U(B(0, r))/r^d < \infty$.
4. $\sup_{0 < r < 1} \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^d} U(B(x, r))/r^d < \infty$.



The range

Proposition

TFAE:

1. $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$.
2. $\sup_{0 < r < 1} U(B(0, r))/r^d < \infty$.
3. $\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} U(B(0, r))/r^d < \infty$.
4. $\sup_{0 < r < 1} \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^d} U(B(x, r))/r^d < \infty$.

Proof: We just proved that 1, 2, and 3 are equivalent.



The range

Proposition

TFAE:

1. $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$.
2. $\sup_{0 < r < 1} U(B(0, r))/r^d < \infty$.
3. $\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} U(B(0, r))/r^d < \infty$.
4. $\sup_{0 < r < 1} \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^d} U(B(x, r))/r^d < \infty$.

Proof: We just proved that 1, 2, and 3 are equivalent. Clearly $4 \Rightarrow 2$.



The range

Proposition

TFAE:

1. $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$.
2. $\sup_{0 < r < 1} U(B(0, r))/r^d < \infty$.
3. $\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} U(B(0, r))/r^d < \infty$.
4. $\sup_{0 < r < 1} \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^d} U(B(x, r))/r^d < \infty$.

Proof: We just proved that 1, 2, and 3 are equivalent. Clearly $4 \Rightarrow 2$.
Because $U(B(x, r))/U(B(0, 2r)) \leq P\{\dots\} \leq 1$, $2 \Rightarrow 4$.



The range

Proposition

TFAE:

1. $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$.
2. $\sup_{0 < r < 1} U(B(0, r))/r^d < \infty$.
3. $\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} U(B(0, r))/r^d < \infty$.
4. $\sup_{0 < r < 1} \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^d} U(B(x, r))/r^d < \infty$.

Proof: We just proved that 1, 2, and 3 are equivalent. Clearly $4 \Rightarrow 2$.
Because $U(B(x, r))/U(B(0, 2r)) \leq P\{\dots\} \leq 1$, $2 \Rightarrow 4$. ■

Note Bene

$$U(B(x, r)) \leq U(B(0, 2r)).$$



Absolute continuity

Proposition

TFAE:



Absolute continuity

Proposition

TFAE:

1. $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$.



Absolute continuity

Proposition

TFAE:

1. $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$.
2. $U(dx) = u(x)dx$ and $\sup_x u(x) < \infty$.



Absolute continuity

Proposition

TFAE:

1. $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$.
2. $U(dx) = u(x)dx$ and $\sup_x u(x) < \infty$.



Absolute continuity

Proposition

TFAE:

1. $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$.
2. $U(dx) = u(x)dx$ and $\sup_x u(x) < \infty$.

Proof: $2 \Rightarrow U(B(0, r)) \leq cr^d$. Therefore, $2 \Rightarrow 1$ by the previous result.



Absolute continuity

Proposition

TFAE:

1. $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$.
2. $U(dx) = u(x)dx$ and $\sup_x u(x) < \infty$.

Proof: $2 \Rightarrow U(B(0, r)) \leq cr^d$. Therefore, $2 \Rightarrow 1$ by the previous result.
Now assume 1 holds. Let $\delta_r(y) := \mathbf{1}_{B(0,r)}(y) / m_d(B(0, r))$.



Absolute continuity

Proposition

TFAE:

1. $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$.
2. $U(dx) = u(x)dx$ and $\sup_x u(x) < \infty$.

Proof: $2 \Rightarrow U(B(0, r)) \leq cr^d$. Therefore, $2 \Rightarrow 1$ by the previous result. Now assume 1 holds. Let $\delta_r(y) := \mathbf{1}_{B(0, r)}(y) / m_d(B(0, r))$. Clearly, $\forall f : \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ Borel meas.,

$$\int (f * \delta_r) dU = \int f(z) \frac{U(B(-z, r))}{m_d(B(0, r))} dz$$



Absolute continuity

Proposition

TFAE:

1. $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$.
2. $U(dx) = u(x)dx$ and $\sup_x u(x) < \infty$.

Proof: $2 \Rightarrow U(B(0, r)) \leq cr^d$. Therefore, $2 \Rightarrow 1$ by the previous result. Now assume 1 holds. Let $\delta_r(y) := \mathbf{1}_{B(0, r)}(y) / m_d(B(0, r))$. Clearly, $\forall f : \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ Borel meas.,

$$\begin{aligned} \int (f * \delta_r) dU &= \int f(z) \frac{U(B(-z, r))}{m_d(B(0, r))} dz \\ &\leq \int f(z) dz \times \frac{U(B(0, 2r))}{m_d(B(0, r))} \end{aligned}$$



Absolute continuity

Proposition

TFAE:

1. $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$.
2. $U(dx) = u(x)dx$ and $\sup_x u(x) < \infty$.

