

Dynamical Processes

Davar Khoshnevisan

Department of Mathematics
University of Utah

<http://www.math.utah.edu/~davar>

Frontier Probability Days, UCCS
May 21–22, 2007



1. Equilibrium Dynamics

- ▶ Have: A p.m. μ



1. Equilibrium Dynamics

- ▶ Have: A p.m. μ
- ▶ Want: A Markov process with invariant meas. μ



1. Equilibrium Dynamics

- ▶ Have: A p.m. μ
- ▶ Want: A Markov process with invariant meas. μ
- ▶ Non-unique choice; want simplicity



1. Equilibrium Dynamics

- ▶ Have: A p.m. μ
- ▶ Want: A Markov process with invariant meas. μ
- ▶ Non-unique choice; want simplicity
- ▶ A model: Häggström, Peres, and Steif (1997); Peres and Steif (1998); Benjamini, Häggström, Peres, and Steif (2003)



1. Equilibrium Dynamics

- ▶ Have: A p.m. μ
- ▶ Want: A Markov process with invariant meas. μ
- ▶ Non-unique choice; want simplicity
- ▶ A model: Häggström, Peres, and Steif (1997); Peres and Steif (1998); Benjamini, Häggström, Peres, and Steif (2003)
- ▶ An ascription to P. Malliavin



1. Equilibrium Dynamics

- ▶ Have: A p.m. μ
- ▶ Want: A Markov process with invariant meas. μ
- ▶ Non-unique choice; want simplicity
- ▶ A model: Häggström, Peres, and Steif (1997); Peres and Steif (1998); Benjamini, Häggström, Peres, and Steif (2003)
- ▶ An ascription to P. Malliavin
- ▶ A related construct of Rusakov (1989–1994)



1. Equilibrium Dynamics

- ▶ Have: A p.m. μ
- ▶ Want: A Markov process with invariant meas. μ
- ▶ Non-unique choice; want simplicity
- ▶ A model: Häggström, Peres, and Steif (1997); Peres and Steif (1998); Benjamini, Häggström, Peres, and Steif (2003)
- ▶ An ascription to P. Malliavin
- ▶ A related construct of Rusakov (1989–1994)
- ▶ A relation to Liapounov (1900) and Lindeberg (1922)



1. Equilibrium Dynamics

- ▶ Have: A p.m. μ
- ▶ Want: A Markov process with invariant meas. μ
- ▶ Non-unique choice; want simplicity
- ▶ A model: Häggström, Peres, and Steif (1997); Peres and Steif (1998); Benjamini, Häggström, Peres, and Steif (2003)
- ▶ An ascription to P. Malliavin
- ▶ A related construct of Rusakov (1989–1994)
- ▶ A relation to Liapounov (1900) and Lindeberg (1922)
- ▶ Parallel relations to noise sensitivity (Kalai et al.)



1. Equilibrium Dynamics

▶ $X(0) \sim \mu$



1. Equilibrium Dynamics

- ▶ $X(0) \sim \mu$
- ▶ $J_1, J_2, \dots =$ jump times of a PP(1)



1. Equilibrium Dynamics

- ▶ $X(0) \sim \mu$
- ▶ $J_1, J_2, \dots =$ jump times of a PP(1)
- ▶ If $t \in (0, J_1)$, then $X(t) := X(0)$;



1. Equilibrium Dynamics

- ▶ $X(0) \sim \mu$
- ▶ $J_1, J_2, \dots =$ jump times of a PP(1)
- ▶ If $t \in (0, J_1)$, then $X(t) := X(0)$;
- ▶ If $t \in [J_1, J_2)$, then $X(t) :=$ an indep't copy of $X(0)$;



