

**Math 6130 Notes. Fall 2002.**

**8. Dimension.** We wish to define the dimension of a variety  $Y$ , and we want our definition to agree with the usual (complex) dimension of  $Y$  when  $Y$  is a complex manifold. In this case, the strange properties of the Zariski topology work in our favor, giving a simple topological definition of the “Noetherian” codimension of an irreducible closed subset  $Z \subset Y$ , and the Noetherian dimension of  $Y$  is then the codimension of a point. In this section, we will explore some applications of dimension, and prove the important:

**Dimension Theorem 8.1:** If  $Y$  is a quasi-projective variety, then:

- (a) The Noetherian dimension of  $Y$  and
- (b) The transcendence degree of the field  $\mathbf{C}(Y)$  over  $\mathbf{C}$

are the same. And if  $Y \subseteq \mathbf{CP}^n$  is projective, then they are also the same as:

- (c) The degree of the Hilbert polynomial of  $\mathbf{C}[Y]$ .

**Definition:** The *Noetherian codimension* of an irreducible closed set  $Z \subset Y$  is the maximal length  $c$  of all (proper) chains of irreducible closed sets:

$$Z = Z_0 \subset Z_1 \subset Z_2 \subset \dots \subset Z_c = Y$$

We will write  $\text{cod}_Y(Z)$  for the codimension of  $Z$  in  $Y$ .

**Remarks:** (a) If  $U \subset Y$  is an open subset and  $U \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ , then:

$$\text{cod}_Y(Z) = \text{cod}_U(U \cap Z)$$

since taking closures is a bijection between irreducible closed subsets of  $U$  and irreducible closed subsets of  $Y$  that intersect  $U$ . As a consequence of this, we can always compute codimension on an affine open subset of  $Y$ .

(b) If  $Y$  is affine and  $Z \subset Y$  has codimension 1, then there is a regular function  $\bar{f} \in \mathbf{C}[Y]$  so that  $Z$  is one of the irreducible components:

$$Z_1 \cup \dots \cup Z_n = V(\bar{f}) \subset Y$$

Indeed, let  $\bar{f}$  be any (nonzero) function in  $I(Z)$ . Then  $Z \subseteq V(\bar{f})$ , and because  $Z$  is irreducible, it must be contained in one of the  $Z_i$ . But because it has codimension 1, it must be *equal* to one of the  $Z_i$ .

We'd like to have the converse to (b), telling us that every component of *every* hypersurface  $V(\bar{f}) \subset Y$  has codimension 1. For this we will need another reminder from field theory and some commutative algebra;

**Field Theory II:** If  $K \subset L$  is a finite extension of fields and  $\alpha \in L$ , then

$$\text{Nm}_{L/K}(\alpha) = \det(m_\alpha) \in K \text{ and } \text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha) = \text{tr}(m_\alpha) \in K$$

are the determinant and trace of the linear transformation  $m_\alpha : L \rightarrow L$  given by multiplication by  $\alpha$ . They are (up to a sign) the constant and next-to-highest coefficients of the *characteristic polynomial*  $\det(\lambda I - m_\alpha)$ , which is a polynomial of degree  $[L : K]$ , the dimension of  $L$  as a  $K$ -vector space, and is always a power of the *minimal polynomial* of  $\alpha$  in  $K[\lambda]$ . Note in particular that  $\text{Nm}_{L/K}(\alpha\beta) = \text{Nm}_{L/K}(\alpha)\text{Nm}_{L/K}(\beta)$ , and  $\text{Nm}_{L/K}(\alpha) = \alpha^{[L:K]}$  if  $\alpha \in K$ .

If  $K \subset L$  are the fields of fractions of Noetherian domains  $A \subset B$ , such that  $A$  is a UFD and  $B$  is finitely generated as an  $A$ -module, then it follows from Gauss' lemma that the minimal polynomial of each  $\alpha \in B$  is in  $A[\lambda]$ . In particular,  $\text{Nm}_{L/K}(\alpha)$  and  $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha)$  are in  $A$  whenever  $\alpha \in B$ .

**Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem:**

If  $\text{trd}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{C}(Y)) = d$  for an affine variety  $Y$ , and if  $\bar{f} \in \mathbf{C}[Y]$  is nonzero, then  $\text{trd}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{C}(Z_i)) = d - 1$  for each of the irreducible components  $Z_i \subseteq V(\bar{f})$ .

**Proof:** In the simple case  $Y = \mathbf{C}^d$ , then each  $Z_i = V(f_i)$  for a prime polynomial  $f_i$  in the factorization of  $f \in \mathbf{C}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ , and then  $\mathbf{C}(Z_i)$  is the field of fractions of  $\mathbf{C}[x_1, \dots, x_d]/f_i$ , and the theorem is just the second property of  $\text{trd}$  from §1. We prove the theorem by reducing it to this case.

