

Abstract Algebra. Math 6320. Bertram/Utah 2022-23.

Group Representations

Let  $G$  be a group and  $k$  be a field.

**Definition.** A *representation* (over  $k$ ) of  $G$  is a group homomorphism:

$$\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$$

where  $V$  is a  $k$ -vector space and  $\text{GL}(V) = \text{Aut}_k(V)$  is the group of  $k$ -automorphisms, The dimension of  $V$  is the dimension of the representation  $(V, \rho)$  (or just  $\rho$ ).

In other words a representation is an *action*:

$$G \times V \rightarrow V, \text{ which we write as } (g, v) \mapsto gv := \rho(g)(v)$$

and which satisfies  $g(v + w) = gv + gw$  and  $(gh)v = g(hv)$ . This resembles the structure of a module, and a group representation is also called a  $G$ -module.

Examples. Group characters are one-dimensional (abelian) representations.

Remarks. (a) If  $V$  has a basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n$ , then in terms of the basis, a representation is a collection of invertible  $n \times n$  matrices. When the basis is understood,  $\text{GL}(V)$  is called  $\text{GL}(n, k)$ , the group of invertible  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in the field  $k$ .

(b) If  $G$  is given in terms of generators  $g_i$  and relations  $r_j$ , then  $\rho$  may be specified by choosing matrices  $A_i \in \text{GL}(n, k)$  that satisfy the relations  $r_j$ . Thus, for example, a representation of the cyclic group  $C_d$  is the choice of a single  $n \times n$  matrix  $\rho(g) = A$  (for a generator  $g$  of  $C_d$ ) satisfying  $A^d = I_n$ .

Example. Transpositions  $g_1 = (1\ 2)$  and  $g_2 = (2\ 3)$  generate  $S_3$  with relations:

$$g_1^2 = \text{id}, g_2^2 = \text{id} \text{ and } (g_1 g_2)^3 = \text{id}$$

Letting

$$\rho(g_1) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \rho(g_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

we check that:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^2 = I_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}^2$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ satisfies } \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}^3 = I_2$$

so this determines a two-dimensional representation of  $S_3$  in any field, including, amusingly, the field  $\mathbb{F}_2$  (in which  $-1 = 1$ ). For example,

$$\rho((1\ 2\ 3)) = \rho((1\ 2)(2\ 3)) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and}$$

$$\rho((1\ 3)) = \rho((1\ 2)(2\ 3)(1\ 2)) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Definition.** Given a representation  $(V, \rho)$  of  $G$ , a subspace  $U \subset V$  is *invariant* if the image of  $\rho|_U$  lies in  $U$ , in which case  $\rho$  “induces” the representation  $(U, \rho|_U)$ . Evidently, both  $0, V \subset V$  are invariant subspaces, and if these are the only invariant subspaces, then we say  $(V, \rho)$  is an *irreducible* representation.

Example. One-dim'l characters are irreducible (there are no other subspaces!). The example above is an irreducible two-dimensional representation of  $S_3$ .

Note. The one-dimensional invariant subspaces of  $(V, \rho)$  are spanned by a shared eigenvector of the matrices  $A_g = \rho(g)$  for all elements  $g \in G$ .

We've already seen that if  $G$  is finite, there are at most  $|G|$  *characters* of  $G$ , and exactly  $|G|$  of them if  $k = \mathbb{C}$  and  $G$  is abelian. This begs the following:

**Question.** How many *irreducible* representations are there for a fixed  $G$  and  $k$ ?

The answer depends upon the field  $k$ , as seen with the following example.

Example. The *rotation* representation of  $C_n$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  generated by:

$$\rho(g) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(2\pi/n) & -\sin(2\pi/n) \\ \sin(2\pi/n) & \cos(2\pi/n) \end{bmatrix}$$

is irreducible because this rotation (by  $2\pi/n$ ) has no real eigenvectors. On the other hand, every automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  has a complex eigenvector, and here there are two:  $(1, i)$  and  $(1, -i)$  with eigenvalues  $e^{-2\pi i/n}$  and  $e^{2\pi i/n}$ , respectively. Alternatively, one could view this rotation as a single *complex* character given by  $\chi(g) = e^{2\pi i/n}$ .

The *category*  $G\text{Rep}_k$  of representations of  $G$  for a field  $k$  consists of:

- Objects  $(V, \rho)$ , the  $k$ -representations of  $G$ , including the zero representation.
- Vector spaces  $\text{Hom}_G(V, W) \subset \text{Hom}_k(V, W)$  of  $G$ -linear maps between objects  $(V, \rho)$  and  $(W, \tau)$ , where a  $k$ -linear map  $f : V \rightarrow W$  is  $G$ -linear if:

$$f(gv) = gf(v) \text{ for all } g \in G$$

This is an *abelian category*. The key observation is:

**Proposition 1.** The kernel, image and cokernel of a  $G$ -linear map  $f \in \text{Hom}_G(V, W)$  are also representations of  $G$ .