1. Equilibrium Dynamics

- ▶ $X(0) \sim \mu$
- ▶ $J_1, J_2, \dots =$ jump times of a PP(1)
- ▶ If $t \in (0, J_1)$, then $X(t) := X(0)$;
- ▶ If $t \in [J_1, J_2)$, then $X(t) :=$ an indep't copy of $X(0)$;
- ▶ If $t \in [J_2, J_3)$, then $X(t) :=$ another indep't copy of $X(J_1)$;



1. Equilibrium Dynamics

- ▶ $X(0) \sim \mu$
- ▶ $J_1, J_2, \dots =$ jump times of a PP(1)
- ▶ If $t \in (0, J_1)$, then $X(t) := X(0)$;
- ▶ If $t \in [J_1, J_2)$, then $X(t) :=$ an indep't copy of $X(0)$;
- ▶ If $t \in [J_2, J_3)$, then $X(t) :=$ another indep't copy of $X(J_1)$;
- ▶ etc.



2. Dynamical Sequences

- ▶ X_1, X_2, \dots i.i.d.



2. Dynamical Sequences

- ▶ X_1, X_2, \dots i.i.d.
- ▶ Independently add equilibrium dynamics to each



2. Dynamical Sequences

- ▶ X_1, X_2, \dots i.i.d.
- ▶ Independently add equilibrium dynamics to each
- ▶ $\{X_1(t)\}_{t \geq 0}, \{X_2(t)\}_{t \geq 0}, \dots$ i.i.d.



2. Dynamical Sequences

- ▶ X_1, X_2, \dots i.i.d.
- ▶ Independently add equilibrium dynamics to each
- ▶ $\{X_1(t)\}_{t \geq 0}, \{X_2(t)\}_{t \geq 0}, \dots$ i.i.d.
- ▶ If $t \geq 0$ is fixed, then $X_1(t), X_2(t), X_3(t), \dots$ is an i.i.d. sequence $[\mu]$



2. Dynamical Sequences

- ▶ X_1, X_2, \dots i.i.d.
- ▶ Independently add equilibrium dynamics to each
- ▶ $\{X_1(t)\}_{t \geq 0}, \{X_2(t)\}_{t \geq 0}, \dots$ i.i.d.
- ▶ If $t \geq 0$ is fixed, then $X_1(t), X_2(t), X_3(t), \dots$ is an i.i.d. sequence $[\mu]$
- ▶ $t \mapsto (X_1(t), X_2(t), X_3(t), \dots)$ is a cadlag strong Markov process with invariant meas. μ^∞



3. Runs

- ▶ Suppose $\mu = p\delta_1 + q\delta_0$, where $q := 1 - p \in (0, 1)$



3. Runs

- ▶ Suppose $\mu = p\delta_1 + q\delta_0$, where $q := 1 - p \in (0, 1)$
- ▶ $R_n(t) := \sup\{j : X_k(t) = 1 \text{ for } n \leq k \leq n + j - 1\}$



3. Runs

- ▶ Suppose $\mu = p\delta_1 + q\delta_0$, where $q := 1 - p \in (0, 1)$
- ▶ $R_n(t) := \sup\{j : X_k(t) = 1 \text{ for } n \leq k \leq n + j - 1\}$
- ▶ Erdős–Rényi (1970): For $t \geq 0$ fixed,

$$R_n(t) \sim \log_{1/p}(n) \quad \text{i.o., a.s.}$$



3. Runs

- ▶ Suppose $\mu = p\delta_1 + q\delta_0$, where $q := 1 - p \in (0, 1)$
- ▶ $R_n(t) := \sup\{j : X_k(t) = 1 \text{ for } n \leq k \leq n + j - 1\}$
- ▶ Erdős–Rényi (1970): For $t \geq 0$ fixed,

$$R_n(t) \sim \log_{1/p}(n) \quad \text{i.o., a.s.}$$

- ▶ Erdős–Révész (1977): For $t \geq 0$ fixed;

$$\mathbb{P}\{R_n(t) \geq a_n \text{ i.o.}\} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p^{a_n} < \infty, \\ 1 & \text{if } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p^{a_n} = \infty. \end{cases}$$