First, recall that  $\mathbf{C}(U) = \mathbf{C}(Y)$  for an open subsets  $U \subset Y$ , so given  $Z_i$ , we can replace  $Y$  with a basic open set  $Y - V(\bar{g})$  that intersects  $Z_i$  but does not intersect any of the other  $Z_j$ . Thus we may assume that  $Z = Z_i = V(\bar{f})$ . The advantage is that now the prime ideal  $I(Z) = \sqrt{\langle \bar{f} \rangle}$  by the Nullstellensatz.

Next let  $\Phi : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^d$  be the finite dominant map from affine Noether Normalization (§7) with  $\Phi^* : \mathbf{C}[y_1, \dots, y_d] \subset \mathbf{C}[Y]$ . The image  $\Phi(Z) \subseteq \mathbf{C}^d$  is closed (and irreducible) by Proposition 7.5, and the map  $\Phi|_Z : Z \rightarrow \Phi(Z)$  is also finite and dominant, so the field extension  $\mathbf{C}(\Phi(Z)) \subset \mathbf{C}(Z)$  is finite, and  $\text{trd}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{C}(Z)) = \text{trd}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{C}(\Phi(Z)))$ . So it suffices to prove, using the simple case above, that  $\Phi(Z) \subset \mathbf{C}^d$  is hypersurface.

Let  $K = \mathbf{C}(y_1, \dots, y_d)$ ,  $L = \mathbf{C}(Y)$ , and let  $g = \text{Nm}_{L/K}(\bar{f}) \in \mathbf{C}[y_1, \dots, y_d]$ . If  $\lambda^m + g_{m-1}\lambda^{m-1} + \dots + g_0$  is the characteristic polynomial of  $\bar{f} \in \mathbf{C}[Y]$  then all coefficients are in  $\mathbf{C}[y_1, \dots, y_d]$  and  $g = \pm g_0$ , so:

$$g = \mp \bar{f}(\bar{f}^{m-1} + g_{m-1}\bar{f}^{m-2} + \dots + g_1) \in I(Z) \subset \mathbf{C}[Y]$$

so  $g \in I(Z) \cap \mathbf{C}[y_1, \dots, y_d]$  and then  $\sqrt{\langle g \rangle} \subseteq I(Z) \cap \mathbf{C}[y_1, \dots, y_d] \subset \mathbf{C}[y_1, \dots, y_d]$  since  $I(Z) \cap \mathbf{C}[y_1, \dots, y_d]$  is prime. We know  $\Phi(Z) = V(I(Z) \cap \mathbf{C}[y_1, \dots, y_d])$  (see the proof of Proposition 7.5(b)) so we are done if we can show that  $\sqrt{\langle g \rangle} = I(Z) \cap \mathbf{C}[y_1, \dots, y_d]$  because then  $\Phi(Z) = V(g) \subset \mathbf{C}^d$  is a hypersurface. But if  $h \in I(Z) \cap \mathbf{C}[y_1, \dots, y_d] = \sqrt{\langle \bar{f} \rangle} \cap \mathbf{C}[y_1, \dots, y_d]$ , then some  $h^M = \bar{k} \cdot \bar{f}$  for  $\bar{k} \in \mathbf{C}[Y]$ , so  $h^{M[L:K]} = \text{Nm}_{L/K} h^M = (\text{Nm}_{L/K} \bar{k})g$ , and then  $h \in \sqrt{\langle g \rangle}$ . Thus  $I(Z) \cap \mathbf{C}[y_1, \dots, y_d] \subseteq \sqrt{\langle g \rangle}$  so we really are done.

**Proposition 8.2:** (a) Every irreducible component  $Z \subset V(\bar{f}) \subset Y$  of every hypersurface in  $Y$  has codimension 1.

(b) A chain  $Z = Z_0 \subset Z_1 \subset \dots \subset Z_c = Y$  of closed irreducible subsets is maximal if and only if each  $Z_i \subset Z_{i+1}$  has Noetherian codimension 1.

(c) The codimension of a point  $p \in Y$  is equal to  $\text{trd}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{C}(Y))$  and is, in particular, independent of the choice of the point  $p$ .

(d) If  $Z \subset Y \subset X$  are closed and irreducible, then

$$\text{cod}_X(Y) = a \text{ and } \text{cod}_Y(Z) = b \Rightarrow \text{cod}_X(Z) = a + b$$

**Proof:** (a) By Krull's theorem,  $\text{trd}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{C}(Z)) = \text{trd}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{C}(Y)) - 1$ . If  $\text{cod}_Y(Z) > 1$ , there would be a chain  $Z \subset Z' \subset Y$  with  $\text{cod}_Y(Z') = 1$ . By Remark (b),  $Z' \subset V(\bar{f}')$  for some  $\bar{f}'$ , so  $\text{trd}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{C}(Z')) = \text{trd}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{C}(Y)) - 1$  (again by Krull), and then  $\text{trd}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{C}(Z)) < \text{trd}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{C}(Y)) - 1$ , a contradiction.

