

Solutionjs to Problem Assignment #9
Math 501–1, Spring 2006
University of Utah

Problems:

1. *A player throws a fair die and simultaneously flips a fair coin. If the coin lands heads then she wins twice, and if tails, then one-half of the value that appears on the die. Determine her expected winnings.*

Solution: Let $H = \{\text{heads}\}$, and define N to be the number of dots on the rolled die. We know that N and H are independent. Let W denote the amount won. We know that

$$P(W = 2N | H) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad P(W = N/2 | H^c) = 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} E(W) &= E(W | H)P(H) + E(W | H^c)P(H^c) \\ &= E(2N | H)P(H) + E\left(\frac{N}{2} \mid H^c\right)P(H^c) \\ &= E(2N)P(H) + E\left(\frac{N}{2}\right)P(H^c), \end{aligned}$$

by independence. But $P(H) = P(H^c) = 1/2$, and $E(N) = (1 + \dots + 6)/6 = 7/2$. Consequently,

$$E(W) = 2E(N)P(H) + \frac{1}{2}E(N)P(H^c) = \frac{35}{8} = 4.375.$$

2. *If X and Y are independent uniform-(0, 1) random variables, then prove that*

$$E(|X - Y|^\alpha) = \frac{2}{(\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2)} \quad \text{for all } \alpha > 0.$$

Solution: The density of (X, Y) is $f(x, y) = 1$ if $0 \leq x, y \leq 1$; $f(x, y) = 0$, otherwise. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} E(|X - Y|^\alpha) &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |x - y|^\alpha dx dy \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_x^1 (y - x)^\alpha dy dx + \int_0^1 \int_0^x (x - y)^\alpha dy dx \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_0^{1-x} z^\alpha dz dx + \int_0^1 \int_0^x w^\alpha dw dx \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + \alpha} \int_0^1 (1 - x)^{\alpha+1} dx + \frac{1}{1 + \alpha} \int_0^1 x^{\alpha+1} dx \\ &= \frac{2}{(1 + \alpha)(2 + \alpha)}, \end{aligned}$$

as asserted.

3. A group of n men and n women are lined up at random.

(a) Find the expected number of men who have a woman next to them.

Solution: All $(2n)!$ possible permutations are equally likely. Now consider the events $M_i = \{\text{person } i \text{ is a man}\}$, for $i = 1, \dots, 2n$. Clearly, $P(M_i) = 1/2$ [produce the requisite combinatorial argument]. Let W_i denote the number of women who are neighboring person i . We can note that if $i = 2, \dots, n-1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} P(W_i = 0 | M_i) &= \frac{P(W_i = 0, M_i)}{P(M_i)} = \frac{P(M_{i-1} \cap M_i \cap M_{i+1})}{1/2} \\ &= 2P(M_{i-1} \cap M_i \cap M_{i+1}) = 2 \frac{\binom{n}{3}(2n-3)!}{(2n)!}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, for $i = 2, \dots, n-1$,

$$P(W_i \geq 1, M_i) = P(W_i \geq 1 | M_i)P(M_i) = \left(1 - 2 \frac{\binom{n}{3}(2n-3)!}{(2n)!}\right) \times \frac{1}{2} := \mathcal{A}.$$

Similarly,

$$P(W_1 \geq 1, M_1) = P(W_n \geq 1, M_n) = \frac{n^2(2n-2)!}{(2n)!} := \mathcal{B}.$$

Let $I_i = 1$ if M_i occurs and $W_i \geq 1$. Evidently, the expected number of men who have a woman next to them is $\sum_{i=1}^n I_i$. Note that $E(I_i) = P(W_i \geq 1, M_i)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2n} I_i\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^{2n} E(I_i) \\ &= P(W_1 \geq 1, M_1) + \sum_{i=2}^{2n-1} P(W_i \geq 1, M_i) + P(W_n \geq 1, M_n) \\ &= 2\mathcal{B} + (2n-2)\mathcal{A}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Repeat part (a), but now assume that the group is randomly seated at a round table.

Solution: The difference now is that $P(W_i \geq 1, M_i) = \mathcal{A}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, so that

$$E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2n} I_i\right) = 2n\mathcal{A}.$$

4. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent with common mean μ and common variance σ^2 . Set

$$Y_n = X_n + X_{n+1} + X_{n+2} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

Compute $\text{Cov}(Y_n, Y_{n+j})$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $j \geq 0$.

