## Riemann Surfaces and Graphs

## 2. Meromorphic Functions and Meromorphic Differentials

Let $S$ be a closed Riemann surface of genus $g$. Here we explore:

- meromorphic functions $\phi(z)$ (in the local coordinate $z$ ) and
- meromorphic differentials $\omega=\psi(z) d z$ (in the local coordinate $z$ )

Definition 2.1. A holomorphic map $f: S \rightarrow T$ of Riemann surfaces is a continuous map with the property that if $f(p)=q$, then in local coordinates $z$ near $p$ and $w$ near $q, w=f(z)$ is a holomorphic function.

Example. A meromorphic function $\phi \in \mathbb{C}(S)$ defines a holomorphic map:

$$
f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{1}
$$

sending the poles of $S$ to the point at infinity. In the local coordinate around $0 \in \mathbb{C P}^{1}$, this is the definition of a meromorphic function, and in the local coordinate around $\infty \in \mathbb{C P}^{1}$, this follows from the fact that if $\phi$ has a pole at $p$, then $1 / \phi$ is holomorphic at $p$ (with a zero at $p$ ).

We can say lot about the "shape" of a holomorphic map of Riemann surfaces. Since every non-constant holomorphic function in a neighborhood of $p \in \mathbb{C}$ has the form:

$$
f(z)-f(p)=(z-p)^{e} g(z) \text { with } g(p) \neq 0
$$

it follows that $f(z)-f(p)$ has an analytic $e$ th root in a neighborhood of $p$, which we use as a new local coordinate in terms of which $f(z)-f(p)=z^{e}$. For nearby points $q, f(z)-f(q)=z^{e}-(f(q)-f(p))=z^{e}-q^{e}=(z-q) g(z)$ has the value $e=1$. We call the value $e$ the ramification index of $f(z)$ at $p$ and say that $f(z)$ is unramified at $p$ if the ramification index at $p$ is 1 .

Lemma 2.2. The ramification index at $p \in S$ of a non-constant holomorphic $\operatorname{map} f: S \rightarrow T$ of Riemann surfaces is well-defined and the map is surjective and unramified at all but finitely many points.

Proof. The ramification index of a composition $f \circ g$ of holomorphic functions is the product of ramification indices, and therefore an invertible function is unramified. It follows that the ramification index is independent of the choice of local coordinates at $p$ and $f(p)$, and therefore well-defined. Since nearby points to a ramified point are unramified, it follows that there can be no accumulation point of ramified points, and therefore since $S$ is compact, there can only be finitely many of them.

Finally, it follows from the local description that the image of $f$ is open and compact (because $f$ is continuous), hence also closed and since $T$ is assumed to be connected, the map $f$ is surjective.
Definition 2.3. Given $f: S \rightarrow T$, let $R \subset S$ be the finite set of ramification points and $B=f(R) \subset T$ be the finite set of branch points of $f$.
Lemma 2.4. A non-constant holomorphic map $f: S \rightarrow T$ restricts to a finite covering space over the complement $T-B$ of the branch points.

Proof. Since $f$ is a continuous map of compact spaces, it is proper, from which it follows that the restriction of $f$ to $f^{-1}(T-B)$ is also proper and contains only unramified points. Near an unramified point, $f$ is a locally invertible analytic map, from which it follows that the restriction of $f$ is a covering space. Moreover, by properness of the map it follows that $f^{-1}(q)$ is finite for each $q \in T-B$. Note, however, that $f$ is not, in general, a covering space at all points of $S-R$, since the restriction of a proper map to an open subset of the domain is not, in general, proper!
Definition 2.5. The degree of the map $f$ is the degree of the covering map.
Shape of the Map. Fix a base point $q_{0} \in T-B$. Above $q_{0}$ the covering has $d$ sheets (where $d$ is the topological degree of the map). As one traverses a path in $T-B$ starting at $q_{0}$, one may track the sheets of the cover. At a ramification point $p \in S$ of index $e$, there are $e$ sheets that come together. "Winding" once around the branch point $b=f(p)$ permutes the $e$ sheets cyclically. This happens simultaneously at all ramification points lying above $b$, giving an element of the symmetric group on $d$ sheets with cycle decomposition given by the ramification indices of the points of $f^{-1}(b)$.

Let $\phi \in \mathbb{C}(T)$ be non-constant, and let $p \in S$ be a point of ramification index $e$ for the map $f$, with $f(p)=q$. In suitable local coordinates $z$ and $w$ near $p$ and $q$, respectively, we have:

$$
w=z^{e}
$$

So if $\operatorname{ord}_{q}(\phi)=m$, meaning that near $q, \phi(w)=w^{m} g(w)$ with $g(0) \neq 0$, then near $p, \phi \circ f(z)=\left(z^{e}\right)^{m} g\left(z^{e}\right)$, so $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(\phi \circ f)=m e$. Recall that:

$$
\operatorname{div}(\phi)=\sum_{q} \operatorname{ord}_{q}(\phi) \cdot q \in \mathbb{Z}[T]_{0}
$$

is the divisor of zeroes (and poles) of $\phi$.

