

## TUG at Bay

Nelson Beebe, Wendy McKay and Ross Moore

Over the extended weekend 16–18 January 2004, some  $\text{\TeX}$  and TUG-related events took place in the San Francisco Bay area. These were:

- visit to Adobe Systems Inc., based in San Jose, to discuss aspects of how Adobe’s software interacts with  $\text{\TeX}$ -related workflows, and ‘bugs’ affecting the onscreen viewing of  $\text{\TeX}$ -typeset PDF documents;
- lunch with the Grand Wizard, at a restaurant in Palo Alto, with a presentation of a drawing by Duane Bibby and shown at TUG 2003 in Hawai’i;
- discussions concerning workflows for the production and archiving of scientific journals, and the preparation/presentation of related bibliographic data on websites of scientific societies and academic institutions.

The first of these included a repeat of a similar meeting 2 years ago, when the “ $\text{\TeX}$ Fonts–AdobeApps” (TFAA) working group<sup>1</sup> met with font engineers at Adobe to discuss problems related to fonts, and font-rendering, with PDF documents produced using  $\text{\LaTeX}$  and  $\text{\pdfTeX}$ . A result of this earlier meeting was the improved rendering, as now implemented in Adobe’s Acrobat 6.0 Professional and Adobe Reader 6.0 applications, of PostScript Type 3 (bit-mapped) fonts. This is extremely important for  $\text{\TeX}$  users, as until only recently this has been primarily the kind of font description produced by  $\text{\dvips}$ , at least by default, for  $\text{\TeX}$  and  $\text{\LaTeX}$ -typeset documents. Indeed many people continue to use  $\text{\TeX}$  installations where this kind of output is produced.

At that previous meeting in 2002, there were 6 delegates from  $\text{\TeX}$  Users Group; this time there were just 3 representatives: Nelson Beebe, Wendy McKay and Ross Moore. But Hans Hagen was there, in spirit, as well. Two days earlier, via a long telephone conversation, Hans had supplied Ross and Wendy with a collection of bugs that he had encountered with the latest Acrobat software. Mostly these were fresh bugs in Acrobat 6, which could be clearly demonstrated by comparison with the output produced by Acrobat 5 displaying the same PDF document.


More than an hour was spent with senior members of Adobe’s font development group, discussing general issues as well as presenting the bugs found

<sup>1</sup> see <http://www.tug.org/mailman/listinfo/tfaa/and> <http://www.tug.org/twg/tfaa/>.


by Hans. Perhaps the most important result of this meeting is a definite realisation of the need for support of OpenType fonts within  $\text{\TeX}$  software and related applications, such as  $\text{\dvips}$  and other driver software. The PostScript Type 1 font technology is no longer the state-of-the-art for fonts. Adobe has not produced any new fonts in Type 1 format for  $\approx 6$  years; all new development is for OpenType. Thus it is imperative for  $\text{\TeX}$ ,  $\text{\pdfTeX}$  and friends to be modified in ways that enable this font format to be used easily.

We discussed the problem of the lack of suitable fonts for mathematics in Adobe’s font repertoire, in particular, mathematics fonts that are designed as companions of text font families, such as is the case for Computer Modern, Computer Concrete, Lucida, and MathTime. Regrettably, Adobe sees little or no chance of such support being developed for current font offerings, although in a small number of future fonts, they *may* provide glyphs for the mathematical characters standardized in Unicode.

A whole working day was spent at Adobe, starting at 9.00am being signed-in by senior Illustrator developer Tom Ruark, also including lunch in the on-site cafeteria, and finishing with the end-of-week beer and nibbles after 5.00pm. Apart from the font-related matters, most of the day was spent with Tom. These discussions concerned the status of the ‘Marked Objects’ plug-in [6] for Illustrator, and the bug-reports which were specific to Illustrator itself; such as the lack of a consistent set of specifications for the role of the MediaBox, ArtBox, BleedBox, etc. and how these boxes should interact when parts of images are combined. Hans Hagen contributed directly via telephone, as did Gary Gray (U. Pennsylvania). Unfortunately a planned demonstration



**Figure 1:** Donald Knuth displays the screen-saver drawing, by Duane Bibby.



**Figure 2:** Mac OS X  $\text{\TeX}$  screen-saver, by Duane Bibby.

by Gary could not go ahead, as the ‘firewall’ would not allow a ‘remote-access’ connection to be established. Nevertheless, much useful discussion took place, and we departed the Adobe building with the feeling that some understandings had been established and that the reported bugs were likely to be fixed.

