## Abstract Algebra. Math 6320. Bertram/Utah 2022-23. Group Characters and more Galois Theory

We will prove the following foundational result of Galois Theory.

**Theorem.** Let F/K be a splitting field for a separable polynomial  $f(x) \in K[x]$ and let  $G = \operatorname{Gal}(F/K)$  be the Galois group of the splitting field. Then:

(a) For each subgroup  $H \subset G$ , the intermediate "fixed field" of H:

 $F^H := \{ \alpha \in F \mid h(\alpha) = \alpha \text{ for all } h \in H \} \text{ satisfies } \operatorname{Gal}(F/F^H) = H$ 

- (b) If  $E \subset F$  is an intermediate field, then  $F^{\operatorname{Gal}(F/E)} = E$  (inverting (a)).
- (c) The subgroup H in (a) is normal if and only if  $F^H/K$  is a splitting field.

That is, fixed fields (and Galois groups) determine a bijections:

{subgroups of G}  $\leftrightarrow$  {intermediate fields  $K \subset E \subset F$ } and

{normal subgroups of G}  $\leftrightarrow$  {intermediate splitting fields}

To get to this Theorem, we'll use a new idea.

## Characters

Let G be a group and K be a field.

**Definition.** A character of G in K is a group homomorphism  $\chi: E \to K^*$ .

Remark. Each character satisfies  $\chi(ghg^{-1}h^{-1}) = \chi(g)\chi(h)\chi(g)^{-1}\chi(h^{-1}) = 1 \in K^*$ since  $K^*$  is abelian. So each character factors through the abelian quotient by the commutator subgroup:

$$\chi:G\to G/[G,G]\to K^*$$

and these "one-dimensional" characters are therefore a feature of abelian groups.

A character of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is determined by the choice of an element  $\alpha = \chi(1) \in K^*$  with:

$$\chi(d) = \alpha^d$$
 for all  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

and a character of  $C_n = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  is similarly the choice of an *n*th root of unity in *K*.

A character is, in particular, a (non-zero) vector in the vector space:

$$\operatorname{Fun}(G,K) = \{f: G \to K\}$$

and characters  $\chi_1, ..., \chi_n$  are *independent* if they are linearly independent functions,

i.e. if 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \chi_i(g) = 0$$
 for all  $g \in G$  if and only if  $c_1 = \cdots = c_n = 0$  in  $K$ 

When  $|G| < \infty$ , we may choose a basis  $e_g \in \operatorname{Fun}(G, K)$  (of non-characters!) by:

 $e_g(g) = 1$  and  $e_g(h) = 0$  otherwise

and in terms of this basis,  $\chi_i = \sum_{g \in G} \chi_i(g) e_g$ .

Somewhat surprisingly, we have the following:

Proposition 1. Every set of distinct characters (of any group) is independent.

**Proof.** We will prove this by induction on the number of characters.

Let  $\chi_1, ..., \chi_n : G \to K^*$  be distinct characters with  $n \ge 2$ , and suppose:

$$c_1\chi_1 + \cdots + c_n\chi_n = 0$$
 is a linear relation

Then for each fixed  $h \in G$  and all  $g \in G$ , we have the identity:

$$c_1\chi_1(h)\chi_1(g) + \dots + c_n\chi_n(h)\chi_n(g) = c_1\chi_1(gh) + \dots + c_n\chi_n(gh) = 0$$

and subtracting this from:

$$c_1\chi_n(h)\chi_1(g) + \dots + c_n\chi_n(h)\chi_1(g) = \chi_n(h)(c_1\chi_1(g) + \dots + c_n\chi_n(g)) = 0$$

we get linear relations among the first n-1 characters (one for each value of h):

$$c_1(\chi_n(h) - \chi_1(h))\chi_1 + \dots + c_{n-1}(\chi_n(h) - \chi_{n-1}(h))\chi_{n-1} = 0$$

which implies (by the inductive assumption) that:

$$c_i(\chi_n(h) - \chi_i(h)) = 0$$
 for all  $i = 1, ..., n - 1$  and all h

But  $\chi_i \neq \chi_n$  for i < n, so  $\chi_i(h) \neq \chi_n(h)$  for some h (possibly depending on i), from which it follows that  $c_1, \ldots, c_{n-1} = 0$  and then  $c_n = 0$  as well.