Definition 2.6. $f^{*}: \mathbb{C}(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(S)$ is the pullback map of fields given by:

$$
f^{*}(\phi)=\phi \circ f
$$

Then by the above remark:

$$
(*) \operatorname{div}\left(f^{*} \phi\right)=\sum_{q \in T} \sum_{p \in f^{-1}(q)} e_{p} \cdot \operatorname{ord}_{q}(\phi) \cdot p
$$

Example. Let $\phi \in \mathbb{C}(S)$ and let $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{1}$ be the associated map. Then $f^{*} z=\phi$, and the zeroes of $\phi$ occur at the points of $f^{-1}(0)$ with multiplicities equal to the ramification indices, while the poles of $\phi$ occur at the points of $f^{-1}(\infty)$ also with multiplicities equal to the (negatives) of the ramification indices. Since the sum of the ramification indices is equal to the degree of the map $f$, this gives another proof that the degree of $\operatorname{div}(\phi)$ is zero.

Now let $\omega=\psi(w) d w$ be a meromorphic differential on $T$.
Definition 2.7. The pull-back on differentials is defined by:

$$
f^{*} \omega=f^{*} \psi(z) d f(z)=\psi(f(z)) f^{\prime}(z) d z
$$

where $z$ is a local coordinate in a neighborhood of $p$ and $w$ is a local coordinate in a neighborhood of $q$ with $w=f(z)$ in local coordinates.
Exercise. Check that this is well-defined. (Hint: Chain rule.)
The Riemann-Hurwitz Formula. Let $\omega=\psi(w) d w$ be a (meromorphic) differential form on $T$. Then:

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(f^{*} \omega\right)=\operatorname{div}\left(f^{*} \psi\right)+\sum_{p \in R}\left(e_{p}-1\right) \cdot p
$$

In particular, the degree of the differential forms satisfy:

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(f^{*} \omega\right)=d \cdot \operatorname{deg}(\omega)+\sum_{p \in R}\left(e_{p}-1\right)
$$

Proof. In local coordinates if $w=z^{e}$ and $\psi(w)=w^{m} g(w)$, then

$$
f^{*} \psi(w) d w=\psi\left(z^{e}\right) d z^{e}=\left(z^{e}\right)^{m} g\left(z^{e}\right) e z^{e-1} d z
$$

This gives the first formula! The degree formula follows from $(*)$, which lets us conclude that $\operatorname{deg}\left(f^{*} \psi\right)=d \cdot \operatorname{deg}(\psi)$.

Corollary 2.8. If $\omega$ is a differential on $S$, then $\operatorname{deg}(\omega)=2 g-2$.

Proof. Once the Corollary is true for one differential, it is true for all. Let $\phi \in \mathbb{C}(S)$ be a non-constant meromorphic function and: $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{1}$ the associated map. Recall that:

$$
d z=-\frac{1}{w^{2}} d w
$$

is a meromorphic differential on $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$, of degree -2 . But:

$$
\operatorname{deg}(d \phi)=\operatorname{deg}\left(f^{*} d z\right)=d \cdot \operatorname{deg}(d z)+\sum_{p \in R}\left(e_{p}-1\right)=-2 d+\sum_{p \in R}\left(e_{p}-1\right)
$$

by the degree formula above. On the other hand, let $B \subset \mathbb{C P}^{1}$ be the branch locus of the map $f$ and triangulate $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$ with vertices $B \cup C$ for some additional set $C$ of vertices. Then by Euler's formula for the sphere $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$,

$$
(\# B+\# C)-\# E+\# F=2
$$

if $E$ and $F$ are the edges and faces of the triangulation. This triangulation lifts to a triangulation of $S$, with $d$ times as many edges and faces, $d$ times as many vertices of $C$, and vertices of $B$, except for the fact that $e_{p}$ vertices collapse to one at each ramification point $p$. Thus,

$$
2 d-\sum_{p}\left(e_{p}-1\right)=2-2 g
$$

by Euler's formula again, which completes the proof.
We will use the following Hodge-theoretic result:
HT1. The holomorphic differentials on $S$ are a $g$-dimensional vector space.
Note: A differential $\omega=\psi(z) d z$ is holomorphc if $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(\psi) \geq 0$ for all $p \in S$.
Genus One. By HT1, there is one holomorphic differential $\omega$ (up to scalar multiples) on $S$ which has no zeroes by Corollary 2.8. Choose a base point $p_{0} \in S$ and, for paths in $S$ starting from $p_{0}$, integrate the one-form $\omega$ along the path. If $\gamma$ is a loop, then $\int_{\gamma} \omega \in \mathbb{C}$ only depends on the homology class of $\gamma$ and we get a period map:

$$
\rho: \mathrm{H}_{1}(S, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} ;[\gamma] \mapsto \int_{\gamma} \omega
$$

a homomorphism of abelian groups, mapping $\mathrm{H}_{1}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ onto a lattice $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$.