3. Runs

- ▶ Erdős–Révész (1977): For $t \geq 0$ fixed;

$$P\{R_n(t) \geq a_n \text{ i.o.}\} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p^{a_n} < \infty, \\ 1 & \text{if } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p^{a_n} = \infty. \end{cases}$$



3. Runs

- ▶ Erdős–Révész (1977): For $t \geq 0$ fixed;

$$P\{R_n(t) \geq a_n \text{ i.o.}\} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p^{a_n} < \infty, \\ 1 & \text{if } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p^{a_n} = \infty. \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Unusual times (Benjamini, Häggström, Peres, and Steif, 2003):

$$P\{\exists t \geq 0 : R_n(t) \geq a_n \text{ i.o.}\} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^1 p^{a_n} < \infty, \\ 1 & \text{if } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^1 p^{a_n} = \infty. \end{cases}$$



4. Runs with Contaminations

- ▶ For integer $\ell \geq 0$ fixed, let $R_n^\ell(t)$ denote the largest $j \geq \ell + 1$ such that $X_k(t) = 1$ for all but ℓ values of $k \in \{n, \dots, n + j - 1\}$



4. Runs with Contaminations

- ▶ For integer $\ell \geq 0$ fixed, let $R_n^\ell(t)$ denote the largest $j \geq \ell + 1$ such that $X_k(t) = 1$ for all but ℓ values of $k \in \{n, \dots, n + j - 1\}$
- ▶ $R_n^0(t) = R_n(t)$



4. Runs with Contaminations

- ▶ For integer $\ell \geq 0$ fixed, let $R_n^\ell(t)$ denote the largest $j \geq \ell + 1$ such that $X_k(t) = 1$ for all but ℓ values of $k \in \{n, \dots, n + j - 1\}$
- ▶ $R_n^0(t) = R_n(t)$
- ▶ Erdős–Rényi (1970): For $t \geq 0$ fixed,

$$R_n^\ell \sim \log_{1/p}(n) \quad \text{i.o., a.s.}$$



4. Runs with Contaminations

- ▶ For integer $\ell \geq 0$ fixed, let $R_n^\ell(t)$ denote the largest $j \geq \ell + 1$ such that $X_k(t) = 1$ for all but ℓ values of $k \in \{n, \dots, n + j - 1\}$
- ▶ $R_n^0(t) = R_n(t)$
- ▶ Erdős–Rényi (1970): For $t \geq 0$ fixed,

$$R_n^\ell \sim \log_{1/p}(n) \quad \text{i.o., a.s.}$$

- ▶ Borel–Cantelli lemma exercise: For $t \geq 0$ fixed,

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ R_n^\ell(t) \geq a_n \text{ i.o.} \right\} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^\ell p^{a_n} < \infty, \\ 1 & \text{if } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^\ell p^{a_n} = \infty. \end{cases}$$



4. Runs with Contaminations

- ▶ “Exercise”: For $t \geq 0$ fixed,

$$P \left\{ R_n^\ell(t) \geq a_n \text{ i.o.} \right\} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^\ell p^{a_n} < \infty, \\ 1 & \text{if } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^\ell p^{a_n} = \infty. \end{cases}$$



4. Runs with Contaminations

- ▶ “Exercise”: For $t \geq 0$ fixed,

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ R_n^\ell(t) \geq a_n \text{ i.o.} \right\} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^\ell p^{a_n} < \infty, \\ 1 & \text{if } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^\ell p^{a_n} = \infty. \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Kh., Levin, and Méndez (2007):

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \exists t \geq 0 : R_n^\ell(t) \geq a_n \text{ i.o.} \right\} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{1+\ell} p^{a_n} < \infty, \\ 1 & \text{if } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{1+\ell} p^{a_n} = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Verifies a conjecture of Révész (2005).