(b) If  $Z = Z_0 \subset Z_1 \subset \dots \subset Z_c = Y$  is any maximal chain, then each  $Z_i \subset Z_{i+1}$  has codimension 1, otherwise we could construct a longer chain! Conversely, if each  $Z_i \subset Z_{i+1}$  has codimension 1, then by Remark (b) and Krull's theorem,  $\text{trd}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{C}(Z_i)) = \text{trd}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{C}(Z_{i+1})) - 1$ , so  $\text{trd}_{\mathbf{C}}(Z) = \text{trd}_{\mathbf{C}}(Y) - c$  for any such chain, and so each one is maximal, of length  $\text{trd}_{\mathbf{C}}(Y) - \text{trd}_{\mathbf{C}}(X)$ .

(c) This is immediate from the last sentence in the proof of (b).

(d) Fill  $Z \subset Y$  with a maximal chain of length  $a$  and  $Y \subset X$  with a maximal chain of length  $b$ . It follows from (b) that the concatenation of the two chains is maximal, of length  $a + b$ . Alternatively, this is also immediate from the last sentence of the proof of (b).

**Definition:** The *dimension* of  $Y$  is the Noetherian codimension of a point.

**Note:** By Remark (a), the Proposition holds for quasi-projective varieties. Thus we have proved (a) = (b) in the Dimension Theorem, and then we can (and frequently do) use  $\dim(Y) = \text{trd}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{C}(Y))$  to compute dimension. It now follows immediately from Proposition 8.2(d) that, as expected:

$$\text{cod}_Y(Z) = \dim(Y) - \dim(Z)$$

**Examples:** (a) The dimension of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  (and therefore of  $\mathbf{CP}^n$ ) is  $n$ .

(b) If  $\dim(X) = m$  and  $\dim(Y) = n$ , then  $\dim(X \times Y) = m + n$ .

To see this, we may assume that  $X$  and  $Y$  are affine, and then use the result  $\mathbf{C}[X \times Y] = \mathbf{C}[X] \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{C}[Y]$  of Exercise 6.1. By Noether normalization,  $\mathbf{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m] \subset \mathbf{C}[X]$  and  $\mathbf{C}[y_1, \dots, y_n] \subset \mathbf{C}[Y]$  are finitely generated modules, so  $\mathbf{C}[X] \otimes \mathbf{C}[Y]$  is a finitely generated module over  $\mathbf{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n]$  (generated by the tensors of the two sets of generators). Hence  $\mathbf{C}(X \times Y)$  is a finite field extension of  $\mathbf{C}(x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n)$  and so  $\dim(X \times Y) = m + n$ .

(c) If  $X \subset \mathbf{CP}^n$  is a projective variety then  $\dim(C(X)) = \dim(X) + 1$  where  $C(X) \subset \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$  is the affine cone over  $X$  (from Exercise 6.2). This follows from Exercise 6.2 and Example (b) above.

(d) If  $\Phi : X \rightarrow Y$  is dominant, then  $\dim(X) - \dim(Y) = \text{trd}_{\mathbf{C}(Y)}(\mathbf{C}(X))$  for the inclusion  $\Phi^* : \mathbf{C}(Y) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}(X)$ . In particular,  $\dim(X) \geq \dim(Y)$ .

**Proposition 8.3:** If  $X \subset \mathbf{C}^n$  and  $Y \subset \mathbf{C}^n$  are closed subvarieties with  $\text{cod}_{\mathbf{C}^n}(X) = a$  and  $\text{cod}_{\mathbf{C}^n}(Y) = b$ , then  $\text{cod}_{\mathbf{C}^n}(Z) \leq a + b$  for every component  $Z \subset X \cap Y$  (if  $X \cap Y$  is empty, this is vacuously true!)

**Proof:** Consider first the simple case  $X = V(\langle f_1, \dots, f_a \rangle)$ , i.e. the case where  $X$  has the “right number of equations.” Then we get a chain:

$$Z = Z_a \subset Z_{r-1} \subset \dots \subset Z_1 \subset Z_0 = Y$$

where each  $Z_i$  is an irreducible component of  $Z_{i-1} \cap V(\bar{f}_i)$ . Now either  $Z_i = Z_{i-1}$ , or else  $Z_i \subset Z_{i-1}$  has codimension 1 by Krull’s theorem, so by Proposition 8.2(b)  $\text{cod}_Y(Z) \leq a$ , and by Proposition 8.2(d)  $\text{cod}_{\mathbf{C}^n}(Z) \leq a + b$ .

