

# Complex Algebraic Geometry: Varieties

Aaron Bertram, 2010

**2. Abstract Varieties.** An abstract variety is a set with a (Zariski) topology and a sheaf of functions that is locally affine and separated. In order to define these terms properly, we need to define them in the context of an appropriate category.

Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $A$  be a commutative ring with 1.

**Definition.** A sheaf  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $A$ -valued functions on  $X$  consists of:

(a) A commutative ring with 1, denoted  $\mathcal{S}(U)$ , consisting of a subring of the ring of functions  $f : U \rightarrow A$  for each open  $U \subset X$ , such that:

(b) functions in  $\mathcal{S}(V)$  restrict to functions in  $\mathcal{S}(U)$  if  $U \subseteq V$ , and

(c) functions  $f_i \in \mathcal{S}(U_i)$  that agree when restricted to intersections  $U_i \cap U_j$  are restrictions of a single well-defined function  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\cup U_i)$ .

**Examples:** (a) The sheaf of “totally discontinuous” functions on  $X$ . In this sheaf, denoted  $A_X^{\text{disc}}$ , each  $A_X^{\text{disc}}(U)$  consists of all  $f : U \rightarrow A$ .

(b) At the other extreme, the constant functions do not form a sheaf. But there is a sheaf, denoted  $A_X$ , consisting of functions that are *locally constant*. In this sheaf,  $A_X(U)$  consists of all the functions  $f : U \rightarrow A$  that are constant *on each connected component* of  $U$ .

(c) If  $A$  has a topology (e.g.  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  with the Euclidean topology), then the functions  $f : U \rightarrow A$  that are *continuous* form a sheaf, often denoted simply by  $\mathcal{C}_X$ . This generalizes examples (a) and (b) (Why?)

(d) If  $X$  is a differentiable manifold, then the rings of “infinitely differentiable” functions  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  form a sheaf, denoted by  $\mathcal{C}_X^\infty$ .

(e) The regular functions on an affine variety  $X$  with the Zariski topology form a sheaf of  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued functions, denoted  $\mathcal{O}_X$ , which has the unusual property that  $U \subseteq V$  implies  $\mathcal{O}_X(V) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ . In other words, the restriction of such functions is an *injective* map for this sheaf. This is not true of any of the other sheaves discussed here (Why?)

(f) Suppose  $V \subset X$  is an open subset and  $\mathcal{S}$  is a sheaf of  $A$ -valued functions on  $X$ . Then the induced topology on  $V$ , together with the “restricted sheaf”  $\mathcal{S}|_V$  defined by:  $\mathcal{S}|_V(U) := \mathcal{S}(U)$  for all  $U \subseteq V$  is a sheaf of  $A$ -valued functions on  $V$ .

**Definition:** A *morphism* between pairs  $(X, \mathcal{S}_X)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{S}_Y)$  consisting of a topological space with a sheaf of  $A$ -valued functions is:

- (a) A continuous map  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  with the property that:
- (b) the pull-back on functions defined by  $F^*(f) := f \circ F$  maps each:

$$F^* : \mathcal{S}_Y(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_X(F^{-1}(U))$$

This gives the collection of pairs  $(X, \mathcal{S}_X)$  the structure of a *category*.

**Examples:** (a) For any  $X$ , the identity map defines a morphism:

$$\text{id} : (X, A_X^{\text{disc}}) \rightarrow (X, A_X)$$

but not in the opposite direction (unless  $X$  is discrete)! Similarly,

- (b) The identity map on a differentiable manifold  $X$  defines:

$$\text{id} : (X, \mathcal{C}_X) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{C}_X^\infty)$$

but not in the opposite direction.

(c) If  $\iota : V \subset X$  is an open set together with the restricted sheaf  $\mathcal{S}|_V$  (for any sheaf  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $A$ -valued functions), then the inclusion map

$$\iota : (V, \mathcal{S}|_V) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{S})$$

is a morphism.

(d) A continuous mapping of differentiable manifolds  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  is (infinitely) differentiable if and only if it defines a morphism:

$$F : (X, \mathcal{C}_X^\infty) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{C}_Y^\infty)$$

i.e. it pulls back (locally) infinitely differentiable functions on  $Y$  to (locally) infinitely differentiable functions on  $X$ .