Later that evening we met up with Kaveh Bazargan (Focal Image Inc.) who had just flown in from London for a Holography conference in San Jose the following week. The main event for the next day, Saturday, was to be lunch with the Grand Wizard of  $\text{\TeX}$ , Donald Knuth. This was at *Ming’s* restaurant in Palo Alto. (Unfortunately Jill Knuth could not attend, due to a family matter.) The menu was Chinese ‘Dim Sum’, with many varied dishes being sampled by all: Donald, Wendy, Nelson, Ross, Kaveh, and Patricia Monohan who had driven up from Ventura, with a car full of memorabilia from the TUG 2003 meeting in Hawai‘i, especially for this occasion.

A presentation was made, being the original of the Bibby drawing<sup>2</sup> (Figures 1,2) first shown publicly at TUG 2003. Following lunch, photographs

<sup>2</sup> This drawing is available in several sizes. to match different computer screens, for general use as a screen-saver or background image, at the TUG 2003 website <http://www.tug.org/tug2003/mactex/>.

were taken on the steps of the restaurant, around a ceremonial lion (see Figure 3). Some books, purchased just that morning, were autographed. Much of the afternoon was spent driving and exploring the campus at Stanford University, and browsing the University Bookstore.

Sunday saw yet no rest for the wicked. We were joined in Cupertino, where Wendy, Nelson and Ross were staying at a hotel, by Jim Pitman (Department of Mathematics and Department of Statistics, UC Berkeley) who is Chair of the ‘Committee on Electronic Issues’ of the Institute of Mathematical Statistics (IMS). Kaveh also joined us for a discussion on matters relating to the publication of mathematical journals and archives, both for online access and for paper printing. Central to this discussion was the crisis looming in academia, due to the increasing prices of subscriptions to journals published by the small number of powerful commercial enterprises (see e.g. [7]). That same weekend saw the announcement of the decision by the Triangle Research Libraries Network to cancel their subscriptions to many journals published by Elsevier [4]. Similar cut-backs are being made at other universities [2, 8, 3], as evidenced by a report in the Wall St. Journal [5] on the Monday.

Accordingly, there needs to be greater use and acceptance of online journals, which in turn means



**Figure 3:** On the steps on *Ming's* restaurant; from left: Patricia Monohan, Ross Moore, Kaveh Bazargan, Wendy McKay, Donald Knuth, Nelson Beebe.

that techniques need to be developed that allow for easier management of such resources. One solution is to use a workflow  $(\LaTeX) \rightarrow \text{XML}$  (for easy storage and archival fidelity) and the reverse  $\text{XML} \rightarrow \LaTeX$  preparatory to typesetting, accompanied by good copy-editing to establish ‘clean, standardised  $\LaTeX$  source’. This is the basis of the workflow already used by Focal Image for scientific journals.

These discussions from Sunday continued into the next week, but with a changed venue as Wendy, Nelson and Ross drove up north, to stay on-campus at UC Berkeley. Here it was easier to study various software components developed for creation and management of websites, in particular for bibliographic data. Nelson did a complete installation of his bibliographic software tools [1], for use at the UCB, Department of Statistics site.

### References

- [1] Nelson Beebe.  $\TeX$  User Group bibliography archive. *University of Utah*, updated daily. <http://www.math.utah.edu/pub/tex/bib/>.
- [2] Carl T. Bergstrom and Theodore C. Bergstrom. The costs and benefits of library site licenses to academic journals. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, January 2004.
- [3] Cornell University Library. Links on Scholarly Communication. *Issues in Scholarly Communication*, December 2003. <http://www.library.cornell.edu/scholarlycomm/links.html>.
- [4] From the Hill. UNC Cuts Back on Journals, Cites Burdensome Licensing Agreement. *GAA Online*, January 2004. <http://alumni.unc.edu/car/weekly/story.asp?sid=461>.
- [5] Charles Goldsmith. Reed Elsevier Feels Resistance To Web Pricing. *The Wall Street Journal*, January 2004. [http://www.lei.lt/lith3/ivairus/elsevier\\_feeling.htm](http://www.lei.lt/lith3/ivairus/elsevier_feeling.htm).
- [6] Wendy McKay, Ross Moore, and Tom Rurark. Adobe plugin for WARMreader. *TUGboat*, 22(3):188–196, September 2001. Talk given at TUG 2001, Delaware; preprint online at <http://www.tug.org/tug2001/rossIII/rossIII.pdf>.
- [7] Jim Pitman. The future of IMS journals. *IMS Bulletin*, 32(1), 2003. <http://stat-www.berkeley.edu/users/pitman/imsbull.html>.
- [8] Sidney Verba. A Letter from Sidney Verba. *Harvard University Library*, January 2004. <http://www.econ.ucsb.edu/~tedb/Journals/harvardletter040101.htm>.