Example. Consider *n* characters of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in *K* given by  $\chi_i(1) = x_i$  for distinct  $x_i \in K^*$ . Then the truncated character vectors  $\chi_i(0)e_0 + \dots + \chi_i(n-1)e_{n-1}$  are columns of:

$$V(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n \\ x_1^2 & x_2^2 & \cdots & x_n^2 \\ & & \vdots \\ x_1^{n-1} & x_2^{n-1} & \cdots & x_n^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

the Vandermonde matrix with (nonzero!) determinant  $D = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (x_j - x_i)$ . This independently verifies that any finite set of characters of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is independent.

If we take, instead, the *n* characters of  $C_n$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $\chi_i(1) = \omega^i$ , we get:

$$D = \prod_{0 \le i < j < n} (\omega^j - \omega^i) \in \mathbb{Q}(\omega)$$

as the determinant of the Vandermonde. This can be computed! From:

$$f(x) = x^{n} - 1 = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (x - \omega^{i}) \text{ we get } nx^{n-1} = f'(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \prod_{i \neq j} (x - \omega^{i})$$

and in particular,  $n(\omega^j)^{n-1} = \prod_{i \neq j} (\omega^j - \omega^i)$ . Thus the product satisfies:

$$n^{n} \cdot \omega^{(n-1)\binom{n}{2}} = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} n(\omega^{j(n-1)}) = \prod_{i \neq j} (\omega^{j} - \omega^{i}) = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} D^{2}$$

so that in particular, if n is odd:

$$D = \pm \sqrt{(-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} n^n} \in \mathbb{Q}(\omega_n)$$

and as a consequence,  $\sqrt{(-1)^{\binom{n}{2}}n} \in \mathbb{Q}(\omega_n)$ , generating an intermediate "quadratic" field (as long as  $(-1)^{\binom{n}{2}}n$  is not a perfect square) corresponding to a subgroup of the Galois group of order  $\phi(n)/2$ . This "explains" the appearances of  $\sqrt{-3}, \sqrt{5}$  and  $\sqrt{-7}$  in the fields  $\mathbb{Q}(\omega_3), \mathbb{Q}(\omega_5)$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\omega_7)$ , respectively.

**Corollary.** If G is an abelian group with |G| = n, then G has at most n characters with values in a field K and exactly n characters with values in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Proof.** Fun(G, K) has dimension n as a vector space over K, so there are at most n distinct characters by the Proposition. Letting  $G = \prod C_{n_i}$  be a product of cyclic groups with generators  $g_i$  and  $\prod n_i = n$ , then setting each  $g_i$  to an  $n_i$ th root of unity in  $\mathbb{C}$  determines a distinct character, and there are n of them.  $\Box$ 

Now let F/K be a splitting field for a separable  $f(x) \in K[x]$ , and consider:

$$\sigma: F \to F$$
 for  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(F/K)$ 

Then in particular  $\sigma$  is a character of the group  $F^*$  in the field F. Thus any finite collection of distinct elements of the Galois group is independent, and we have:

**Corollary.** The fixed field  $F^S$  of a subset  $S = \{\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_m\} \subset \text{Gal}(F/K)$  satisfies

$$[F:F^S] \ge m$$

with equality if S is a subgroup of the Galois group.

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_r \in F$  be a basis for F as a vector space over  $F^S$ .

If  $[F: F^S] = r < m$ , then the r equations in m unknowns:

$$(*_j) \ \sigma_1(\alpha_j)x_1 + \dots + \sigma_m(\alpha_j)x_m = 0$$

have a common non-zero solution  $(c_1, ..., c_m)$  with  $c_i \in F$  so  $\sum_{i=1}^r c_i \sigma_i(\alpha_j) = 0$  for all basis vectors  $\alpha_j$ .

But then  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \sigma_i(\alpha) = 0$  for all  $\alpha = \sum a_i \alpha_i \in F$  (with  $a_i \in F^S$ ) since each  $\sigma_i \in \text{Gal}(F/K)$  is a field automorphism of F (fixing  $F^S$ ). This violates the independence of the *characters*  $\sigma_i$  of  $F^*$  in F and so by the Proposition,  $r \geq m$ .

Now if S is a group and  $[F: F^S] > m$ , let  $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{m+1}$  be independent vectors in F as a vector space over  $F^S$  and consider the m equations:

 $(\dagger_i) \ \sigma_i(\alpha_1)y_1 + \dots + \sigma_i(\alpha_{m+1})y_{m+1} = 0$  in m+1 variables

As above, this system of equations has a common non-zero solution  $(b_1, ..., b_{m+1})$ with  $b_j \in F$ . Among all such solutions, we choose one with the smallest number of non-zero entries and reorder the  $\alpha_j$  (if necessary) so  $(b_1, ..., b_s, 0, ..., 0)$  is a minimal solution, with  $b_1, ..., b_s \neq 0$ , and dividing through by  $b_s$ , we may assume  $b_s = 1$ .