(e) Let  $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $Y \subset \mathbb{C}^m$  be irreducible algebraic sets, with Zariski topologies and coordinate rings  $\mathbb{C}[X]$  and  $\mathbb{C}[Y]$ . A morphism  $F : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  in the category of topological spaces with sheaves of  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued functions determines a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra homomorphism  $F^* : \mathcal{O}_Y(Y) = \mathbb{C}[Y] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X] = \mathcal{O}_X(X)$ , **and conversely:**

**Proposition 2.1:** Each  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra homomorphism  $\Phi : \mathbb{C}[Y] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]$  comes from a *uniquely determined* morphism:

$$F : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$$

in the sense that  $\Phi = F^* : \mathbb{C}[Y] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]$ .

**Proof:** Recall that the points of  $X$  are in a natural bijection with the maximal ideals of  $\mathbb{C}[X]$ . Thus:

$$F(x) = y \Leftrightarrow \Phi^{-1}(m_x) = m_y$$

is well-defined, and it is the *only possible* map for which  $F^* = \Phi$  on (globally defined) regular functions. Moreover,

- $F^{-1}(U_g) = U_{\Phi(g)}$  for all  $g \in \mathbb{C}[Y]$ , so  $F$  is continuous, and
- $F^*(f/g^n) = \Phi(f)/\Phi(g)^n$  shows that  $F^* : \mathcal{O}_Y(U_g) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(U_{\Phi(g)})$

from which it follows that  $F$  is a morphism, as desired.

**Corollary 2.2:** Irreducible algebraic sets, with rational functions:

$$(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \text{ and } (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$$

are isomorphic in the category of topological spaces with sheaves of  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued functions if and only if  $\mathbb{C}[X] \cong \mathbb{C}[Y]$  as  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras.

**Refined Definition (of an affine variety):** A *complex affine variety* is a topological space  $X$  with sheaf  $\mathcal{S}_X$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued functions that is isomorphic to some  $(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ , where  $Y$  is an irreducible algebraic set in some  $\mathbb{C}^m$  and  $\mathcal{O}_Y$  is its sheaf of regular functions.

**Important Example:** If  $X = V(\mathcal{P}) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , then  $(U_f, \mathcal{O}_X|_{U_f})$  (for  $U_f \subset X$ ) is an affine variety. It is isomorphic to the “affine hyperbola over  $U_f$ ,” namely

$$Y := V(\langle \mathcal{P}, 1 - fx_{n+1} \rangle) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$$

which is an irreducible algebraic set with coordinate ring:

$$\mathbb{C}[Y] \cong \mathbb{C}[X][x_{n+1}]/\langle 1 - fx_{n+1} \rangle \cong \mathbb{C}[X][f^{-1}] \subset \mathbb{C}(X)$$

**Definition:** A pair  $(X, \mathcal{S}_X)$  consisting of a topological space with sheaf of  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras is a *prevariety* if  $X$  is connected and covered by open sets:

$$X = \cup_{i=1}^n U_i$$

with the property that each of the pairs  $(U_i, \mathcal{S}_X|_{U_i})$  is an affine variety.

**Corollary (of the important example) 2.3:** Every (Zariski) open subset  $U \subset X$  (with sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_X|_U$ ) of an affine variety is a prevariety.

**Exercise 2.1:** The open subset  $U = \mathbb{C}^2 - \{(0, 0)\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  with sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_U = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2}|_U$  is a prevariety but *not* an affine variety.

**Gluing:** Let  $(X, \mathcal{S}_X)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{S}_Y)$  be topological spaces with sheaves of  $A$ -valued functions that have isomorphic open subsets, specifically  $U \subset X$  and  $V \subset Y$  with an isomorphism  $F : (U, \mathcal{S}_X|_U) \xrightarrow{\sim} (V, \mathcal{S}_Y|_V)$ . Then we may “glue  $X$  and  $Y$  along  $F$ ” to obtain  $(Z, \mathcal{S}_Z)$  defined by:

- As a set,

$$Z = (X \coprod Y) / \sim \text{ where } x \sim F(x) \text{ for each } x \in U$$

with natural inclusion maps  $\iota_X : X \subset Z$  and  $\iota_Y : Y \subset Z$ .

- $W \subset Z$  is open if and only if both  $W \cap X$  and  $W \cap Y$  are open.
- $\mathcal{S}_Z(W)$  is the set of functions  $f : W \rightarrow A$  satisfying:

$$f|_{W \cap X} \in \mathcal{S}_X(W \cap X), \quad f|_{W \cap Y} \in \mathcal{S}_Y(W \cap Y)$$

and  $F^*(f|_{W \cap Y}) = f|_{W \cap X}$ .

**Conversely:** Given  $(X, \mathcal{S}_X)$  and open sets  $U \subset X$  and  $V \subset X$ , then  $(X, \mathcal{S}_X)$  is isomorphic to the topological space with sheaf of  $A$ -valued functions obtained by gluing  $(U, \mathcal{S}_X|_U)$  to  $(V, \mathcal{S}_X|_V)$  along the canonical isomorphism  $F : U \cap V \cong V \cap U$ .