This in turn defines the holomorphic Abel-Jacobi map:

$$
a: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C} / \Lambda ; a(p)=\int_{p_{0}}^{p} \omega
$$

which is well-defined since any two paths from $p_{0}$ to $p$ differ by a loop! This map is unramified, with $\omega=a^{*} d z$, and we will see that it is an isomorphism. We may choose generators $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ for $\Lambda$ so that:

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(\lambda_{2} / \lambda_{1}\right)>0
$$

and let $P$ be the fundamental domain; i.e. the parallelogram with vertices $0, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{2}$ whose boundary $\partial P$ is oriented by $\mathbb{C}$.

Let $\phi \in \mathbb{C}(S)$ be a non-constant meromorphic function, interpreted as a doubly-periodic function on $\mathbb{C}$, i.e. $\phi(z+\lambda)=\phi(z)$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Then if

$$
\phi(z)=c_{-d}(z-a)^{-d}+\cdots+c_{-1}(z-a)^{-1}+c_{0}+\cdots
$$

is the Laurent series expansion near $a \in \mathbb{C}$, let $\operatorname{res}_{a}(\phi)=c_{-1}$ and note:

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\partial P} \phi(z) d z=\sum_{a \in P} \operatorname{res}_{a}(\phi)=0
$$

assuming that $\phi$ has no poles on $\partial P$. If $\phi$ does have such poles, then replace $P$ by a translate $P+z_{0}$ to get the same result:
Lemma 2.9. The sum of residues of a meromorphic function on $S$ is zero.
Corollary 2.10. There is no $\phi \in \mathbb{C}(S)$ with a single simple pole.
Remark. There is a more direct way to see Corollary 2.10. Namely, such a meromorphic function would determine a holomorphic map $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{1}$, which is necessarily an isomorphism. But $\mathbb{C P}^{1}$ is a sphere and $S$ is a torus.

Next, starting with an arbitrary $\phi \in \mathbb{C}(S)$, consider the integral:

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\partial P} z \cdot \frac{d \phi}{\phi}
$$

On the one hand, by double periodicity this is:
$\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left(\int_{0}^{\lambda_{2}} \lambda_{1} \frac{d \phi}{\phi}-\int_{0}^{\lambda_{1}} \lambda_{2} \frac{d \phi}{\phi}\right)=m \lambda_{1}-n \lambda_{2}$ for winding numbers $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$
On the other hand, the residue of the differential at $a \in \mathbb{C}$ is $a \cdot \operatorname{ord}_{a}(\phi)$ from which we conclue:

Lemma 2.11. For each $\phi \in \mathbb{C}(S)$,

$$
\sum_{a \in P} a \cdot \operatorname{ord}_{a}(\phi)=0 \in \mathbb{C} / \Lambda
$$

where this sum is taken in the group law of $S=\mathbb{C} / \Lambda$.
Definition 2.12. The Weierstrass $\mathcal{P}$ function:

$$
\mathcal{P}(z):=\frac{1}{z^{2}}+\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda-\{0\}}\left(\frac{1}{(z-\lambda)^{2}}-\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}}\right)
$$

defines a doubly-periodic meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$ hence a meromorphic function on $S$ with a single pole (of multiplicity two) at $0 \in S$.

Expanding, we get:

$$
\mathcal{P}(z)=z^{-2}+2\left(\sum_{\lambda \neq 0} \frac{1}{\lambda^{3}}\right) z+3\left(\sum_{\lambda \neq 0} \frac{1}{\lambda^{4}}\right) z^{2}+\cdots
$$

but $\mathcal{P}(z)$ is an even function, so we may write:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}(z) & =z^{-2}+3 G_{2} z^{2}+5 G_{3} z^{4}+\cdots \text { and } \\
\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(z) & =-2 z^{-3}+6 G_{2} z+20 G_{3} z^{3}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

letting

$$
G_{k}=\sum_{\lambda \neq 0} \frac{1}{\lambda^{2 k}}
$$

A little algebra then gives an algebraic relation between $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ :

$$
\phi(z):=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}(z)^{2}-4 \mathcal{P}(z)^{3}+60 G_{2} \mathcal{P}(z)+140 G_{3}
$$

is a doubly-periodic holomorphic function with $\phi(0)=0$. So $\phi=0$.
Genus Two Let $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$ be linearly independent holomorphic differentials and consider the meromorphic function $\phi$ satisfying:

$$
\phi \omega_{1}=\omega_{2}
$$

Since $\operatorname{deg}\left(\omega_{i}\right)=2$, it follows that $\phi$ has two poles and two zeroes, and:

$$
f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{1}
$$

has degree two, with 6 ramification points by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula:

$$
2=2 g-2=\operatorname{deg}\left(\omega_{i}\right)=-2(2)+\sum_{p \in R}\left(e_{p}-1\right)=-4+\# R
$$