4. Runs with Contaminations

- ▶ $K_F(\epsilon) :=$ Kolmogorov ϵ -capacity of F



4. Runs with Contaminations

- ▶ $K_F(\epsilon) :=$ Kolmogorov ϵ -capacity of F
- ▶ Key step (Kh., Levin, and Méndez, 2007):

$$P \left\{ \sup_{t \in F} R_n^\ell(t) \geq a_n \right\} \asymp K_F \left(\frac{1}{a_n} \right) a_n^\ell p^{a_n}$$

simultaneously for all nonrandom compact $F \subset [0, 1]$ and $\forall n$ large



4. Runs with Contaminations

- ▶ $K_F(\epsilon) :=$ Kolmogorov ϵ -capacity of F
- ▶ Key step (Kh., Levin, and Méndez, 2007):

$$P \left\{ \sup_{t \in F} R_n^\ell(t) \geq a_n \right\} \asymp K_F \left(\frac{1}{a_n} \right) a_n^\ell p^{a_n}$$

simultaneously for all nonrandom compact $F \subset [0, 1]$ and $\forall n$ large

- ▶ Reason: “correlation length” $\asymp 1/a_n$; i.e.,

$$P \left\{ R_n^\ell(0) \geq a_n \right\} \asymp a_n^\ell p^{a_n}$$



4. Runs with Contaminations

- ▶ $K_F(\epsilon) :=$ Kolmogorov ϵ -capacity of F
- ▶ Key step (Kh., Levin, and Méndez, 2007):

$$P \left\{ \sup_{t \in F} R_n^\ell(t) \geq a_n \right\} \asymp K_F \left(\frac{1}{a_n} \right) a_n^\ell p^{a_n}$$

simultaneously for all nonrandom compact $F \subset [0, 1]$ and $\forall n$ large

- ▶ Reason: “correlation length” $\asymp 1/a_n$; i.e.,

$$P \left\{ R_n^\ell(0) \geq a_n \right\} \asymp a_n^\ell p^{a_n}$$



4. Runs with Contaminations

- ▶ $K_F(\epsilon) :=$ Kolmogorov ϵ -capacity of F
- ▶ Key step (Kh., Levin, and Méndez, 2007):

$$P \left\{ \sup_{t \in F} R_n^\ell(t) \geq a_n \right\} \asymp K_F \left(\frac{1}{a_n} \right) a_n^\ell p^{a_n}$$

simultaneously for all nonrandom compact $F \subset [0, 1]$ and $\forall n$ large

- ▶ Reason: “correlation length” $\asymp 1/a_n$; i.e.,

$$P \left\{ R_n^\ell(0) \geq a_n \right\} \asymp a_n^\ell p^{a_n} \asymp P \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1/a_n} R_n^\ell(t) \geq a_n \right\}$$



4. Runs with Contaminations

- ▶ $K_F(\epsilon) :=$ Kolmogorov ϵ -capacity of F
- ▶ Key step (Kh., Levin, and Méndez, 2007):

$$P \left\{ \sup_{t \in F} R_n^\ell(t) \geq a_n \right\} \asymp K_F \left(\frac{1}{a_n} \right) a_n^\ell p^{a_n}$$

simultaneously for all nonrandom compact $F \subset [0, 1]$ and $\forall n$ large

- ▶ Reason: “correlation length” $\asymp 1/a_n$; i.e.,

$$P \left\{ R_n^\ell(0) \geq a_n \right\} \asymp a_n^\ell p^{a_n} \asymp P \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1/a_n} R_n^\ell(t) \geq a_n \right\}$$

- ▶ Use: Stationarity; covering; asymp. independence



5. OU Process in Wiener Space

- ▶ $B(s, t) :=$ Brownian sheet



5. OU Process in Wiener Space

- ▶ $B(s, t) :=$ Brownian sheet
- ▶ $E[B(s, t)B(u, v)] = \min(s, u) \times \min(t, v)$