**Corollary 2.3:** A prevariety is obtained by gluing an affine variety to another affine variety (or prevariety) along non-empty open subsets.

**Two Very Different Examples:** One can glue

$$(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}) \text{ to } (\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}})$$

along  $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$  in two different ways:

(i) Gluing along the identity isomorphism  $\text{id} : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  produces “the affine line with doubled origin.” In the framework of manifolds, this is a simple example of a non-Hausdorff “fake” manifold. Unfortunately, the Zariski topology on a variety is essentially never Hausdorff (since *all* pairs of nonempty open subsets of an affine variety intersect). Thus, we will have to find another way to eliminate it.

However, there is another interesting automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . Since:

$$(\mathbb{C}^*, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^*}) \cong (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

where  $\mathbb{C}[X] \cong \mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}]$  by the affine hyperbola construction, it follows that the  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra automorphism:

$$\mathbb{C}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]; \quad x \mapsto x^{-1}$$

is associated to an automorphism  $F$  of  $(\mathbb{C}^*, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^*})$ .

(ii) Gluing along  $x \mapsto x^{-1}$  produces the projective line.

(We will explore this in detail later.)

**Definition:** A *product* of objects  $X, Y$  of a category  $\mathcal{C}$  is an object, which we will denote by  $X \times Y$ , together with “projection” morphisms  $\pi_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X$  and  $\pi_Y : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$  with the following:

*Universal property:* To each triple  $(Z; F, G)$  consisting of an object  $Z$ , with morphisms  $F : Z \rightarrow X$  and  $G : Z \rightarrow Y$ , there is a unique:

$$Z \rightarrow X \times Y$$

that commutes with the morphisms to  $X$  and  $Y$ .

The product is unique (if it exists) up to a uniquely determined isomorphism. Thus we can get away the leading notation “ $X \times Y$ .”

**Example:** The Cartesian product is a product in the category of sets. In the category of topological spaces, the Cartesian product, together with the *product topology*, is a product.

**Lookup:** The tensor product  $\mathbb{C}[X] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[Y]$  of integral domains that are finitely generated as  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras is also an integral domain, and also finitely generated as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra.

**Corollary 2.4:** If  $X$  and  $Y$  are affine varieties, then

$$\mathbb{C}[X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[Y] \cong \mathbb{C}[X \times Y]$$

for an affine variety  $X \times Y$ , which, together with morphisms  $\pi_X$  and  $\pi_Y$  associated to the inclusions  $\mathbb{C}[X] \subset \mathbb{C}[X \times Y]$  and  $\mathbb{C}[Y] \subset \mathbb{C}[X \times Y]$ , respectively, *is the product* of  $X$  and  $Y$  in the category of affine varieties. This is due to the analogous universal property of the tensor product.

**Warning:** Note that  $\mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^n \cong \mathbb{C}^{m+n}$ , but that, as we’ve already noted in an earlier exercise, the Zariski topology on this product is **not** in general equal to the product topology. This does not contradict the Example above describing the products of topological spaces(why?).

**Definition:** In a category whose objects are topological spaces, whose morphisms are continuous, and in which products exist, an object  $X$  is *separated* if the image of the canonical *diagonal map*

$$\delta : X \rightarrow X \times X \text{ is closed.}$$

**Exercise 2.2:** (a) In the category of topological spaces, prove that  $X$  is *Hausdorff* if and only if it is separated.

(b) Prove that as a set, the product  $X \times Y$  of affine varieties is the Cartesian product of  $X$  and  $Y$  (this is not, however, true of schemes).

**Proposition 2.5:** Affine varieties are separated.

**Proof:** Let  $X$  be an affine variety. Via an isomorphism we may assume  $X = V(\mathcal{P}) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  with coordinates  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Then  $X \times X \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n}$  with coordinates  $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n$ , and  $\delta(X) \subset X \times X$  is the **closed** subset defined by the equations  $\{x_i - y_i = 0 \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ .

**More Involved Exercises 2.3:**

- (a) Prove that products exist in the category of prevarieties.
- (b) A *quasi-affine* variety is, by definition, a pair  $(U, \mathcal{O}_X|_U)$ , where  $U \subset X$  is a (non-empty) open subset of an affine variety  $X$ . Prove that quasi-affine varieties are separated.
- (c) Prove that the affine line with the doubled origin is not separated.
- (d) Prove that the projective line is separated.

**Definition:** An *abstract variety* is a separated prevariety.