Proof: $2 \Rightarrow U(B(0, r)) \leq cr^d$. Therefore, $2 \Rightarrow 1$ by the previous result. Now assume 1 holds. Let $\delta_r(y) := \mathbf{1}_{B(0, r)}(y) / m_d(B(0, r))$. Clearly, $\forall f : \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ Borel meas.,

$$\begin{aligned} \int (f * \delta_r) dU &= \int f(z) \frac{U(B(-z, r))}{m_d(B(0, r))} dz \\ &\leq \int f(z) dz \times \frac{U(B(0, 2r))}{m_d(B(0, r))} \\ &\leq c \int f(z) dz. \end{aligned}$$



Absolute continuity

If f is also lower semicontinuous, then by Fatou's lemma,

$$\int f dU \leq c \int f(z) dz.$$



Absolute continuity

If f is also lower semicontinuous, then by Fatou's lemma,

$$\int f dU \leq c \int f(z) dz.$$

Thus, for all closed $A \subset \mathbf{R}^d$, $U(A) \leq cm_d(A)$. This proves that $U(dx) = u(x)dx$ with $\sup u \leq c$. ■



Fourier analysis

Theorem (Kesten)

$m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$ iff $\kappa := \operatorname{Re}(1 + \Psi)^{-1} \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$.



Fourier analysis

Theorem (Kesten)

$m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$ iff $\kappa := \operatorname{Re}(1 + \Psi)^{-1} \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

Exercise

(a) $\kappa = \operatorname{Re} \hat{U}$. (b) $0 \leq \kappa(z) \leq 1$.



Fourier analysis

Theorem (Kesten)

$m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$ iff $\kappa := \operatorname{Re}(1 + \Psi)^{-1} \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

Exercise

(a) $\kappa = \operatorname{Re} \hat{U}$. (b) $0 \leq \kappa(z) \leq 1$.



Fourier analysis

Theorem (Kesten)

$m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$ iff $\kappa := \operatorname{Re}(1 + \Psi)^{-1} \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

Exercise

(a) $\kappa = \operatorname{Re} \hat{U}$. (b) $0 \leq \kappa(z) \leq 1$.

Proof: $\delta_r(y) := \mathbf{1}_{B(0,r)}(y) / m_d(B(0,r))$.



Fourier analysis

Theorem (Kesten)

$m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$ iff $\kappa := \operatorname{Re}(1 + \Psi)^{-1} \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

Exercise

(a) $\kappa = \operatorname{Re} \hat{U}$. (b) $0 \leq \kappa(z) \leq 1$.

Proof: $\delta_r(y) := \mathbf{1}_{B(0,r)}(y) / m_d(B(0,r))$.

$$\frac{U(B(0,r))}{m_d(B(0,r))} = \int \delta_r dU$$



Fourier analysis

Theorem (Kesten)

$m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$ iff $\kappa := \operatorname{Re}(1 + \Psi)^{-1} \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

Exercise

(a) $\kappa = \operatorname{Re} \hat{U}$. (b) $0 \leq \kappa(z) \leq 1$.

Proof: $\delta_r(y) := \mathbf{1}_{B(0,r)}(y) / m_d(B(0,r))$.

$$\frac{U(B(0,r))}{m_d(B(0,r))} = \int \delta_r dU = \int \overbrace{\hat{\delta}_r(\xi)}^{\text{real}} \hat{U}(\xi) d\xi$$



Fourier analysis

Theorem (Kesten)

$m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$ iff $\kappa := \operatorname{Re}(1 + \Psi)^{-1} \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

Exercise

(a) $\kappa = \operatorname{Re} \hat{U}$. (b) $0 \leq \kappa(z) \leq 1$.

Proof: $\delta_r(y) := \mathbf{1}_{B(0,r)}(y) / m_d(B(0,r))$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{U(B(0,r))}{m_d(B(0,r))} &= \int \delta_r dU = \int \overbrace{\hat{\delta}_r(\xi)}^{\text{real}} \hat{U}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \int \hat{\delta}_r(\xi) \kappa(\xi) d\xi \end{aligned}$$



Fourier analysis

Theorem (Kesten)

$m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$ iff $\kappa := \operatorname{Re}(1 + \Psi)^{-1} \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

Exercise

(a) $\kappa = \operatorname{Re} \hat{U}$. (b) $0 \leq \kappa(z) \leq 1$.

Proof: $\delta_r(y) := \mathbf{1}_{B(0,r)}(y) / m_d(B(0,r))$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{U(B(0,r))}{m_d(B(0,r))} &= \int \delta_r dU = \int \overbrace{\hat{\delta}_r(\xi)}^{\text{real}} \hat{U}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \int \hat{\delta}_r(\xi) \kappa(\xi) d\xi \leq \int \kappa(\xi) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$



Fourier analysis

Theorem (Kesten)

$m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$ iff $\kappa := \operatorname{Re}(1 + \Psi)^{-1} \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$.

Exercise

(a) $\kappa = \operatorname{Re} \hat{U}$. (b) $0 \leq \kappa(z) \leq 1$.