5. OU Process in Wiener Space

- ▶ $B(s, t) :=$ Brownian sheet
- ▶ $E[B(s, t)B(u, v)] = \min(s, u) \times \min(t, v)$
- ▶ $U_s(t) := e^{-s/2}B(e^s, t)$ (Meyer, 1982)



5. OU Process in Wiener Space

- ▶ $B(s, t) :=$ Brownian sheet
- ▶ $E[B(s, t)B(u, v)] = \min(s, u) \times \min(t, v)$
- ▶ $U_s(t) := e^{-s/2}B(e^s, t)$ (Meyer, 1982)
- ▶ $t \mapsto U_s(t)$ is BM for all $s \geq 0$



5. OU Process in Wiener Space

- ▶ $B(s, t) :=$ Brownian sheet
- ▶ $E[B(s, t)B(u, v)] = \min(s, u) \times \min(t, v)$
- ▶ $U_s(t) := e^{-s/2}B(e^s, t)$ (Meyer, 1982)
- ▶ $t \mapsto U_s(t)$ is BM for all $s \geq 0$
- ▶ $s \mapsto U_s(t)$ is OU



5. OU Process in Wiener Space

- ▶ $B(s, t) :=$ Brownian sheet
- ▶ $E[B(s, t)B(u, v)] = \min(s, u) \times \min(t, v)$
- ▶ $U_s(t) := e^{-s/2}B(e^s, t)$ (Meyer, 1982)
- ▶ $t \mapsto U_s(t)$ is BM for all $s \geq 0$
- ▶ $s \mapsto U_s(t)$ is OU
- ▶ $s \mapsto U_s(\bullet)$ is a stationary diffusion on $C[0, 1]$ (say) with invariant measure $\mu :=$ Wiener measure (Malliavin, 1979)



5. OU Process in Wiener Space

- ▶ $B(s, t) :=$ Brownian sheet
- ▶ $E[B(s, t)B(u, v)] = \min(s, u) \times \min(t, v)$
- ▶ $U_s(t) := e^{-s/2}B(e^s, t)$ (Meyer, 1982)
- ▶ $t \mapsto U_s(t)$ is BM for all $s \geq 0$
- ▶ $s \mapsto U_s(t)$ is OU
- ▶ $s \mapsto U_s(\bullet)$ is a stationary diffusion on $C[0, 1]$ (say) with invariant measure $\mu :=$ Wiener measure (Malliavin, 1979)
- ▶ Chung (1948): For $s \geq 0$ fixed,

$$\liminf_{T \nearrow \infty} \left(\frac{2 \ln \ln T}{T} \right)^{1/2} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |U_s(t)| = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}}$$



5. OU Process in Wiener Space

- ▶ $B(s, t) :=$ Brownian sheet
- ▶ $E[B(s, t)B(u, v)] = \min(s, u) \times \min(t, v)$
- ▶ $U_s(t) := e^{-s/2}B(e^s, t)$ (Meyer, 1982)
- ▶ $t \mapsto U_s(t)$ is BM for all $s \geq 0$
- ▶ $s \mapsto U_s(t)$ is OU
- ▶ $s \mapsto U_s(\bullet)$ is a stationary diffusion on $C[0, 1]$ (say) with invariant measure $\mu :=$ Wiener measure (Malliavin, 1979)
- ▶ Chung (1948): For $s \geq 0$ fixed,

$$\liminf_{T \nearrow \infty} \left(\frac{2 \ln \ln T}{T} \right)^{1/2} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |U_s(t)| = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}}$$

- ▶ Kh., Levin, and Méndez: OK for all s , sharp (different) integral tests, etc.