Now for the general case. Let  $\Delta \subset \mathbf{C}^{2n}$  be the diagonal. Then  $\Delta \cong \mathbf{C}^n$  (by either of the two projections) and under either projection:

$$\pi : (X \times Y) \cap \Delta \rightarrow X \cap Y$$

is a bijection. It follows that the components of  $(X \times Y) \cap \Delta$  are carried isomorphically to the components of  $X \cap Y$ . So it suffices to prove that  $\dim(Z) \geq n - (a + b)$  for every component  $Z \subset (X \times Y) \cap \Delta$ .

But  $\Delta \subset \mathbf{C}^{2n}$  has the right number of equations! If  $\mathbf{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n]$  is the coordinate ring of  $\mathbf{C}^{2n}$ , then  $\Delta = V(\langle x_1 - y_1, \dots, x_n - y_n \rangle)$ . So the simple case above applies to components  $Z$  of  $X \times Y \cap \Delta$ , which then satisfy:

$$\text{cod}_{\mathbf{C}^{2n}}(Z) \leq \text{cod}_{\mathbf{C}^{2n}}(X \times Y) + \text{cod}_{\mathbf{C}^{2n}}(\Delta) = (a + b) + n$$

and so each such  $Z$  has the desired  $\dim(Z) \geq 2n - ((a + b) + n) = n - (a + b)$ .

**Remark:** It is natural to ask whether Proposition 8.3 holds when  $\mathbf{C}^n$  is replaced by another variety. It does hold when  $\mathbf{C}^n$  is replaced by  $\mathbf{CP}^n$ , or any quasi-projective variety that is covered by open subsets that are isomorphic to open subsets of  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . But it doesn't hold in general, even for affine varieties! For example, consider the affine variety  $W = V(x_0x_3 - x_1x_2) \subset \mathbf{C}^4$ . The planes  $V(x_0) \cap V(x_1)$  and  $V(x_2) \cap V(x_3) \subset W$  both have codimension 1 in  $W$  but their intersection is the origin, which has codimension 3.

We will think more about this question in §9.

**Corollary 8.4:** If two projective varieties  $X, Y \subset \mathbf{CP}^n$  ought to intersect, in the sense that  $\text{cod}_{\mathbf{CP}^n}(X) + \text{cod}_{\mathbf{CP}^n}(Y) \leq n$ , then they do intersect.

**Proof:** Consider the affine cones  $C(X), C(Y) \subset \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ . Example (c) gives  $\text{cod}_{\mathbf{CP}^n}(X) = \text{cod}_{\mathbf{C}^{n+1}}(C(X))$  and  $\text{cod}_{\mathbf{CP}^n}(Y) = \text{cod}_{\mathbf{C}^{n+1}}(C(Y))$ , so if  $\text{cod}_{\mathbf{CP}^n}(X) + \text{cod}_{\mathbf{CP}^n}(Y) \leq n$  then  $\text{cod}_{\mathbf{C}^{n+1}}(C(X)) + \text{cod}_{\mathbf{C}^{n+1}}(C(Y)) \leq n$ .

The origin  $0 \in C(X) \cap C(Y)$  is always in the intersection of two cones, and if there is any other point in the intersection, then each component of  $C(X) \cap C(Y)$  is the affine cone over a component of  $X \cap Y \subset \mathbf{CP}^n$ . Thus we need to show that  $C(X) \cap C(Y)$  contains a point other than 0. But by Proposition 8.3, each component  $Z \subset C(X) \cap C(Y)$  has  $\text{cod}_{\mathbf{C}^{n+1}}(Z) \leq n$ , so  $\dim(Z) \geq 1$  and  $Z$  contains points other than 0.

Next, we use dimension to help us analyze dominant regular maps.

**Proposition 8.5:** A dominant map  $\Phi : X \rightarrow Y$  of quasi-projective varieties is *birational* if and only if there is an open subset  $U \subset Y$  such that the restriction  $\Phi : \Phi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$  is an isomorphism.

**Proof:** Recall that birational means dominant and  $\Phi^* : \mathbf{C}(Y) = \mathbf{C}(X)$ . If there is such a  $U$ , this is clearly the case. Given a birational  $\Phi$ , to find the  $U$  we can assume that  $Y$  is affine, replacing  $Y$  with any affine open subset.

First, we will find  $U_0 \subset Y$  and  $V_0 \subset \Phi^{-1}(U_0)$  such that  $\Phi : V_0 \rightarrow U_0$  is an isomorphism. For this, we can assume that  $X$  is affine. Then the inclusion  $\Phi^* : \mathbf{C}[Y] \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}[X]$  may not be itself an equality, but if we write  $\mathbf{C}[X] = \mathbf{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/P$ , then each  $x_i = \frac{\bar{f}_i}{\bar{g}_i} \in \mathbf{C}(Y)$  and if  $\bar{g} = \prod \bar{g}_i \in \mathbf{C}[Y]$ , then  $\mathbf{C}[Y]_{\bar{g}} = \mathbf{C}[X]_{\bar{f}}$ . So take  $U_0 = Y - V(\bar{g})$  and  $V_0 = \Phi^{-1}(U_0) = X - V(\bar{f})$ .