Solution: Note that

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y_n) &= E(X_n) + E(X_{n+1}) + E(X_{n+2}) = 3\mu, \\ E(Y_{n+j}) &= 3\mu, \quad \text{thus,} \\ E(Y_n) \cdot E(Y_{n+j}) &= 9\mu^2. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} Y_n &= X_n + X_{n+1} + X_{n+2}, \\ Y_{n+j} &= X_{n+j} + X_{n+j+1} + X_{n+j+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} Y_n Y_{n+j} &= X_n X_{n+j} + X_n X_{n+j+1} + X_n X_{n+j+2} \\ &\quad + X_{n+1} X_{n+j} + X_{n+1} X_{n+j+1} + X_{n+1} X_{n+j+2} \\ &\quad + X_{n+2} X_{n+j} + X_{n+2} X_{n+j+1} + X_{n+2} X_{n+j+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Take expectations to find that

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y_n Y_{n+j}) &= E(X_n X_{n+j}) + E(X_n X_{n+j+1}) + E(X_n X_{n+j+2}) \\ &\quad + E(X_{n+1} X_{n+j}) + E(X_{n+1} X_{n+j+1}) + E(X_{n+1} X_{n+j+2}) \\ &\quad + E(X_{n+2} X_{n+j}) + E(X_{n+2} X_{n+j+1}) + E(X_{n+2} X_{n+j+2}). \end{aligned}$$

Let us work this out in separate cases, depending on the value of $j \geq 0$. First, consider the case that $j = 0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y_n^2) &= E(X_n^2) + E(X_n X_{n+1}) + E(X_n X_{n+2}) \\ &\quad + E(X_{n+1} X_n) + E(X_{n+1}^2) + E(X_{n+1} X_{n+2}) \\ &\quad + E(X_{n+2} X_n) + E(X_{n+2} X_{n+1}) + E(X_{n+2}^2). \end{aligned}$$

But $E(X_n^2) = E(X_{n+1}^2) = E(X_{n+2}^2) = \text{Var}(X_n) + (EX_n)^2 = \sigma^2 + \mu^2$. Also, if $n \neq m$, then by independence $E(X_n X_m) = E(X_n)E(X_m) = \mu^2$. Therefore,

$$E(Y_n^2) = 3(\sigma^2 + \mu^2) + 6\mu^2 = 3\sigma^2 + 9\mu^2. \quad (j = 0)$$

Next, consider the case that $j = 1$. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y_n Y_{n+1}) &= E(X_n X_{n+1}) + E(X_n X_{n+2}) + E(X_n X_{n+3}) \\ &\quad + E(X_{n+1}^2) + E(X_{n+1} X_{n+2}) + E(X_{n+1} X_{n+3}) \\ &\quad + E(X_{n+2} X_{n+1}) + E(X_{n+2}^2) + E(X_{n+2} X_{n+3}) \\ &= 7\mu^2 + 2(\sigma^2 + \mu^2) \\ &= 2\sigma^2 + 9\mu^2. \quad (j = 1) \end{aligned}$$

Next we consider the case $j = 2$. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(Y_n Y_{n+2}) &= E(X_n X_{n+2}) + E(X_n X_{n+3}) + E(X_n X_{n+4}) \\
 &\quad + E(X_{n+1} X_{n+2}) + E(X_{n+1} X_{n+3}) + E(X_{n+1} X_{n+4}) \\
 &\quad + E(X_{n+2}^2) + E(X_{n+2} X_{n+3}) + E(X_{n+2} X_{n+4}) \\
 &= \sigma^2 + 9\mu^2. \qquad (j = 2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if $j \geq 3$, then

$$E(Y_n Y_{n+j}) = 9\mu^2. \qquad (j \geq 3)$$

Theoretical Problems:

1. Suppose X is a nonnegative random variable with density function f . Prove that

$$E(X) = \int_0^\infty P\{X > t\} dt. \qquad (\text{eq.1})$$

Is this still true when $P\{X < 0\} > 0$? If “yes,” then prove it. If “no,” then construct an example.

Solution: The trick is to start with the right-hand side:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^\infty P\{X > t\} dt &= \int_0^\infty \int_t^\infty f(x) dx dt = \int_0^\infty \int_0^x f(x) dt dx \\
 &= \int_0^\infty x f(x) dx = E(X).
 \end{aligned}$$

This cannot be true when $P\{X < 0\} > 0$. For instance, suppose $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}$ if $-1 \leq x \leq 1$, and $f(x) = 0$ otherwise. [f is the uniform- $(-1, 1)$ density.] Then, $E(X) = 0$, whereas the preceding shows that $\int_0^\infty P\{X > t\} dt = \int_0^\infty x f(x) dx = (1/2) \int_0^1 x dx = (1/4)$.

2. (Hard) Suppose X_1, \dots, X_n are independent, and have the same distribution. Then, compute $\phi(x)$ for all x , where

$$\phi(x) := E[X_1 \mid X_1 + \dots + X_n = x].$$

Solution: Note that the distribution of (X_1, \dots, X_n) is the same as (X_2, X_1, \dots, X_n) . Therefore, $\phi(x) = E[X_2 \mid X_1 + \dots + X_n = x]$ as well. Similarly, $\phi(x) = E[X_3 \mid X_1 + \dots + X_n = x] = \dots = E[X_n \mid X_1 + \dots + X_n = x]$. Add the preceding equations to find that

$$n\phi(x) = E[X_1 + \dots + X_n \mid X_1 + \dots + X_n = x] = x.$$

Thus, $\phi(x) = (x/n)$.