5. OU Process in Wiener Space

► $U_s(t) := e^{-s/2} B(e^s, t)$



5. OU Process in Wiener Space

- ▶ $U_s(t) := e^{-s/2} B(e^s, t)$
- ▶ Theorem: Simultaneously for all compact $F \subseteq [0, 1]$, $T > 0$, and $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$P \left\{ \inf_{s \in F} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |U_s(t)| \leq T^{1/2} \epsilon \right\} \asymp K_F \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^6} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{\pi^2}{8\epsilon^2} \right)$$



5. OU Process in Wiener Space

- ▶ $U_s(t) := e^{-s/2} B(e^s, t)$
- ▶ Theorem: Simultaneously for all compact $F \subseteq [0, 1]$, $T > 0$, and $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$P \left\{ \inf_{s \in F} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |U_s(t)| \leq T^{1/2} \epsilon \right\} \asymp K_F \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^6} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{\pi^2}{8\epsilon^2} \right)$$

- ▶ Feynman–Kac + eigenfunction expansions: For $s \geq 0$ fixed,

$$P \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |U_s(t)| \leq \epsilon \right\} \asymp \exp \left(-\frac{\pi^2}{8\epsilon^2} \right)$$



5. OU Process in Wiener Space

- ▶ $U_s(t) := e^{-s/2} B(e^s, t)$
- ▶ Theorem: Simultaneously for all compact $F \subseteq [0, 1]$, $T > 0$, and $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$P \left\{ \inf_{s \in F} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |U_s(t)| \leq T^{1/2} \epsilon \right\} \asymp K_F \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^6} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{\pi^2}{8\epsilon^2} \right)$$

- ▶ Feynman–Kac + eigenfunction expansions: For $s \geq 0$ fixed,

$$P \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |U_s(t)| \leq \epsilon \right\} \asymp \exp \left(-\frac{\pi^2}{8\epsilon^2} \right)$$

- ▶ “Correlation length” = ϵ^6



6. Percolation

- ▶ $T :=$ a tree (loc. finite, infinite, rooted, no leaves)



6. Percolation

- ▶ $T :=$ a tree (loc. finite, infinite, rooted, no leaves)
- ▶ $\rho :=$ root of T



6. Percolation

- ▶ $T :=$ a tree (loc. finite, infinite, rooted, no leaves)
- ▶ $\rho :=$ root of T
- ▶ Put i.i.d. rv's on the edges of T



6. Percolation

- ▶ $T :=$ a tree (loc. finite, infinite, rooted, no leaves)
- ▶ $\rho :=$ root of T
- ▶ Put i.i.d. rv's on the edges of T
- ▶ $\mathbb{P}_\rho\{W_e = 1\} = 1 - \mathbb{P}_\rho\{W_e = 0\} = p$



6. Percolation

- ▶ $T :=$ a tree (loc. finite, infinite, rooted, no leaves)
- ▶ $\rho :=$ root of T
- ▶ Put i.i.d. rv's on the edges of T
- ▶ $\mathbb{P}_\rho\{W_e = 1\} = 1 - \mathbb{P}_\rho\{W_e = 0\} = p$
- ▶ $\rho \leftrightarrow \infty$ means there exists an infinite ray of all ones, starting with ρ



6. Percolation

- ▶ $T :=$ a tree (loc. finite, infinite, rooted, no leaves)
- ▶ $\rho :=$ root of T
- ▶ Put i.i.d. rv's on the edges of T
- ▶ $\mathbb{P}_\rho\{W_e = 1\} = 1 - \mathbb{P}_\rho\{W_e = 0\} = p$
- ▶ $\rho \leftrightarrow \infty$ means there exists an infinite ray of all ones, starting with ρ
- ▶ Lyons (1990; 1992) proved that $\mathbb{P}_\rho\{\rho \leftrightarrow \infty\} > 0$ iff \exists p.m. μ on ∂T such that

$$\iint \frac{\mu(d\sigma)\mu(d\tau)}{p^{|\sigma \wedge \tau|}} < \infty.$$



6. Percolation

- ▶ Classical fact: ∂T is metrized by $d(\sigma, \tau) := \exp(-|\sigma \wedge \tau|)$