**Proof.** The kernel  $K \subset V$  is an invariant subspace (hence a representation), since if  $f(v) = 0$ , then  $f(gv) = gf(v) = g0 = 0$ . Similarly, the image  $I = f(V) \subset W$  is invariant, since if  $w = f(v)$ , then  $gw = f(gv)$ . Finally, the cokernel is:

$$W/I = \{w + f(V)\} \text{ and } g(w + f(V)) = gw + f(V)$$

and a well-defined action of  $G$ , with  $G$ -linear map  $q : W \rightarrow W/I$ .  $\square$

The rest of the properties of an abelian category follow from the corresponding properties for the category of  $k$ -vector spaces. In particular, the *direct sum* of representations  $(V, \rho)$  and  $(W, \tau)$  is the direct sum of the vector spaces, together with the "diagonal" action  $g(v, w) = (gv, gw)$ .

But consider the following subtlety:

Example. The complex representation  $\rho$  of  $G = (\mathbb{Z}, +)$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  given by:

$$\rho(n) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

has one invariant subspace, the line spanned by  $e_1$ , which is a common eigenvector (with eigenvalue 1) of each  $\rho(n)$ . This gives a  $G$ -invariant map  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$  from the trivial representation, whose cokernel is *also* the trivial representation. But the representation  $\rho$  itself is **not** trivial, and therefore not isomorphic to the direct sum of the kernel and cokernel representations. Thus, like the case of  $R$ -modules, a short exact sequence of representations ( $G$ -modules) need not have a right splitting.

On the other hand, when  $G$  is finite, we have the following:

**Proposition 2.** If  $G$  is a finite group and  $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V)$  is a (finite dimensional) complex representation, then every invariant subspace  $U \subset V$  has an invariant complementary subspace  $W$  with  $U \oplus W = V$  as complex representations of  $G$ .

**Proof.** The idea is to construct a Hermitian inner product on  $V$  that is invariant by averaging over the group, and then to take the orthogonal complement with respect to this averaged inner product. Choose a basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  of  $V$  and let:

$$\langle u, v \rangle_G = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} \langle hu, hv \rangle \text{ for vectors } u, v \in V$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the “ordinary” Hermitian inner product:  $\langle \sum x_i e_i, \sum y_i e_i \rangle = \sum x_i \bar{y}_i$ .

This is rigged so that  $\langle u, v \rangle_G = \langle gu, gv \rangle_G$  for all  $g \in G$  and if  $v \neq 0$ , then

$$\langle v, v \rangle_G = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |gv|^2 > 0$$

i.e.  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_G$  is positive definite, and also Hermitian, since it satisfies

$$\langle u, v \rangle_G = \overline{\langle v, u \rangle_G} \text{ and } \langle c_1 u_1 + c_2 u_2, v \rangle_G = c_1 \langle u_1, v \rangle_G + c_2 \langle u_2, v \rangle_G$$

It follows that if  $U$  is an invariant subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , then the orthogonal complement  $U^\perp$  with respect to the  $G$ -invariant inner product, is also invariant via:

$$\langle u, w \rangle_G = 0 \text{ for all } u \in U \Rightarrow \langle u, hw \rangle_G = \langle h^{-1}u, w \rangle_G = 0 \text{ for all } u \in U \quad \square$$

By repeatedly applying this Proposition, we conclude that:

**Corollary.** If  $(V, \rho)$  is a finite-dimensional complex rep of a finite group  $G$ , then:

$$V = U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_r$$

is a direct sum of irreducible invariant subspace representations.

We may couple this with:

**Proposition 3.** Each irreducible complex rep of an *abelian group*  $G$  is a character.

**Proof.** Let  $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . We show that the commuting matrices:

$$\rho(g) = A_g \text{ for } g \in G$$

all share a common eigenvector. The line spanned by one such eigenvector is then an invariant subspace for the representation  $\rho$  (and a character of the abelian group).