Proof: $\delta_r(y) := \mathbf{1}_{B(0,r)}(y) / m_d(B(0,r))$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{U(B(0,r))}{m_d(B(0,r))} &= \int \delta_r dU = \int \overbrace{\hat{\delta}_r(\xi)}^{\text{real}} \hat{U}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \int \hat{\delta}_r(\xi) \kappa(\xi) d\xi \leq \int \kappa(\xi) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \kappa \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^d) \Rightarrow m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$.



Fourier analysis

$$\delta_r(y) = \mathbf{1}_{B(0,r)}(y) / m_d(B(0, r)).$$

$$\check{\delta}_r(y) := \delta_r(-y).$$



Fourier analysis

$$\delta_r(y) = \mathbf{1}_{B(0,r)}(y) / m_d(B(0, r)).$$

$$\check{\delta}_r(y) := \delta_r(-y).$$

If $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$, then

$$\int (\delta_r * \check{\delta}_r) dU = \int \delta_r(y) \frac{U(B(y, r))}{m_d(B(0, r))} dy$$



Fourier analysis

$$\delta_r(y) = \mathbf{1}_{B(0,r)}(y) / m_d(B(0, r)).$$

$$\check{\delta}_r(y) := \delta_r(-y).$$

If $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int (\delta_r * \check{\delta}_r) dU &= \int \delta_r(y) \frac{U(B(y, r))}{m_d(B(0, r))} dy \\ &\leq c \sup_{y,r} \frac{U(B(y, r))}{m_d(B(0, r))} \int \delta_r(y) dy \end{aligned}$$



Fourier analysis

$$\delta_r(y) = \mathbf{1}_{B(0,r)}(y) / m_d(B(0, r)).$$

$$\check{\delta}_r(y) := \delta_r(-y).$$

If $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int (\delta_r * \check{\delta}_r) dU &= \int \delta_r(y) \frac{U(B(y, r))}{m_d(B(0, r))} dy \\ &\leq c \sup_{y,r} \frac{U(B(y, r))}{m_d(B(0, r))} \int \delta_r(y) dy \\ &= c'. \end{aligned}$$



Fourier analysis

$$\delta_r(y) = \mathbf{1}_{B(0,r)}(y) / m_d(B(0, r)).$$

$$\check{\delta}_r(y) := \delta_r(-y).$$

If $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int (\delta_r * \check{\delta}_r) dU &= \int \delta_r(y) \frac{U(B(y, r))}{m_d(B(0, r))} dy \\ &\leq c \sup_{y,r} \frac{U(B(y, r))}{m_d(B(0, r))} \int \delta_r(y) dy \\ &= c'. \end{aligned}$$

By Plancherel,

$$\text{LHS} = \int |\hat{\delta}_r(\xi)|^2 \hat{U}(\xi) d\xi$$



Fourier analysis

$$\delta_r(y) = \mathbf{1}_{B(0,r)}(y) / m_d(B(0, r)).$$

$$\check{\delta}_r(y) := \delta_r(-y).$$

If $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int (\delta_r * \check{\delta}_r) dU &= \int \delta_r(y) \frac{U(B(y, r))}{m_d(B(0, r))} dy \\ &\leq c \sup_{y,r} \frac{U(B(y, r))}{m_d(B(0, r))} \int \delta_r(y) dy \\ &= c'. \end{aligned}$$

By Plancherel,

$$\text{LHS} = \int |\hat{\delta}_r(\xi)|^2 \hat{U}(\xi) d\xi = \int |\hat{\delta}_r(\xi)|^2 \kappa(\xi) d\xi$$



Fourier analysis

$$\delta_r(y) = \mathbf{1}_{B(0,r)}(y) / m_d(B(0, r)).$$

$$\check{\delta}_r(y) := \delta_r(-y).$$

If $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int (\delta_r * \check{\delta}_r) dU &= \int \delta_r(y) \frac{U(B(y, r))}{m_d(B(0, r))} dy \\ &\leq c \sup_{y,r} \frac{U(B(y, r))}{m_d(B(0, r))} \int \delta_r(y) dy \\ &= c'. \end{aligned}$$

By Plancherel,

$$\text{LHS} = \int |\hat{\delta}_r(\xi)|^2 \hat{U}(\xi) d\xi = \int |\hat{\delta}_r(\xi)|^2 \kappa(\xi) d\xi$$

This $\geq (1 + o(1)) \int \kappa(\xi) d\xi$ as $r \downarrow 0$ [Fatou]. ■



Polar sets

Question

Given a [compact] set $F \subset \mathbf{R}^d$, when is $P\{X([0, 1]) \cap F \neq \emptyset\} > 0$? [Is F nonpolar?]



Polar sets

Question

Given a [compact] set $F \subset \mathbf{R}^d$, when is $P\{X([0, 1]) \cap F \neq \emptyset\} > 0$? [Is F is nonpolar?]

- ▶ A sufficient condition (F compact): Define $\forall f : \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ meas.,

$$J(f) := \int_0^\infty f(X(t))e^{-t} dt.$$



Polar sets

Question

Given a [compact] set $F \subset \mathbf{R}^d$, when is $P\{X([0, 1]) \cap F \neq \emptyset\} > 0$? [Is F nonpolar?]