For the general case, start with the open sets  $U_0 \subset Y$  and  $V_0 \subset X$ . Each irreducible component  $Z_i \subset \Phi^{-1}(U_0) - V_0$  has  $\dim(Z_i) < \dim(V_0)$  so  $\dim(\overline{\Phi(Z_i)}) \leq \dim(Z_i) < \dim(U_0)$ . In particular,  $\cup \overline{\Phi(Z_i)} \subset U_0$  is *not* equal to  $U_0$ , so we may take  $U = U_0 - \cup \overline{\Phi(Z_i)}$  and then  $\Phi^{-1}(U) = V_0 - \cup \Phi^{-1}(\overline{\Phi(Z_i)})$  and then  $\Phi : \Phi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$  is an isomorphism, as desired.

**Example:** Consider the regular map:

$$\Phi : S_{1,1} - \{(0 : 0 : 0 : 1)\} \rightarrow \mathbf{CP}^2; \quad (a : b : c : d) \mapsto (a : b : c)$$

where  $S_{1,1} = V(x_0x_3 - x_1x_2) \subset \mathbf{CP}^3$ . Then the image of  $\Phi$  is the set:

$$\{(1 : b : c)\} \cup \{(0 : 1 : 0)\} \cup \{(0 : 0 : 1)\} \subset \mathbf{CP}^2$$

and the first set is open (it will be our  $U$ ) and isomorphic to  $\mathbf{C}^2$  and:

$$\Phi^{-1}(U) = \Phi^{-1}\{(1 : b : c)\} = \{(1 : b : c : bc)\} \subset S_{1,1}$$

is  $\mathbf{C}^1 \times \mathbf{C}^1 \subset \mathbf{CP}^1 \times \mathbf{CP}^1$  of Example (a) after Corollary 6.3. In particular, in this case  $\Phi : \Phi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$  is an isomorphism from  $\mathbf{C}^1 \times \mathbf{C}^1 \subset \mathbf{CP}^1 \times \mathbf{CP}^1$  to  $\mathbf{C}^2 \subset \mathbf{CP}^2$  although  $\mathbf{CP}^1 \times \mathbf{CP}^1$  and  $\mathbf{CP}^2$  are not themselves isomorphic.

So birational maps are “almost” isomorphisms. For arbitrary dominant maps  $\Phi : X \rightarrow Y$ , the idea is that if  $r = \dim(X) - \dim(Y) = \text{trd}_{\mathbf{C}(Y)}(\mathbf{C}(X))$ , then the fibers of  $\Phi$  ought to be a union of  $r$ -dimensional varieties. We’ll see that this holds over an open set (analogous to the set  $U$  of Proposition 8.5), and that in general, the fiber dimensions are at least  $r$ . More precisely:

**Definition:** A real-valued function  $e : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  from a topological space  $X$  is *upper-semicontinuous* if for each  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ , the subset:

$$U_\alpha := \{x \in X \mid e(x) < \alpha\} \subset X$$

is open (i.e. the value of  $e$  jumps up only on closed sets).

**Theorem 8.6:** If  $\Phi : X \rightarrow Y$  is dominant and  $r = \text{trd}_{\mathbf{C}(Y)}\mathbf{C}(X)$ , then:

(a) If  $p \in Y$  then the dimension of every component  $Z \subseteq \Phi^{-1}(p)$  of every fiber satisfies  $\dim(Z) \geq r$  ( $\Leftrightarrow \text{cod}_X(Z) \leq \dim(Y)$ ).

(b) More generally, among the components  $Z \subseteq \Phi^{-1}(W)$  of the preimage of a closed irreducible subset  $W \subseteq Y$ , every component that *dominates*  $W$ , in the sense that  $\overline{\Phi(Z)} = W$ , must satisfy  $\text{cod}_X(Z) \leq \text{cod}_Y(W)$ .

(c) The image  $\Phi(X)$  contains an open dense subset  $U \subseteq \Phi(X) \subseteq Y$  with the property that if  $W$  in (b) intersects  $U$ , then  $\text{cod}_X(Z) = \text{cod}_Y(W)$  in (b). In particular, if  $p \in U$  in (a), then  $\dim(Z) = r$ .

(d) The “maximum fiber dimension” function  $e : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  defined by:

$$e(x) = \max\{\dim(Z) \mid Z \text{ is a component of } \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(x)) \text{ and } x \in Z\}$$

is upper-semicontinuous.