Since S is a group, we have  $id_F \in S$ , and so among these equations we have:

$$\sum \mathrm{id}_F(\alpha_j)b_j = \sum b_j\alpha_j = 0$$

from which we conclude that at least one of the  $b_j$  is outside of the fixed field  $F^S$  (otherwise the  $\alpha_j$  would be linearly dependent vectors). Reordering again if needed, we may assume  $b_1 \notin F^S$ . Thus there is some  $\sigma \in S$  so that  $\sigma(b_1) \neq b_1$ , and then:

$$0 = \sigma(\sum_{j=1}^{s} \sigma_i(\alpha_i)b_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} (\sigma \circ \sigma_i)(\alpha_j) \cdot \sigma(b_j)$$

for all  $\sigma_i$ . But because S is a group, the  $\sigma \circ \sigma_i$  are simply a reordering of the elements of S, and so the equation above gives another solution:

$$(\sigma(b_1), ..., \sigma(b_s), 0, ..., 0)$$
 with  $\sigma(b_s) = \sigma(1) = 1$  and  $\sigma(b_1) \neq b_1$ 

so subtracting one solution from the other gives a solution with fewer non-zero entries, and a contradiction to the assumption that  $[F:F^S] > m$ .

**Corollary.** If  $H_1, H_2 \subset \text{Gal}(F/K)$  are subgroups with  $F^{H_1} = F^{H_2}$ , then  $H_1 = H_2$ .

**Proof.** Let  $E = F^{H_1} = F^{H_2}$ . By the previous Corollary,  $|H_1| = |H_2| = [F : E]$ . Moreover, if  $H_1 \neq H_2$ , then there is an  $h \in H_1$  that is not in  $H_2$ . But then by the Corollary:

$$[F: F^{H_2 \cup \{h\}}] > |H_2| = [F: E]$$

so h does not fix some element of E, giving a contradiction.

We may now prove parts (a) and (b) of the Theorem at the top of this section.

(a) Recall that  $G = \operatorname{Gal}(F/K)$  has order equal to [F:K]. It follows that

$$F^G = K$$

since  $K \subset F^G$  and  $|G| = [F : F^G]$  from the second Corollary.

We may apply this to any subgroup  $H \subset G$  with fixed field  $F^H$  to get:

$$F^H = F^{\operatorname{Gal}(F/F^H)}$$

(letting  $F^H$  play the role of K), and then from the last Corollary,  $H = \text{Gal}(F/F^H)$ .

(b) Starting with an intermediate field  $E \subset F$ , we have:

$$E \subset F^{\operatorname{Gal}(F/E)}$$

and  $[F: F^{\operatorname{Gal}(F/E)}] = |\operatorname{Gal}(F/E)| = [F:E]$ , so we must have equality!

Now for the third part of the Theorem, notice that conjugating subgroups of the Galois group has the effect of moving from one intermediate field to another:

$$H \subset G = \operatorname{Gal}(F/K)$$
 with  $F^H = E \subset F$  and  $g \in G$  give

$$qHq^{-1} \subset G$$
 with  $F^{gHg^{-1}} = qE \subset F$ 

and so  $H \subset G$  is a normal subgroup if and only if E = gE for all  $g \in G$ . So we need to show that every subfield  $E \subset F$  fixed by the Galois group is a splitting field.

**Proposition 2.** Let K be an infinite field, and let F/K be a splitting field of a separable polynomial  $f(x) \in K[x]$ . Then:

(a) F/K is separable as a field extension.

(b) For each  $\beta \in F$  with associated irreducible polynomial h(x), the field F contains **all** the roots of h(x). Thus, F contains a splitting field E/K of h(x).

(c) Every subfield of F fixed by the Galois group of F/K is a splitting field.

**Proof.** Note that (a) is automatic if K is a perfect field. Let's assume (a), putting off the case where K is imperfect. Then the roots of h(x) contained in F are distinct. If G is the Galois group of F/K, then either  $\beta = \beta_1 \in K$  and h(x) is linear, or else  $\beta_2 = g\beta_1 \neq \beta_1$  for some  $g \in G$ , which finds us another root.