6. Percolation

- ▶ Classical fact: ∂T is metrized by $d(\sigma, \tau) := \exp(-|\sigma \wedge \tau|)$
- ▶ Theorem of Lyons (1990; 1992): $\mathbb{P}_\rho\{\rho \leftrightarrow \infty\} > 0$ iff \exists p.m. μ on ∂T such that

$$\iint \frac{\mu(d\sigma) \mu(d\tau)}{[d(\sigma, \tau)]^{\ln(1/\rho)}} < \infty$$



6. Percolation

- ▶ Classical fact: ∂T is metrized by $d(\sigma, \tau) := \exp(-|\sigma \wedge \tau|)$
- ▶ Theorem of Lyons (1990; 1992): $\mathbb{P}_\rho\{\rho \leftrightarrow \infty\} > 0$ iff \exists p.m. μ on ∂T such that

$$\iint \frac{\mu(d\sigma) \mu(d\tau)}{[d(\sigma, \tau)]^{\ln(1/\rho)}} < \infty$$

- ▶ $\ln(1/\rho_c) = \dim_H(\partial T)$ Hausdorff dimension



6. Percolation

- ▶ Classical fact: ∂T is metrized by $d(\sigma, \tau) := \exp(-|\sigma \wedge \tau|)$
- ▶ Theorem of Lyons (1990; 1992): $\mathbb{P}_\rho\{\rho \leftrightarrow \infty\} > 0$ iff \exists p.m. μ on ∂T such that

$$\iint \frac{\mu(d\sigma)\mu(d\tau)}{[d(\sigma, \tau)]^{\ln(1/\rho)}} < \infty$$

- ▶ $\ln(1/\rho_c) = \dim_H(\partial T)$ Hausdorff dimension
- ▶ $\rho_c = \exp(-\dim_H(\partial T))$



7. Dynamical Percolation

- ▶ Dynamical updating of percolation on a tree T



7. Dynamical Percolation

- ▶ Dynamical updating of percolation on a tree T
- ▶ $\rho \stackrel{t}{\leftrightarrow} \infty$ denotes percolation at time t



7. Dynamical Percolation

- ▶ Dynamical updating of percolation on a tree T
- ▶ $\rho \xleftrightarrow{t} \infty$ denotes percolation at time t
- ▶ Häggström, Peres, and Steif (1997):



7. Dynamical Percolation

- ▶ Dynamical updating of percolation on a tree T
- ▶ $\rho \stackrel{t}{\leftrightarrow} \infty$ denotes percolation at time t
- ▶ Häggström, Peres, and Steif (1997):
 - ▶ If $\rho < p_c$, then

$$P_\rho \left\{ \exists t \geq 0 : \rho \stackrel{t}{\leftrightarrow} \infty \right\} = 0$$



7. Dynamical Percolation

- ▶ Dynamical updating of percolation on a tree T
- ▶ $\rho \stackrel{t}{\leftrightarrow} \infty$ denotes percolation at time t
- ▶ Häggström, Peres, and Steif (1997):
 - ▶ If $\rho < \rho_c$, then

$$P_\rho \left\{ \exists t \geq 0 : \rho \stackrel{t}{\leftrightarrow} \infty \right\} = 0$$

- ▶ If $\rho > \rho_c$, then

$$P_\rho \left\{ \forall t \geq 0 : \rho \stackrel{t}{\leftrightarrow} \infty \right\} > 0$$



7. Dynamical Percolation

- ▶ Dynamical updating of percolation on a tree T
- ▶ $\rho \xleftrightarrow{t} \infty$ denotes percolation at time t
- ▶ Häggström, Peres, and Steif (1997):
 - ▶ If $\rho < \rho_c$, then

$$P_\rho \left\{ \exists t \geq 0 : \rho \xleftrightarrow{t} \infty \right\} = 0$$

- ▶ If $\rho > \rho_c$, then

$$P_\rho \left\{ \forall t \geq 0 : \rho \xleftrightarrow{t} \infty \right\} > 0$$

- ▶ $\rho = \rho_c$? Could go either way: If $T :=$ sph. symmetric, then

$$P_\rho \left\{ \exists t \geq 0 : \rho \xleftrightarrow{t} \infty \right\} > 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^{-k}}{k |\partial T_k|} < \infty$$



7. Dynamical Percolation

- ▶ Q: How “rich” is the set of all t for which $\rho \stackrel{t}{\leftrightarrow} \infty$ (Peres and Steif, 1998)?