**Example:** Consider the blow-up  $\Phi : \mathbf{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^3$ ;  $\Phi(a, b, c) = (a, ab, ac)$ . The image of  $\Phi$  is  $(\mathbf{C}^3 - \{(0, t, u)\}) \cup 0$  and the fibers of  $\Phi$  are:

$$\Phi^{-1}(0) = \{(0, b, c)\} \text{ (dimension 2)}$$

$$\Phi^{-1}(s, t, u) = \{(s, ts^{-1}, us^{-1})\} \text{ for all other points of the image.}$$

Thus the dimension of each fiber is 0 or 2, and if  $(s, t, u) \in U = \Phi(\mathbf{C}^3) - 0$ , then the dimension is  $0 = r$ . The upper semi-continuous  $e(x)$  is then:

$$e(a, b, c) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a \neq 0 \\ 2 & \text{if } a = 0 \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\Phi^{-1}(\{(s, 0, 0)\}) = \{(a, 0, 0)\} \cup \{(0, b, c)\}$  has two components, but only  $\{(a, 0, 0)\}$  dominates  $\{(s, 0, 0)\}$ , so only that component is covered by the theorem. This is good since the line  $\{(s, 0, 0)\}$  intersects  $U$  and the other component has codimension 1 instead of  $\text{cod}_{\mathbf{C}^3}(\{(s, 0, 0)\}) = 2$ . Note also that  $\Phi$  is birational, and that  $U = \mathbf{C}^3 - \{(0, t, u)\}$  (but not  $\mathbf{C}^3 - 0$ ) works for Proposition 8.5.

**Proof of (b):** If  $Y' \subseteq Y$  is open and affine and  $Y' \cap W \neq \emptyset$ , then each  $Z \cap \Phi^{-1}(Y') \subset Z$  is open and nonempty (but maybe not affine) because  $Z$  dominates  $W$ . Given one  $Z$ , we can take an open affine  $X' \subseteq \Phi^{-1}(Y') \subseteq X$  intersecting  $Z$ , and then it suffices to prove (a) for the induced dominant map  $\Phi' : X' \rightarrow Y'$  of affine varieties. In other words, it suffices to consider the case where  $X$  and  $Y$  are affine.

Let  $s = \text{cod}_Y(W)$ . Then by Remark (b) and induction (see Exercise 8.1) there are  $\bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_s \in \mathbf{C}[Y]$  such that  $W \subseteq V(\langle \bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_s \rangle)$  is a component. Then  $Z \subseteq V(\langle \Phi^*(\bar{f}_1), \dots, \Phi^*(\bar{f}_s) \rangle)$ . I claim  $Z$  is a component of this set. If  $Z \subseteq Z' \subseteq V(\langle \Phi^*(\bar{f}_1), \dots, \Phi^*(\bar{f}_s) \rangle)$  and  $Z'$  is a component, then since we assumed  $W = \overline{\Phi(Z)}$ , we also have  $W = \overline{\Phi(Z')} \subseteq V(\langle \bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_s \rangle)$  since  $\overline{\Phi(Z')}$  is irreducible. Thus  $Z' \subseteq \Phi^{-1}(W)$  is a component dominating  $W$ , and since it contains  $Z$ , it must be equal to  $Z$ . Finally, it is an easy application of Krull to see that each component of  $V(\langle \Phi^*(\bar{f}_1), \dots, \Phi^*(\bar{f}_s) \rangle)$  has codimension  $\leq s$ , and we are done. Notice that (a) is the special case of (b) where  $W = p$ .

**Proof of (c):** As in (b), we may assume  $Y$  is affine. We can also assume  $X$  is affine, with a little extra care. We may cover  $X = \cup_{i=1}^n V_i$  by affine open subsets  $V_i$ . If we let  $U_i \subset \Phi(V_i)$  be open subsets of  $Y$  satisfying (c) for each  $V_i$ , then  $U := \cap_{i=1}^n U_i \subset \Phi(X)$  will be an open subset of  $Y$  satisfying (c) for  $X$ . So indeed we can prove this one  $V_i$  at a time, and assume  $X$  is affine. Then I claim that there are  $\bar{f} \in \mathbf{C}[Y]$  and  $\bar{g}_1, \dots, \bar{g}_r \in \mathbf{C}[X]$  such that:

- (i) The map  $\alpha^* : \mathbf{C}[Y]_{\bar{f}}[y_1, \dots, y_r] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}[X]_{\bar{f}}$ ;  $y_i \mapsto \bar{g}_i$  is injective, and
- (ii)  $\mathbf{C}[X]_{\bar{f}}$  is finitely generated as a  $\mathbf{C}[Y]_{\bar{f}}[y_1, \dots, y_r]$ -module.