- ▶ A sufficient condition (F compact): Define $\forall f : \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ meas.,

$$J(f) := \int_0^\infty f(X(t))e^{-t} dt.$$

- ▶ $E_x J(f) = \int_0^\infty E[f(X(t) + x)]e^{-t} dt.$



Polar sets

Question

Given a [compact] set $F \subset \mathbf{R}^d$, when is $P\{X([0, 1]) \cap F \neq \emptyset\} > 0$? [Is F nonpolar?]

- ▶ A sufficient condition (F compact): Define $\forall f : \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ meas.,

$$J(f) := \int_0^\infty f(X(t))e^{-t} dt.$$

- ▶ $E_x J(f) = \int_0^\infty E[f(X(t) + x)]e^{-t} dt.$
- ▶ $P_{m_d}(A) := \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} P_x(A) dx; E_{m_d}(Z) := \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} E_x(Z) dx.$



Polar sets

Question

Given a [compact] set $F \subset \mathbf{R}^d$, when is $P\{X([0, 1]) \cap F \neq \emptyset\} > 0$? [Is F is nonpolar?]

- ▶ A sufficient condition (F compact): Define $\forall f : \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ meas.,

$$J(f) := \int_0^\infty f(X(t))e^{-t} dt.$$

- ▶ $E_x J(f) = \int_0^\infty E[f(X(t) + x)]e^{-t} dt.$
- ▶ $P_{m_d}(A) := \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} P_x(A) dx; E_{m_d}(Z) := \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} E_x(Z) dx.$
- ▶ $E_{m_d} J(f) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(x) dx = 1$ [say].



Polar sets

▶ $J(f) := \int_0^\infty f(X(t))e^{-t} dt.$



Polar sets

- ▶ $J(f) := \int_0^\infty f(X(t))e^{-t} dt.$
- ▶ $E_{m_d}J(f) = 1.$



Polar sets

- ▶ $J(f) := \int_0^\infty f(X(t))e^{-t} dt.$
- ▶ $E_{m_d} J(f) = 1.$
- ▶ **Second moment:**

$$E_{m_d} [J(f)^2] = 2 \int_0^\infty \int_s^\infty e^{-t-s} \underbrace{E_{m_d} [f(X(s))f(X(t))]}_{:= \mathcal{I}} ds dt$$



Polar sets

- ▶ $J(f) := \int_0^\infty f(X(t))e^{-t} dt.$
- ▶ $E_{m_d} J(f) = 1.$
- ▶ **Second moment:**

$$E_{m_d} [J(f)^2] = 2 \int_0^\infty \int_s^\infty e^{-t-s} \underbrace{E_{m_d} [f(X(s))f(X(t))]}_{:= \mathcal{I}} ds dt$$



Polar sets

- ▶ $J(f) := \int_0^\infty f(X(t))e^{-t} dt.$
- ▶ $E_{m_d} J(f) = 1.$
- ▶ Second moment:

$$E_{m_d} [J(f)^2] = 2 \int_0^\infty \int_s^\infty e^{-t-s} \underbrace{E_{m_d} [f(X(s))f(X(t))]}_{:=\mathcal{J}} ds dt$$

$$\mathcal{J} = E \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(X(s) + x)f(X(t) + x) dx$$



Polar sets

- ▶ $J(f) := \int_0^\infty f(X(t))e^{-t} dt.$
- ▶ $E_{m_d} J(f) = 1.$
- ▶ Second moment:

$$E_{m_d} \left[J(f)^2 \right] = 2 \int_0^\infty \int_s^\infty e^{-t-s} \underbrace{E_{m_d} [f(X(s))f(X(t))]}_{:= \mathcal{I}} ds dt$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} &= E \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(X(s) + x)f(X(t) + x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(z)E \left[f(X(t) - X(s) + z) \right] dz \end{aligned}$$



Polar sets

- ▶ $J(f) := \int_0^\infty f(X(t))e^{-t} dt.$
- ▶ $E_{m_d} J(f) = 1.$
- ▶ Second moment:

$$E_{m_d} [J(f)^2] = 2 \int_0^\infty \int_s^\infty e^{-t-s} \underbrace{E_{m_d} [f(X(s))f(X(t))]}_{:= \mathcal{I}} ds dt$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} &= E \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(X(s) + x)f(X(t) + x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(z)E [f(X(t) - X(s) + z)] dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(z)E [f(X(t-s) + z)] dz. \end{aligned}$$



Polar sets

- ▶ $J(f) := \int_0^\infty f(X(t))e^{-t} dt.$
- ▶ $E_{m_d} J(f) = 1.$
- ▶ Second moment:

$$E_{m_d} [J(f)^2] = 2 \int_0^\infty \int_s^\infty e^{-t-s} \underbrace{E_{m_d} [f(X(s))f(X(t))]}_{:=\mathcal{J}} ds dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J} &= E \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(X(s) + x)f(X(t) + x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(z)E [f(X(t) - X(s) + z)] dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(z)E [f(X(t-s) + z)] dz.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}2 \int_0^\infty \int_s^\infty e^{-t-s} E [f(X(t-s) + z)] dt ds \\ = \int_0^\infty E [f(X(u) + z)] e^{-u} du.\end{aligned}$$