7. Dynamical Percolation

- ▶ Q: How “rich” is the set of all t for which $\rho \xrightarrow{t} \infty$ (Peres and Steif, 1998)?
- ▶ Define

$$h((\sigma, s); (\tau, t)) := \left(1 - \frac{q}{p} e^{-|s-t|}\right)^{|\sigma \wedge \tau|}$$



7. Dynamical Percolation

- ▶ Q: How “rich” is the set of all t for which $\rho \xrightarrow{t} \infty$ (Peres and Steif, 1998)?
- ▶ Define

$$h((\sigma, s); (\tau, t)) := \left(1 - \frac{q}{\rho} e^{-|s-t|}\right)^{|\sigma \wedge \tau|}$$

- ▶ Define

$$\text{Cap}_h(\partial T \times D) := \left[\inf_{\mu \in M_1(\partial T \times D)} I_h(\mu) \right]^{-1}$$

where

$$I_h(\mu) := \iint h((\sigma, s); (\tau, t)) \mu(d\sigma ds) \mu(d\tau dt).$$



7. Dynamical Percolation

Theorem

Choose and fix compact $D \subset [0, 1]$ (say). Then,

$$P\left(\bigcup_{t \in D} \{\rho \xrightarrow{t} \infty\}\right) > 0 \text{ iff } \text{Cap}_h(\partial T \times D) > 0.$$



7. Dynamical Percolation

Theorem

Choose and fix compact $D \subset [0, 1]$ (say). Then,

$P\left(\bigcup_{t \in D} \{\rho \xrightarrow{t} \infty\}\right) > 0$ iff $\text{Cap}_h(\partial T \times D) > 0$.

- ▶ If T is sph. symmetric, then this is due to Peres and Steif (1998)



7. Dynamical Percolation

Theorem

Choose and fix compact $D \subset [0, 1]$ (say). Then,

$P\left(\bigcup_{t \in D} \{\rho \xrightarrow{t} \infty\}\right) > 0$ iff $\text{Cap}_h(\partial T \times D) > 0$.

- ▶ If T is sph. symmetric, then this is due to Peres and Steif (1998)
- ▶ Answers a conjecture of Yuval Peres (1999+)



7. Dynamical Percolation

Theorem

Choose and fix compact $D \subset [0, 1]$ (say). Then,

$P\left(\bigcup_{t \in D} \{\rho \overset{t}{\leftrightarrow} \infty\}\right) > 0$ iff $\text{Cap}_h(\partial T \times D) > 0$.

- ▶ If T is sph. symmetric, then this is due to Peres and Steif (1998)
- ▶ Answers a conjecture of Yuval Peres (1999+)
- ▶ If $D = \{t\}$ then this is Lyons's theorem



7. Dynamical Percolation

Theorem

Choose and fix compact $D \subset [0, 1]$ (say). Then,

$P\left(\bigcup_{t \in D} \{\rho \overset{t}{\leftrightarrow} \infty\}\right) > 0$ iff $\text{Cap}_h(\partial T \times D) > 0$.

- ▶ If T is sph. symmetric, then this is due to Peres and Steif (1998)
- ▶ Answers a conjecture of Yuval Peres (1999+)
- ▶ If $D = \{t\}$ then this is Lyons's theorem
- ▶ If either D is nice, or T is nice, then $\text{Cap}_h(\partial T \times D)$ can be computed explicitly in terms of the geometry of $\partial T \times D$