First, notice that (c) follows from (i) and (ii). If we let  $U = Y - V(\bar{f})$  then  $\Phi^{-1}(U) = X - V(\bar{f})$  and then  $\Phi$  factors when restricted to  $\Phi^{-1}(U)$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & & Y \\ \cup & & & & \cup \\ \Phi^{-1}(U) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & U \times \mathbf{C}^r & \xrightarrow{\pi} & U \end{array}$$

where  $\alpha$  is dominant and finite and  $\pi$  is the projection. Given  $W$ , then  $\Phi^{-1}(W \cap U) \subset \Phi^{-1}(U)$  is closed and maps onto  $(W \cap U) \times \mathbf{C}^r$  with finite fibers by Proposition 7.5, and each component  $Z \subset \Phi^{-1}(W \cap U)$  maps (finitely and dominantly) to its image in  $(W \cap U) \times \mathbf{C}^r$ , so  $\dim(Z) \leq r + \dim(W)$  which translates to  $\text{cod}_X(Z) \geq \text{cod}_Y(W)$ , and (b) gave the other inequality.



To see (i) and (ii), consider the  $\mathbf{C}(Y)$ -algebra  $\mathbf{C}[X]_S$  for  $S = \mathbf{C}[Y] - 0$ . If  $\mathbf{C}[X] = \mathbf{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/P$ , then  $\mathbf{C}[X]_S = \mathbf{C}(Y)[x_1, \dots, x_n]/Q$  for some prime ideal  $Q$ , so since  $\text{trd}_{\mathbf{C}(Y)}(\mathbf{C}(X)) = r$ , we can apply Noether Normalization (over  $\mathbf{C}(Y)$ ) to find  $\mathbf{C}(Y)[y_1, \dots, y_r] \subset \mathbf{C}[X]_S$  so  $\mathbf{C}[X]_S$  is finitely generated as a  $\mathbf{C}(Y)[y_1, \dots, y_r]$ -module. We need now to replace  $\mathbf{C}[X]_S$  with some  $\mathbf{C}[X]_{\bar{f}}$ .

To do this, we can assume that the inclusion  $\mathbf{C}(Y)[y_1, \dots, y_r] \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}[X]_S$  takes each  $y_i$  to  $\bar{g}_i \in \mathbf{C}[X]$  (multiplying by the denominator if needed). This gives  $\mathbf{C}[Y][y_1, \dots, y_r] \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}[X]$ . But  $\mathbf{C}[X]$  may not be finitely generated as a  $\mathbf{C}[Y][y_1, \dots, y_r]$ -module (i.e. the map  $\Phi$  itself doesn't usually factor). On the other hand, if  $\bar{h}_1, \dots, \bar{h}_m \in \mathbf{C}[X]_S$  generate it as a  $\mathbf{C}(Y)[y_1, \dots, y_r]$ -module, we can solve  $x_i = \sum_{j=1}^m p_{ij}(y) \bar{h}_j$  for each of the  $x_i$  above and  $\bar{h}_j \bar{h}_k = \sum c_{jkl}(y) \bar{h}_l$ , where each  $p_{ij}(y), c_{jkl}(y) \in \mathbf{C}(Y)[y_1, \dots, y_r]$ . Let  $\bar{f} \in \mathbf{C}[Y]$  be the product of *all* denominators of the  $\bar{h}_j$  and of all coefficients of the  $p_{ij}(y)$  and  $c_{jkl}(y)$ . Then each  $\bar{h}_j \in \mathbf{C}[X]_{\bar{f}}$  and each  $p_{ij}(y), c_{jkl}(y) \in \mathbf{C}[Y]_{\bar{f}}$ , and since  $\mathbf{C}[X]_{\bar{f}}$  is generated by the  $x_i$  as an algebra over  $\mathbf{C}[Y]_{\bar{f}}$ , it follows that  $\mathbf{C}[X]_{\bar{f}}$  is generated by the  $\bar{h}_j$  as a  $\mathbf{C}[Y]_{\bar{f}}[y_1, \dots, y_r]$ -module, as desired.

**Proof of (d):** Since  $e(x)$  takes integer values, we only need to check that the sets  $U_a \subset X$  are open when  $a > 0$  is an integer, or equivalently that the sets  $X_a := \{x \in X \mid e(x) \geq a\}$  are closed. By (a),  $X_a = X$  for  $a \leq r$ .

Let  $U \subset Y$  be any (nonempty!) open subset of  $\overline{\Phi(X)}$  satisfying the conditions of (c). If  $x \in \Phi^{-1}(U)$ , then by (c),  $e(x) = r$ , so if  $a > r$ , it follows that  $X_a \subseteq X - \Phi^{-1}(U)$  for that open set  $U$ .

Let  $Z_1 \cup \dots \cup Z_n = X - \Phi^{-1}(U)$  be the components (all of which have smaller dimension than  $X$ ). By induction on the dimension of the domain, when we consider the maps  $\Phi|_{Z_i} : Z_i \rightarrow \overline{\Phi(Z_i)}$  we may assume that each of the sets  $(Z_i)_a := \{x \in Z_i \mid e(x) \geq a\} \subseteq Z_i$  is closed. If  $x \in X$  and  $e(x) \geq a$ , there is a component of  $\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(x))$  of dimension at least  $a$  passing through  $x$  and this component is contained in  $X - \Phi^{-1}(U)$ , so it must lie entirely in some  $Z_i$  by irreducibility. Thus we can express  $X_a = \cup_{i=1}^n (Z_i)_a$  as a finite union of closed sets, so it is closed.