If h(x) has two roots  $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in F$  that are permuted by every element of G, then

 $\beta_1 + \beta_2$  and  $\beta_1 \beta_2$  are both elements of K

since they are fixed by every element of the Galois group! Thus:

$$(x - \beta_1)(x - \beta_2) = x^2 - (\beta_1 + \beta_2)x + \beta_1\beta_2 \in K[x]$$

is the (irreducible) polynomial h(x). Thus if h(x) has more roots, then elements of G cannot all permute the set  $\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$ , and there must be a new root  $g\beta_i = \beta_3$ .

We may proceed in this way, noticing that if G permutes a set of known roots  $\{\beta_1, ..., \beta_d\}$  then h(x) is the product  $\prod_{i=1}^d (x - \beta_i)$ , otherwise there is another root of h(x) obtained as  $\beta_{i+1} = g\beta_i$  for one of the "known" roots. This gives (b).

For (c), let  $K \subset E \subset F$  be a fixed subfield. Notice that there are only finitely many intermediate fields  $K \subset L \subset F$  between K and F since there are only finitely many subgroups  $H \subset G$  of the Galois group! Thus, there are only finitely many intermediate fields properly contained in E, and so if K is infinite, then there is an  $\alpha \in E$  that is not in any proper subfield of E. Then, remarkably,

$$K(\alpha) = E$$

and in particular, E is the splitting field of the polynomial g(x) associated to  $\alpha$ .

In fact, the last thing said is so remarkable that it is spawns a theorem.

The Theorem of the Primitive Element. If  $K \subset L$  is any finite, separable extension of an infinite field then there is a "primitive" element  $\alpha$  so that  $L = K(\alpha)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $L = K(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n)$  and let  $f_1(x), ..., f_n(x) \in K[x]$  be (separable) irreducible polynomials for  $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n$ . Then L is a subfield of a splitting field F/K for  $f(x) = \prod f_i(x)$ . But F has finitely many subfields containing K, corresponding to the subgroups of the Galois group of F/K, and in particular L has only finitely many subfields containing K. These are sub-vector spaces, and a vector space over an infinite field cannot be covered by finitely many proper subspaces, so L contains an element  $\alpha$  outside all the subfields, which tells us that  $L = K(\alpha)$ .

We've made assumptions in the proof of the Proposition that we should address.

- We assumed K was infinite in the proof of (c).
- We assumed K was perfect to avoid proving (a).

**Finite Fields.** When  $K = \mathbb{F}_q$  is a finite field with  $q = p^r$  elements, the Proposition and the Theorem of the Primitive Element are still true. Of course, these fields are perfect, so (a) is automatic. The field F is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}$  for some d, and

 $\mathbb{F}_q \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$  has a *cyclic* Galois group, isomorphic to  $C_d$ 

The finitely many intermediate fields:

$$\mathbb{F}_q \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^e} \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^d}$$

are the fixed fields of the subgroups  $C_e \subset C_d$ . They are all splitting fields, and the subgroups are all normal. As for the primitive element, we may take any of the generators of the cyclic group  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}^*$ .

Turning back to (a) in the Proposition, we prove the more general:

**Proposition 3.** Let  $K \subset L$  be a field extension. Then the elements  $\alpha \in L$  that are (algebraic and) separable over K form an intermediate "separable extension"

$$K \subset L_{sep} \subset L$$

**Proof.** Let  $0 \neq \alpha, \beta \in L$  be separable over K with associated polynomials  $f(x), g(x) \in K[x]$ . Then the polynomial f(-x) has distinct roots  $-\alpha_i$ , where  $\alpha_i$  are the roots of f(x), so  $-\alpha$  is also separable.

Similarly,  $x^{\deg(f)}f(1/x)$  has distinct roots  $1/\alpha_i$ , so  $1/\alpha$  is separable over K.

To prove that the sum and product  $\alpha + \beta$  and  $\alpha\beta$  are separable over K, consider the three intermediate fields between K and  $K(\alpha, \beta)$ :

$$K \subset K(\alpha), K(\alpha + \beta), K(\alpha \beta) \subset K(\alpha, \beta)$$

Following the separable path  $K \subset K(\alpha) \subset K(\alpha, \beta)$ , we get:

$$|\operatorname{Iso}_K(K(\alpha,\beta),K(\alpha,\beta))| = [K(\alpha,\beta):K] = [K(\alpha,\beta):K(\alpha)] \cdot [K(\alpha):K]$$

from Proposition 1 (of the previous section). But conversely, note that:

$$|\operatorname{Iso}_K(K(\gamma), K(\gamma))| < [K(\gamma) : K]$$

if  $\gamma$  is not separable over K, since the polynomial for  $\gamma$  has coincidental roots. Thus, following the other paths:

$$K \subset K(\alpha + \beta) \subset K(\alpha, \beta)$$
 and  $K \subset K(\alpha\beta) \subset K(\alpha, \beta)$ 

we conclude that  $\alpha + \beta$  and  $\alpha\beta$  are separable over K.