Polar sets

▶ $J(f) := \int_0^\infty f(X(t))e^{-t} dt.$



Polar sets

- ▶ $J(f) := \int_0^\infty f(X(t))e^{-t} dt.$
- ▶ $E_{m_d}J(f) = 1.$



Polar sets

- ▶ $J(f) := \int_0^\infty f(X(t))e^{-t} dt$.
- ▶ $E_{m_d} J(f) = 1$.
- ▶ Second moment: If $f \in L^1_+(\mathbf{R}^d) \cap L^2_+(\mathbf{R}^d)$, then

$$E_{m_d} [J(f)^2] = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(z) \left(\int_0^\infty E[f(X(u) + z)] e^{-u} du \right) dz$$



Polar sets

- ▶ $J(f) := \int_0^\infty f(X(t))e^{-t} dt$.
- ▶ $E_{m_d} J(f) = 1$.
- ▶ Second moment: If $f \in L^1_+(\mathbf{R}^d) \cap L^2_+(\mathbf{R}^d)$, then

$$E_{m_d} [J(f)^2] = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(z) \left(\int_0^\infty E[f(X(u) + z)] e^{-u} du \right) dz$$



Polar sets

- ▶ $J(f) := \int_0^\infty f(X(t))e^{-t} dt$.
- ▶ $E_{m_d} J(f) = 1$.
- ▶ Second moment: If $f \in L^1_+(\mathbf{R}^d) \cap L^2_+(\mathbf{R}^d)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} E_{m_d} [J(f)^2] &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(z) \left(\int_0^\infty E[f(X(u) + z)] e^{-u} du \right) dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(z)(U * f)(z) dz \end{aligned}$$



Polar sets

- ▶ $J(f) := \int_0^\infty f(X(t))e^{-t} dt$.
- ▶ $E_{m_d} J(f) = 1$.
- ▶ Second moment: If $f \in L^1_+(\mathbf{R}^d) \cap L^2_+(\mathbf{R}^d)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} E_{m_d} [J(f)^2] &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(z) \left(\int_0^\infty E[f(X(u) + z)] e^{-u} du \right) dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(z) (U * f)(z) dz \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 \kappa(\xi) d\xi \end{aligned}$$



Polar sets

- ▶ $J(f) := \int_0^\infty f(X(t))e^{-t} dt$.
- ▶ $E_{m_d} J(f) = 1$.
- ▶ Second moment: If $f \in L^1_+(\mathbf{R}^d) \cap L^2_+(\mathbf{R}^d)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} E_{m_d} [J(f)^2] &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(z) \left(\int_0^\infty E[f(X(u) + z)] e^{-u} du \right) dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(z)(U * f)(z) dz \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 \kappa(\xi) d\xi := \mathcal{E}_\kappa(f). \end{aligned}$$



Polar sets

- ▶ $J(f) := \int_0^\infty f(X(t))e^{-t} dt$.
- ▶ $E_{m_d} J(f) = 1$.
- ▶ Second moment: If $f \in L^1_+(\mathbf{R}^d) \cap L^2_+(\mathbf{R}^d)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} E_{m_d} [J(f)^2] &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(z) \left(\int_0^\infty E[f(X(u) + z)] e^{-u} du \right) dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(z)(U * f)(z) dz \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 \kappa(\xi) d\xi := \mathcal{E}_\kappa(f). \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ $E_{m_d} J(f) \leq \sqrt{E_{m_d} [J(f)^2] P_{m_d} \{J(f) > 0\}}$. [Paley–Zygmund]



Polar sets

- ▶ $J(f) := \int_0^\infty f(X(t))e^{-t} dt$.
- ▶ $E_{m_d} J(f) = 1$.
- ▶ Second moment: If $f \in L^1_+(\mathbf{R}^d) \cap L^2_+(\mathbf{R}^d)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} E_{m_d} [J(f)^2] &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(z) \left(\int_0^\infty E[f(X(u) + z)] e^{-u} du \right) dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(z)(U * f)(z) dz \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 \kappa(\xi) d\xi := \mathcal{E}_\kappa(f). \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ $E_{m_d} J(f) \leq \sqrt{E_{m_d} [J(f)^2] P_{m_d} \{J(f) > 0\}}$. [Paley–Zygmund]



Polar sets

- ▶ $J(f) := \int_0^\infty f(X(t))e^{-t} dt$.
- ▶ $E_{m_d} J(f) = 1$.
- ▶ Second moment: If $f \in L^1_+(\mathbf{R}^d) \cap L^2_+(\mathbf{R}^d)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} E_{m_d} [J(f)^2] &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(z) \left(\int_0^\infty E[f(X(u) + z)] e^{-u} du \right) dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(z) (U * f)(z) dz \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 \kappa(\xi) d\xi := \mathcal{E}_\kappa(f). \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ $E_{m_d} J(f) \leq \sqrt{E_{m_d} [J(f)^2] P_{m_d} \{J(f) > 0\}}$. [Paley–Zygmund]
- ▶ $P_{m_d} \{J(f) > 0\} \geq 1/\mathcal{E}_\kappa(f)$.