**Remark:** This theorem points out a distinctive property of regular maps, which is very far from being true for ordinary differentiable maps. In fact, Morse theory depends upon its failure! For example, consider the norm map  $\Phi : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}; \vec{v} \mapsto \|\vec{v}\|$ . Then  $\Phi^{-1}(\alpha) \cong S^{n-1}$  has the expected dimension when  $\alpha > 0$ , but  $\Phi^{-1}(0) = 0$  is a point!

Finally, we turn to the Hilbert polynomial of a projective variety.

**Proof of Theorem 8.1 (a),(b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c):** Let  $Y \subseteq \mathbf{CP}^n$  be a projective variety of dimension  $d$ . Then by Proposition 8.2(d), we can find a chain of projective varieties:

$$p = Z_0 \subset \dots \subset Z_d = Y \subset Z_{d+1} \subset \dots \subset Z_n = \mathbf{CP}^n$$

where  $p \in Y$  is any point, and each  $Z_i \subset Z_{i+1}$  has codimension 1. For each of these inclusions, we can find a homogeneous polynomial  $F_i$  (of some degree) such that  $Z_i$  is a component of  $V(\overline{F}_i) \subset Z_{i+1}$ , reasoning as in Remark (b). Then in particular,  $\overline{F}_i$  is not a zero divisor in  $\mathbf{C}[Z_{i+1}]$ , so by Exercise 2.1(b), the Hilbert polynomials satisfy the inequality:

$$\deg(H_{\mathbf{C}[Z_i]}(d)) \leq \deg(H_{\mathbf{C}[Z_{i+1}]/\langle \overline{F}_i \rangle}(d)) = \deg(H_{\mathbf{C}[Z_{i+1}]}) - 1$$

The Hilbert polynomial of  $\mathbf{CP}^n$  has degree  $n$  and the Hilbert polynomial of a point has degree 0, so it follows that the Hilbert polynomial of each  $Z_i$  has degree  $i$ , which agrees with the dimension.

**Looking Ahead:** We will think of a projective variety  $X \subseteq \mathbf{CP}^n$  as a *projective model* for its field of rational functions. We've seen so far that any two projective models  $X, Y$  of the same field admit birational maps  $\Phi : X \dashrightarrow Y$  and  $\Psi : Y \dashrightarrow X$  (Proposition 7.5) and then that there are open subsets  $U \subset Y$  and  $V \subset X$  such that  $\Phi : \Phi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$  and  $\Psi : \Psi^{-1}(V) \rightarrow V$  are isomorphisms (Proposition 8.5). So in particular, any two models of the same field can be thought of as two different compactifications of a common open set. We've also seen that the transcendence degree of a field can be read off from either the Zariski topology or the Hilbert polynomial of any projective model.

So this begs several questions. Among all the projective models of a field, is there a “best” one (or ones)? Are there other numerical invariants of a field that can be read off from geometric invariants of projective models? And what is the significance of the *coefficients* of the Hilbert polynomial? The leading coefficient is simple to understand...it is the number of intersection points of  $X$  with a “general” projective plane in  $\mathbf{CP}^n$  of complementary dimension, and totally dependent upon the model. But the constant coefficient is much more mysterious and “intrinsic” to the field.

**Exercises 8.**

**1.** Use Krull's theorem to prove that the image  $\Phi(\mathbf{C}^2)$  of the blow-up map  $\Phi : \mathbf{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^2; \Phi(a, b) = (a, ab)$ , with topology and sheaf induced from  $\mathbf{C}^2$ , is not isomorphic to any quasi-projective variety.

**2.** If  $\Phi : X \rightarrow Y$  is dominant and  $\Phi^* : \mathbf{C}(Y) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}(X)$  is a finite extension, find an open subset  $U \subset Y$  such that  $\Phi|_{\Phi^{-1}(U)} : \Phi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$  is a finite map. (Hint: Look at the proof of Theorem 8.6 (c).)

**3.** (a) If  $\Phi : \mathbf{CP}^n \rightarrow Y$  is a regular map to any quasi-projective variety, prove that either  $\Phi$  is a constant map or else  $\dim(\overline{\Phi(\mathbf{CP}^n)}) = n$ .

(b) Prove that  $\mathbf{CP}^m \times \mathbf{CP}^n$  is not isomorphic, and not even homeomorphic (in the Zariski topology) to  $\mathbf{CP}^{m+n}$  when both  $m, n \geq 1$ .