Select  $g \in G$  and let  $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$  be an eigenvector of  $A_g$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Select another  $h \in G$ . Then because  $A_g$  and  $A_h$  commute, we have:

$$A_g(A_h v) = A_h(A_g v) = A_h(\lambda \cdot v) = \lambda A_h(v)$$

so  $A_h v$  is **another** eigenvector for  $A_g$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . View:

$$A_h : V_\lambda \rightarrow V_\lambda \text{ as a symmetry of the } \lambda\text{-eigenspace of } A_g$$

Then  $A_h$  has an eigenvector in  $V_\lambda$  with eigenvalue  $\mu$  which is a shared eigenvector. Continue this process to conclude that any finite number of commuting matrices share an eigenvector. This also applies to an infinite number of commuting matrices acting on a finite-dimensional vector space, reasoning by induction on the dimension of the shared eigenspaces.  $\square$

Example. Consider the “cycle” representation of  $C_n$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  given by:

$$\rho(g)(e_i) = e_{i+1} \text{ for } i < n \text{ and } \rho(g)(e_n) = e_1$$

Then:

$$A_g = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ & & \ddots & & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

has a basis  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  of eigenvectors (hence invariant lines for  $C_n$ ) given by:

$$v_m = e_1 + \omega_n^m e_2 + \omega_n^{2m} e_3 + \cdots + \omega_n^{(n-1)m} e_n \quad (\text{with eigenvalue } \omega_n^m)$$

and, in particular,  $v_n = e_1 + \cdots + e_n$ . Notice that each of the invariant lines:

$$(\langle v_m \rangle, \rho) \text{ is the character } \chi_m(g) = \omega_n^m$$

so that this representation is the direct sum of **all** the complex characters of  $C_n$ .

We combine the Propositions to get the following:

**Corollary.** Every finite dimensional complex representation of a finite abelian group is a direct sum of characters.

Remark. The subtle two-dimensional representation of  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$  above shows that finiteness of the abelian group is essential to the Corollary (and to Proposition 2), though Proposition 3 holds also for infinite abelian groups.

**Corollary.** If  $A \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  and  $A^d = I_n$ , then  $A$  is semi-simple.

**Proof.** Since  $A$  semi-simple means that  $\mathbb{C}^n$  has a basis consisting of eigenvectors of  $A$ , this is just a rewording of the previous Corollary for the representation of the cyclic group  $C_d$  given by  $\rho(g) = A$ .

**Corollary.** If  $(\mathbb{C}^n, \rho)$  is a representation of a finite group  $G$ , then each

$$\rho(g) = A_g \text{ is semi-simple}$$

**Proof.** Each of these matrices has order  $d$  for some  $d$ . □

**The Two-Dimensional Dihedral Representations.** Let  $D_{2n}$  be the dihedral group, generated by two elements  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  with relations:

$$g_1^2 = 1, g_2^2 = 1 \text{ and } (g_1 g_2)^n = 1$$

One representation of  $D_{2n}$  is given by:

$$\rho(g_1) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(2\pi/n) & \sin(2\pi/n) \\ \sin(2\pi/n) & -\cos(2\pi/n) \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \rho(g_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

with

$$\rho(g_1 g_2) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(2\pi/n) & -\sin(2\pi/n) \\ \sin(2\pi/n) & \cos(2\pi/n) \end{bmatrix}$$

Viewed as a real representation, these are the symmetries of a regular  $n$ -gon centered at the origin (with a vertex on the  $x$ -axis), generated by the reflection across the  $x$ -axis and the reflection across the line  $y = \tan(\pi/n)x$ . But using the same matrices, we may view this as a *complex* two-dimensional representation of  $D_{2n}$ .

Even as a two-dimensional complex representation, this is irreducible since any invariant line would be spanned by a common eigenvector for  $\rho(g_1)$  and  $\rho(g_2)$ , and by virtue of being reflections across different lines of symmetry, they share no common complex eigenvectors.

Final Example. We've now seen two irreducible two-dim'l representations of  $S_3$ :

$$\rho(g_1) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \rho(g_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and:

$$\tau(g_1) = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \tau(g_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

viewing  $S_3$  as the dihedral group  $D_6$  of symmetries of the equilateral triangle.

I claim that these are the **isomorphic** representation of  $S_3$ , with the different matrix representations an artifact of the choice of different bases for  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . In other words, we seek a single "change of basis" matrix  $B$  such that:

$$B^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} B = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$B^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is easiest to work with the second equation, and to recall that because the change of basis  $B$  converts to a *diagonal* matrix, then:

$$B = [v_1 \ v_2] \text{ where } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} v_1 = v_1 \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} v_2 = -v_2$$

i.e.  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are eigenvectors with  $+1$  and  $-1$  eigenvalues. A bit of fiddling gives:

$$v_1 = \lambda_1 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } v_2 = \lambda_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ for } \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}^*$$

and then plugging in for  $B$  we find that setting  $\lambda_2/\lambda_1 = \sqrt{3}$  gives

$$B^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} B = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$