Polar sets

▶ $J(f) := \int_0^\infty f(X(t))e^{-t} dt.$



Polar sets

- ▶ $J(f) := \int_0^\infty f(X(t))e^{-t} dt.$
- ▶ $\mathcal{E}_\kappa(f) := (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 \kappa(\xi) d\xi.$



Polar sets

- ▶ $J(f) := \int_0^\infty f(X(t))e^{-t} dt.$
- ▶ $\mathcal{E}_\kappa(f) := (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 \kappa(\xi) d\xi.$
- ▶ $P_{m_d}\{J(f) > 0\} \geq 1/\mathcal{E}_\kappa(f).$



Polar sets

- ▶ $J(f) := \int_0^\infty f(X(t))e^{-t} dt.$
- ▶ $\mathcal{E}_\kappa(f) := (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 \kappa(\xi) d\xi.$
- ▶ $P_{m_d}\{J(f) > 0\} \geq 1/\mathcal{E}_\kappa(f).$
- ▶ Let $F^r := r$ -enlargement of F ; $\mathcal{P}_{ac}(G) :=$ probab. densities on G .



Polar sets

- ▶ $J(f) := \int_0^\infty f(X(t))e^{-t} dt.$
- ▶ $\mathcal{E}_\kappa(f) := (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 \kappa(\xi) d\xi.$
- ▶ $P_{m_d}\{J(f) > 0\} \geq 1/\mathcal{E}_\kappa(f).$
- ▶ Let $F^r := r$ -enlargement of F ; $\mathcal{P}_{ac}(G) :=$ probab. densities on $G.$
- ▶ $f \in \mathcal{P}_{ac}(F^r), J(f) > 0 \Rightarrow X(t) \in F^r$ for some $t > 0.$



Polar sets

- ▶ $J(f) := \int_0^\infty f(X(t))e^{-t} dt.$
- ▶ $\mathcal{E}_\kappa(f) := (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 \kappa(\xi) d\xi.$
- ▶ $\mathbb{P}_{m_d}\{J(f) > 0\} \geq 1/\mathcal{E}_\kappa(f).$
- ▶ Let $F^r := r$ -enlargement of F ; $\mathcal{P}_{ac}(G) :=$ probab. densities on $G.$
- ▶ $f \in \mathcal{P}_{ac}(F^r), J(f) > 0 \Rightarrow X(t) \in F^r$ for some $t > 0.$
- ▶ $\mathbb{P}_{m_d}\{X(t) \in F^r \text{ for some } t > 0\} \geq 1/\inf_{f \in \mathcal{P}_{ac}(F^r)} \mathcal{E}_\kappa(f).$



Polar sets

- ▶ $J(f) := \int_0^\infty f(X(t))e^{-t} dt.$
- ▶ $\mathcal{E}_\kappa(f) := (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 \kappa(\xi) d\xi.$
- ▶ $\mathbb{P}_{m_d}\{J(f) > 0\} \geq 1/\mathcal{E}_\kappa(f).$
- ▶ Let $F^r := r$ -enlargement of F ; $\mathcal{P}_{ac}(G) :=$ probab. densities on G .
- ▶ $f \in \mathcal{P}_{ac}(F^r), J(f) > 0 \Rightarrow X(t) \in F^r$ for some $t > 0$.
- ▶ $\mathbb{P}_{m_d}\{X(t) \in F^r \text{ for some } t > 0\} \geq 1 / \inf_{f \in \mathcal{P}_{ac}(F^r)} \mathcal{E}_\kappa(f).$
- ▶ $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(F) \Rightarrow f := \mu * \delta_r \in \mathcal{P}_{ac}(F^r)$ and $\mathcal{E}_\kappa(f) \leq \mathcal{E}_\kappa(\mu).$



Polar sets

- ▶ $J(f) := \int_0^\infty f(X(t))e^{-t} dt.$
- ▶ $\mathcal{E}_\kappa(f) := (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 \kappa(\xi) d\xi.$
- ▶ $\mathbb{P}_{m_d}\{J(f) > 0\} \geq 1/\mathcal{E}_\kappa(f).$
- ▶ Let $F^r := r$ -enlargement of F ; $\mathcal{P}_{ac}(G) :=$ probab. densities on G .
- ▶ $f \in \mathcal{P}_{ac}(F^r), J(f) > 0 \Rightarrow X(t) \in F^r$ for some $t > 0$.
- ▶ $\mathbb{P}_{m_d}\{X(t) \in F^r \text{ for some } t > 0\} \geq 1 / \inf_{f \in \mathcal{P}_{ac}(F^r)} \mathcal{E}_\kappa(f).$
- ▶ $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(F) \Rightarrow f := \mu * \delta_r \in \mathcal{P}_{ac}(F^r)$ and $\mathcal{E}_\kappa(f) \leq \mathcal{E}_\kappa(\mu).$
- ▶ $\mathbb{P}_{m_d}\{X(t) \in F^r \text{ for some } t > 0\} \geq 1 / \inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(F)} \mathcal{E}_\kappa(\mu)$