Proposition 2 (a) follows from the special case of F/K, the splitting field of f(x), since  $F = K(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_r)$  is generated by the roots of f(x), and so  $F_{sep} = F$  and every element of F is separable over K.

In a complementary direction, consider a splitting field F/K of f(x), and let:

$$K \subset F_{\text{insep}} = F^{\operatorname{Gal}(F/K)} \subset F$$

be the fixed field of the Galois group  $\operatorname{Iso}_K(F, F)$ . This fixed field is equal to K by the foundational theorem if f(x) is separable, but not otherwise. In fact, each  $\alpha \in F_{\text{insep}}$  is "purely inseparable" over K, i.e. its polynomial has a **single** root.

Example. The splitting field F of the polynomial

$$f(x) = x^{2p} - x^p - t \in \mathbb{F}_p(t)[x]$$

has Galois group  $C_2$  and two intermediate extensions, namely:

 $F_{\text{sep}} = \mathbb{F}_p(t)(\alpha)$  where  $\alpha$  is a root of  $x^2 - x - t$ , and

 $F_{\text{insep}} = \mathbb{F}_p(t)(\sqrt[p]{t})$ , which turns f(x) into  $(x^2 - x - \sqrt[p]{t})^p$ .

**Definition.** *K* is *algebraically closed* if there is no finite field extension  $K \subset L$ .

Equivalently, K is algebraically closed if each  $f(x) \in K[x]$  factors "completely:"

$$f(x) = c \prod (x - \alpha_i) \text{ for } c, \alpha_i \in K$$

Fundamental Theorem of Algebra.  $\mathbb{C}$  is algebraically closed.

**Proof.** First of all, given  $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ , consider the real polynomial:

$$f(x)\overline{f}(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$$

where  $\overline{f}(x)$  is the complex conjugate polynomial.

Then from a factorization of  $f(x)\overline{f}(x)$  we obtain factorizations of f(x) and  $\overline{f}(x)$ . Thus it suffices to show that each  $g(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  factors completely in  $\mathbb{C}[x]$ .

Next, by the intermediate value theorem and the quadratic formula:

- Each polynomial  $g(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  of odd degree has a (real) root
- Each quadratic polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[x]$  has a complex root.

These have the following field-theoretic consequences:

• Each non-trivial finite field extension  $\mathbb{R} \subset E$  has even degree.

(otherwise each  $\alpha \in E - \mathbb{R}$  would give an extension  $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}(\alpha)$  of odd degree with irreducible polynomial f(x) of odd degree).

• There is no field extension  $\mathbb{C} \subset L$  of degree two.

For each  $g(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ , let  $F/\mathbb{R}$  be a splitting field for  $(x^2 + 1)g(x)$ .

Let  $G = \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{R})$  with  $|G| = 2^d m$ , and let  $E = F^H$  be the fixed field of one of the 2-Sylow subgroups  $H \subset G$ . Then:

 $\operatorname{Gal}(F/E) = H$  and so  $[E : \mathbb{R}] = m$  is odd

But this is impossible unless  $E = \mathbb{R}$ , so m = 1 and G is a 2-group.

In that case, the splitting intermediate subfield:

$$\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}(i) \subset F$$
 (from the root of  $x^2 + 1$ )

has Galois group  $N = \operatorname{Gal}(F/\mathbb{C}) \subset G$ , which is a normal subgroup and a 2-group with  $G/N = \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$ . Moreover,  $F/\mathbb{C}$  is the splitting field of the polynomial g(x).

If N is non-trivial, then by the super-solvability of p-groups, there is a normal subgroup  $N' \subset N$  with quotient  $N/N' = C_2$ , and then:

$$\mathbb{C} = F^N \subset F^{N'}$$

is a field extension of degree two. But this is impossible, so  $N = \{e\}$ , which is to say that  $\mathbb{C}$  itself is the splitting field of g(x), i.e. all the roots of g(x) are in  $\mathbb{C}!$ 

The complex numbers thus give us the *algebraic closure* 

$$\mathbb{Q} = \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \mid \alpha \text{ is algebraic over } \mathbb{Q} \}$$

which is a minimal field containing all splitting fields of all polynomials in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ .

Remark. Finite fields also have algebraic closures. We will investigate this later.

In fact, every field has an algebraic closure.