Polar sets

- ▶ $J(f) := \int_0^\infty f(X(t))e^{-t} dt.$
- ▶ $\mathcal{E}_\kappa(f) := (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 \kappa(\xi) d\xi.$
- ▶ $\mathbb{P}_{m_d}\{J(f) > 0\} \geq 1/\mathcal{E}_\kappa(f).$
- ▶ Let $F^r := r$ -enlargement of F ; $\mathcal{P}_{ac}(G) :=$ probab. densities on G .
- ▶ $f \in \mathcal{P}_{ac}(F^r), J(f) > 0 \Rightarrow X(t) \in F^r$ for some $t > 0$.
- ▶ $\mathbb{P}_{m_d}\{X(t) \in F^r \text{ for some } t > 0\} \geq 1 / \inf_{f \in \mathcal{P}_{ac}(F^r)} \mathcal{E}_\kappa(f).$
- ▶ $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(F) \Rightarrow f := \mu * \delta_r \in \mathcal{P}_{ac}(F^r)$ and $\mathcal{E}_\kappa(f) \leq \mathcal{E}_\kappa(\mu).$
- ▶ $\mathbb{P}_{m_d}\{X(t) \in F^r \text{ for some } t > 0\} \geq 1 / \inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(F)} \mathcal{E}_\kappa(\mu)$



Polar sets

- ▶ $J(f) := \int_0^\infty f(X(t))e^{-t} dt.$
- ▶ $\mathcal{E}_\kappa(f) := (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 \kappa(\xi) d\xi.$
- ▶ $\mathbf{P}_{m_d}\{J(f) > 0\} \geq 1/\mathcal{E}_\kappa(f).$
- ▶ Let $F^r := r$ -enlargement of F ; $\mathcal{P}_{\text{ac}}(G) :=$ probab. densities on $G.$
- ▶ $f \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{ac}}(F^r), J(f) > 0 \Rightarrow X(t) \in F^r$ for some $t > 0.$
- ▶ $\mathbf{P}_{m_d}\{X(t) \in F^r \text{ for some } t > 0\} \geq 1/\inf_{f \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{ac}}(F^r)} \mathcal{E}_\kappa(f).$
- ▶ $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(F) \Rightarrow f := \mu * \delta_r \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{ac}}(F^r)$ and $\mathcal{E}_\kappa(f) \leq \mathcal{E}_\kappa(\mu).$
- ▶ $\mathbf{P}_{m_d}\{X(t) \in F^r \text{ for some } t > 0\} \geq 1/\inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(F)} \mathcal{E}_\kappa(\mu)$
 $:= \text{Cap}_\kappa(F).$



Polar sets

- ▶ $P_{m_d}\{X(t) \in F^r \text{ for some } t > 0\} \geq \text{Cap}_\kappa(F)$.



Polar sets

- ▶ $P_{m_d} \{X(t) \in F^r \text{ for some } t > 0\} \geq \text{Cap}_\kappa(F)$.
- ▶ But LHS is

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} P \{x + X(t) \in F^r \text{ for some } t > 0\} dx$$



Polar sets

- ▶ $P_{m_d} \{X(t) \in F^r \text{ for some } t > 0\} \geq \text{Cap}_\kappa(F)$.
- ▶ But LHS is

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} P \{x + X(t) \in F^r \text{ for some } t > 0\} dx$$



Polar sets

- ▶ $P_{m_d}\{X(t) \in F^r \text{ for some } t > 0\} \geq \text{Cap}_{\kappa}(F)$.
- ▶ But LHS is

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} P\{x + X(t) \in F^r \text{ for some } t > 0\} dx \\ = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} P\{x \in X(\mathbf{R}_+) \ominus F^r\} dx \end{aligned}$$



Polar sets

- ▶ $P_{m_d}\{X(t) \in F^r \text{ for some } t > 0\} \geq \text{Cap}_{\kappa}(F)$.
- ▶ But LHS is

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} P\{x + X(t) \in F^r \text{ for some } t > 0\} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} P\{x \in X(\mathbf{R}_+) \ominus F^r\} dx \\ &= E[m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+) \ominus F^r)] \end{aligned}$$



Polar sets

- ▶ $P_{m_d}\{X(t) \in F^r \text{ for some } t > 0\} \geq \text{Cap}_{\kappa}(F)$.
- ▶ But LHS is

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} P\{x + X(t) \in F^r \text{ for some } t > 0\} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} P\{x \in X(\mathbf{R}_+) \ominus F^r\} dx \\ &= E[m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+) \ominus F^r)] \\ &\downarrow E[m_d(\overline{X(\mathbf{R}_+) \ominus F^r})] \quad [F \text{ compact}]. \end{aligned}$$



Polar sets

- ▶ $P_{m_d}\{X(t) \in F^r \text{ for some } t > 0\} \geq \text{Cap}_{\kappa}(F)$.
- ▶ But LHS is

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} P\{x + X(t) \in F^r \text{ for some } t > 0\} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} P\{x \in X(\mathbf{R}_+) \ominus F^r\} dx \\ &= E[m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+) \ominus F^r)] \\ &\downarrow E[m_d(\overline{X(\mathbf{R}_+) \ominus F^r})] \quad [F \text{ compact}]. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ $E[m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) \ominus F] = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} P_x\{X(t) \in F \text{ for some } t > 0\} dx$.



Polar sets

- ▶ $P_{m_d}\{X(t) \in F^r \text{ for some } t > 0\} \geq \text{Cap}_\kappa(F)$.
- ▶ But LHS is

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} P\{x + X(t) \in F^r \text{ for some } t > 0\} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} P\{x \in X(\mathbf{R}_+) \ominus F^r\} dx \\ &= E[m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+) \ominus F^r)] \\ &\downarrow E[m_d(\overline{X(\mathbf{R}_+) \ominus F^r})] \quad [F \text{ compact}]. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ $E[m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) \ominus F] = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} P_x\{X(t) \in F \text{ for some } t > 0\} dx$.
- ▶ If $m_d(F) = 0$, then

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} P_x\{X(t) \in F \text{ for some } t > 0\} dx \geq \text{Cap}_\kappa(F).$$



Polar sets

- ▶ If $m_d(F) = 0$, then

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} P_x\{X(t) \in F \text{ for some } t > 0\} dx \geq \text{Cap}_\kappa(F).$$



Polar sets

- ▶ If $m_d(F) = 0$, then

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} P_x\{X(t) \in F \text{ for some } t > 0\} dx \geq \text{Cap}_\kappa(F).$$

- ▶ **Exercise:** Use Fubini to prove that, always,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} P_x\{X(t) \in F \text{ for some } t > 0\} dx \geq m_d(F).$$



In the next lecture:

1. $\text{Cap}_\kappa(F) = 0 \Rightarrow \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} P_x\{X(t) \in F \text{ for some } t > 0\} dx = 0.$



In the next lecture:

1. $\text{Cap}_\kappa(F) = 0 \Rightarrow \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} P_x\{X(t) \in F \text{ for some } t > 0\} dx = 0.$
2. Under mild regularity conditions, “ $\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} P_x\{\dots\} dx$ ” can be replaced by “ $P\{\dots\}.$ ”



In the next lecture:

1. $\text{Cap}_\kappa(F) = 0 \Rightarrow \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} P_x\{X(t) \in F \text{ for some } t > 0\} dx = 0.$
2. Under mild regularity conditions, “ $\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} P_x\{\dots\} dx$ ” can be replaced by “ $P\{\dots\}.$ ”
3. Examples.



Problems

Suppose $P\{X(s) \in A\} = \int_A p_s(x) dx$.

[transition densities]

1. Then prove that $U(dx)/dx := u(x) = \int_0^\infty p_s(x) e^{-s} ds$.



Problems

Suppose $P\{X(s) \in A\} = \int_A p_s(x) dx$.

[transition densities]

1. Then prove that $U(dx)/dx := u(x) = \int_0^\infty p_s(x) e^{-s} ds$.
2. Prove that $p_s \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d) \forall s > 0$ iff $\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} e^{-s\text{Re}\Psi(\xi)} d\xi < \infty \forall \xi \in \mathbf{R}^d$. In this case, $(s, x) \mapsto p_s(x)$ is uniformly continuous on $[\epsilon, 1/\epsilon] \times \mathbf{R}^d$, $\forall \epsilon > 0$.



Problems

Suppose $P\{X(s) \in A\} = \int_A p_s(x) dx$.

[transition densities]

1. Then prove that $U(dx)/dx := u(x) = \int_0^\infty p_s(x) e^{-s} ds$.
2. Prove that $p_s \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d) \forall s > 0$ iff $\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} e^{-s\text{Re}\Psi(\xi)} d\xi < \infty \forall \xi \in \mathbf{R}^d$. In this case, $(s, x) \mapsto p_s(x)$ is uniformly continuous on $[\epsilon, 1/\epsilon] \times \mathbf{R}^d$, $\forall \epsilon > 0$.
3. Suppose $p_s \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d) \forall s > 0$ and X is symmetric [Ψ real]. Prove that $u(x) \leq u(0)$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$. Thus, $\sup u < \infty$ iff $u(0) < \infty$. Use this to prove that if X is isotropic stable(α), then $m_d(X(\mathbf{R}_+)) > 0$ iff $\alpha > d$. Use Kesten's theorem for an alternative derivation.

